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What？

$$
\text { 4ロ } \downarrow \text { 4句 } \downarrow \text { 引 三 }
$$
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## What?

- Every effect algebra is a monoid in Rel.
- Some well-known definitions in the theory of effect algebras, appear to come from 2-categorial structure of RelMon.
- Maybe I will sketch some related result concerning test spaces.
- Almost everything here has almost nonexistent proof.
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## The category of sets and relations

...denoted by Rel.

- Objects: sets.
- Morphisms: binary relations; $f: A \rightarrow B$ in Rel is a set of pairs $f \subseteq A \times B$.
- Identities: $i d_{A}: A \rightarrow A$ is the identity relation.
- Composition: if $f: A \rightarrow B$ and $g: B \rightarrow C$, then $(a, c) \in g \circ f$ iff there exists $b \in B$ such that $(a, b) \in f$ and $(b, c) \in g$.
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## Rel is a monoidal category

- The usual direct product of sets $\times$ : $\mathbf{R e l} \times \mathbf{R e l} \rightarrow$ Rel is a bifunctor...
- ...so (Rel, $\times, 1$ ) is a monoidal category...
- ...because (Set, $\times, 1$ ) is one.
- However, $\times$ is not the product in Rel, because...
- ...disjoint union $\sqcup$ is product and, at the same time, coproduct in Rel.


## Monoids in a monoidal category

Recall, that a monoid is a monoidal category $(C, \otimes, 1)$ is a triple ( $M, m, e$ ), where $M$ is an object of $C, m: M \otimes M \rightarrow M$ and $e: 1 \rightarrow M$ are arrows such that the diagrams
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## Examples of monoids

- Monoids in (Set, $\times, 1$ ) are ordinary monoids.
- Monoids in the monoidal category of Abelian groups $(\mathbf{A b}, \otimes, \mathbb{Z})$ are rings.
- Monoids in the monoidal category of complete join semilattices (Sup, $\otimes, 2$ ) are quantales.
- Monoids in the monoidal category of ordinary monoids (Mon, $\times, 1$ ) are commutative monoids.


## Monoids in Rel

So a monoid in the monoidal category (Rel, $\times, 1$ ) consists of

- a set $M$,
- a relation e : $1 \rightarrow M$ and
- a relation *: $M \times M \rightarrow M$.
such that some diagrams commute.
We call these objects relational monoids

When dealing with monoids in Rel, one should bear in mind that both $m: A \times A \rightarrow A$ and $e: 1 \rightarrow A$ are relations, and not mappings.

When dealing with monoids in Rel, one should bear in mind that both $m: A \times A \rightarrow A$ and $e: 1 \rightarrow A$ are relations, and not mappings. That means, among other things, that

- $e$ is (essentially) a subset of the underlying set, rather than an element;
- it is misleading to write $a * b=c$ to express the fact that $(a, b)$ is in the relation $*$ with $c$;
- we write $(a, b) \stackrel{*}{\mapsto} c$ instead.
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## Classes of monoids in Rel

- Ordinary monoids are monoids in Rel.
- Hypergroups/hypermonoids are monoids in Rel.
- Partial monoids (including effect algebras and some of their generalizations) are monoids in Rel.
- Small categories are monoids in Rel:
- elements are arrows,
- the $e: 1 \rightarrow M$ is the selection of identity arrows.


## Morphisms of monoids in Rel

The class of monoids in a monoidal category comes equipped with a standard notion of morphism:

homewer, this notion does not work in the examples we are interested in.

## Rel as a 2-category

- A relation $f \subseteq A \times B$ is a set of pairs, so
- every homset $\operatorname{Rel}(A, B)$ is a poset under $\subseteq$.
- That means, that Rel is enriched in Pos, in other words
- Rel a (locally posetal/thin) 2-category.


## Morphisms of monoids in Rel

There are several meaningful notions of morphisms of monoids in Rel. In this talk, we shall deal with two of them.


Lax morphism

$$
\begin{gathered}
(h \circ *) \subseteq(* \circ(h \times h)) \\
h \circ e \subseteq e
\end{gathered}
$$



Oplax morphism

$$
\begin{gathered}
(h \circ *) \supseteq(* \circ(h \times h)) \\
e \subseteq h \circ e
\end{gathered}
$$

## Category of relational monoids RelMon

By Category of relational monoids we mean a 2-category

- 0-cells are relational monoids,
- 1-cells are lax morphisms of relational monoids,
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## Category of relational monoids RelMon

By Category of relational monoids we mean a 2-category

- 0-cells are relational monoids,
- 1-cells are lax morphisms of relational monoids,
- 2-cells are the $\subseteq$ of relations, inherited from Rel.
- The category of small categories is a 1-subcategory of this category.
- The category of effect algebras is a subcategory of this category.


## Effect algebras

An effect algebra (Foulis and Bennett [1994], Kôpka and Chovanec [1994], Giuntini and Greuling [1989]) is a partial algebra
$(E ;+, 0,1)$ with a binary partial operation + and two nullary operations 0,1 such that + is commutative, associative and the following pair of conditions is satisfied:
(E3) For every $a \in E$ there is a unique $a^{\prime} \in E$ such that $a+a^{\prime}$ exists and $a+a^{\prime}=1$.
(E4) If $a+1$ is defined, then $a=0$.
The + operation is then cancellative and 0 is a neutral element.
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## Why?

- Because RelMon is a 2-category, so it has a lot of structure.
- We can take the standard definitions of 2-categorial things from RelMon and examine what they mean for effect algebras.
- We rediscover well-known notions, but now we know where they are coming from.
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## Easy and nice

Let $E$ be an effect algebra.

- $\leq: E \rightarrow E$ is a left Kan extension of + along the projection $p_{1}: E \times E \rightarrow E$.
- An effect algebra $E$ satisfies the Riesz decomposition property iff $\geq$ is an endomorphism of $E$.


## Adjoint pairs of morphisms in RelMon

Since RelMon is a 2-category, we may speak about adjoint pairs of morphisms in RelMon. Unwinding the definition, it turns out every left adjoint in RelMon is a mapping.
Let

- $A, B$ be relational monoids,
- $f: A \rightarrow B$,
- $g: B \rightarrow A$.

Then the morphism $f$ is left adjoint to the morphism $g$, if and only if $f$ is a mapping and $g=f^{-1}$.

## Left adjoints in RelMon

From this, we obtain a characterization of left adjoints:

## Proposition

A morphism $f: A \rightarrow B$ in RelMon is a left adjoint if and only if $f$ is a mapping and

- for all $b_{1}, b_{2} \in B$ and $a \in A$ such that $\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right) \stackrel{*}{\mapsto} f(a)$,
- there exist $a_{1}, a_{2} \in A$ such that $b_{1}=f\left(a_{1}\right), b_{2}=f\left(a_{2}\right)$ and $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right) \stackrel{*}{\mapsto} a$.


## What if $A$ and $B$ are effect algebras?

Theorem
Let $A, B$ be effect algebras, let $f: A \rightarrow B$ be a morphism of effect algebras. Then $f$ is a left adjoint in RelMon iff

## What if $A$ and $B$ are effect algebras?

## Theorem

Let $A, B$ be effect algebras, let $f: A \rightarrow B$ be a morphism of effect algebras. Then $f$ is a left adjoint in RelMon iff

- $f$ is surjective and
- the equivalence on $A$ induced by $f$ is an effect algebra congruence in the sense of (Gudder and Pulmannová [1998]).


## Monads in RelMon

Since RelMon is a 2-category, we may speak about monads in RelMon.
A monad in RelMon on a relational monoid $A$ can be characterized as a preorder relation $\leq: A \rightarrow A$ such that


commute.
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## Monads arising from adjunctions in 2-categories

- In every 2-category, an adjoint pair of morphisms gives rise to a monad.
- In Cat, every monad arises from an adjoint pair (Eilenberg-Moore, Kleisli).
- But this is not true in every 2-category.
- In particular, in Rel the monads (=preorders) arising from adjunctions can be characterized as equivalence relations.


## Monads arising from adjunctions in RelMon

If a monad $\sim: A \rightarrow A$ arises from an adjunction, then

- ~ is an equivalence relation,
- the diagram

commutes and
- if $x$ is a unit of $A$ and $x \sim y$, then $y$ is a unit of $A$.


## Monads arising from adjunctions in RelMon

If the multiplication is actually a partial operation, we obtain another property of a monad arising from an adjunction:

- If $a_{1} \sim b_{1}, a_{2} \sim b_{2}$ and both $a_{1} * a_{2}$ and $b_{1} * b_{2}$ exist, then $a_{1} * a_{2} \sim b_{1} * b_{2}$.


## "Dimension equivalences" on effect algebras

For an effect algebra $E$, we may characterize monads $\sim: E \rightarrow E$ arising from adjunctions in RelMon as follows:

- ~ is an equivalence.
- If $a_{1} \sim b_{1}, a_{2} \sim b_{2}$ and both $a_{1}+a_{2}$ and $b_{1}+b_{2}$ exist, then $a_{1}+a_{2} \sim b_{1}+b_{2}$.
- If $a \sim b_{1}+b_{2}$, then there are $a_{1}, a_{2}$ such that $a=a_{1}+a_{2}$, $a_{1} \sim b_{1}, a_{2} \sim b_{2}$.
$E / \sim$ is then a partial monoid.


## Example

- Take a Boolean algebra $B$; this is an effect algebra with + being the disjoint join.
- Introduce a equivalence on $B$ by the rule

$$
a \sim b \Leftrightarrow[0, a] \simeq[0, b]
$$

Then this is a dimension equivalence.

## A more fancy example

- Let $A$ be an involutive ring with unit, in which

$$
x^{*} x+y^{*} y=0 \Longrightarrow x=y=0
$$

- Let $P(A)$ be the set of all self-adjoint idempotents in $A$. For $e, f \in P(A)$, write $e \oplus f=e+f$ iff ef $=0$, otherwise let $e \oplus f$ be undefined. Then $(P(A) ; \oplus, 0,1)$ is an effect algebra.
- For $e, f$ in $P(A)$, write $e \sim f$ iff there is $w \in A$ such that $e=w^{*} w$ and $f=w w^{*}$.
- Then this is a dimension equivalence.
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## Theorem

(Dvurečenskij and Pulmannová [2000]) For every cancellative positive partial abelian monoid $E$ and every dimension equivalence
$\sim$ on $P, P / \sim$ is a positive partial abelian monoid.
A new perspective:

- $P / \sim$ is the EM-object for the monad $\sim$.
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Let $E$ be an effect algebra. A relation $i: E \rightarrow E$ is a comonad in RelMon iff

$$
i=\{(x, x): x \in I\}
$$

where $I$ is an order ideal of $E$. $I$ is then the EM object for $i$.
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## Test spaces

- A test space is a pair $(X, \mathcal{T})$, where $X$ is a set
- and $\mathcal{T}$ is a system of subsets of $X$, called tests,
- such that no two tests are comparable.
- A subset of a test is an event.
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## Relations on events

- Two events $a, b$ are said to be orthogonal (in symbols $a \perp b$ ) if they are disjoint and their union is an event.
- It is obvious that the set of all events of a test space equipped with the disjoint union of orthogonal events + and $\emptyset$ is a partial commutative monoid.
- If $a \perp b$ and $a \cup b$ is a test, then they are complements of each other (in symbols a co b).


## Algebraic test spaces

- A test space is algebraic if $\mathrm{co}=\mathrm{co} \mathrm{\circ} \circ \mathrm{co} \circ \mathrm{co}$.


## Algebraic test spaces

- A test space is algebraic if $\mathrm{co}=\mathrm{co} \mathrm{\circ} \mathrm{co} \circ \mathrm{co}$.
- For an algebraic test space, the relation $\sim:=c o \circ c o$, called perspectivity is an equivalence on events and
- the partial abelian monoid of events, factored by $\sim$ is an orthoalgebra, i.e. and effect algebra with $a \wedge a^{\prime}=0$.
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## A characterization of algebraic test spaces

## Proposition

Let $(X, \mathcal{T})$ be a test space. Let us write $(A,+, \emptyset)$ for the partial commutative monoid of the events of $(X, \mathcal{T})$. The following are equivalent.

1. $(X, \mathcal{T})$ is algebraic.
2. $\sim$ is an equivalence relation and an oplax endomorphism of $A$.
3. $\sim$ is a preorder and an oplax endomorphism of $A$.
4. $(A, \tilde{+})$ is associative, where $\tilde{+}=\sim 0+$.

Moreover, if $\sim$ is a preorder and $\sim$ is an lax and oplax endomorphism of $A$, then $A / \sim$ is a Boolean algebra.

Thank you for your attention.
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