

# ***Effective codescent morphisms of distributive lattices***

George Janelidze

University of Cape Town

janelidg@maths.uct.ac.za

Manuela Sobral

Universidade de Coimbra

sobral@mat.uc.pt

TACL 2015, 21 - 26 June 2015,

Ischia Island, Italy



(P1) Describe effective codescent morphisms of distributive lattices.

(P1) Describe effective codescent morphisms of distributive lattices.

- ▶  $\mathcal{DLat}$  is dually equivalent to  $\mathcal{PSp}$ .
- ▶  $\mathcal{DLat}$  are monoids in the monoidal category of semilattices.

(P1) Describe effective codescent morphisms of distributive lattices.

- ▶  $\mathcal{DLat}$  is dually equivalent to  $\mathcal{PSp}$ .
- ▶  $\mathcal{DLat}$  are monoids in the monoidal category of semilattices.

Looking for a characterization for effectiveness of codescent can be done in two different ways. Accordingly, this talk is organized as follows:

(P1) Describe effective codescent morphisms of distributive lattices.

- ▶  $\mathcal{DLat}$  is dually equivalent to  $\mathcal{PSp}$ .
- ▶  $\mathcal{DLat}$  are monoids in the monoidal category of semilattices.

Looking for a characterization for effectiveness of codescent can be done in two different ways. Accordingly, this talk is organized as follows:

- ▶ Topological approach.
- ▶ Algebraic Approach.
- ▶ Do they give similar results?

# *1. Topological approach*

## 1. Topological approach

For every a continuous map of Priestley spaces  $p : E \rightarrow B$ , the pullback functor  $p^* : \mathcal{P}\mathcal{S}p \downarrow B \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\mathcal{S}p \downarrow E$  has a left adjoint  $p!$  which is defined by composition with  $p$  on the left.



## 1. Topological approach

For every a continuous map of Priestley spaces  $p : E \rightarrow B$ , the pullback functor  $p^* : \mathcal{P}\mathcal{S}p \downarrow B \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\mathcal{S}p \downarrow E$  has a left adjoint  $p!$  which is defined by composition with  $p$  on the left.

Let  $\mathbb{T}$  be the monad induced by this adjunction and

$$\Phi : \mathcal{P}\mathcal{S}p \downarrow B \rightarrow (\mathcal{P}\mathcal{S}p \downarrow E)^{\mathbb{T}} \cong Des(p)$$

be the Eilenberg-Moore comparison functor.

## 1. Topological approach

For every a continuous map of Priestley spaces  $p : E \rightarrow B$ , the pullback functor  $p^* : \mathcal{P}\mathcal{S}p \downarrow B \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\mathcal{S}p \downarrow E$  has a left adjoint  $p!$  which is defined by composition with  $p$  on the left.

Let  $\mathbb{T}$  be the monad induced by this adjunction and

$$\Phi : \mathcal{P}\mathcal{S}p \downarrow B \rightarrow (\mathcal{P}\mathcal{S}p \downarrow E)^{\mathbb{T}} \cong Des(p)$$

be the Eilenberg-Moore comparison functor.

A morphism  $p : E \rightarrow B$  is a descent morphism if  $\Phi$  is full and faithful.

## 1. Topological approach

For every a continuous map of Priestley spaces  $p : E \rightarrow B$ , the pullback functor  $p^* : \mathcal{P}\mathcal{S}p \downarrow B \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\mathcal{S}p \downarrow E$  has a left adjoint  $p!$  which is defined by composition with  $p$  on the left.

Let  $\mathbb{T}$  be the monad induced by this adjunction and

$$\Phi : \mathcal{P}\mathcal{S}p \downarrow B \rightarrow (\mathcal{P}\mathcal{S}p \downarrow E)^{\mathbb{T}} \cong Des(p)$$

be the Eilenberg-Moore comparison functor.

A morphism  $p : E \rightarrow B$  is a descent morphism if  $\Phi$  is full and faithful.

It is an effective descent morphism if  $\Phi$  is an equivalence of categories.

## *The finite case: descent in Ord*

*FinP**Sp* is equivalent to *FinOrd*.

Proposition 1.1. For a morphism  $p : E \rightarrow B$  in  $\mathcal{O}rd$  (or in  $\mathcal{F}in\mathcal{O}rd$ ) TFAE:

- (i)  $p$  is a descent morphism;
- (ii) for every  $b_0 \leq b_1$  in  $B$  there exists  $e_0 \leq e_1$  in  $E$  such that  $p(e_i) = b_i$ , for  $i=0,1$ .

Theorem 1.2. For  $p : E \rightarrow B$  in  $\mathcal{O}rd$  (or in  $\mathcal{F}in\mathcal{O}rd$ ) TFAE:

- (a)  $p$  is an effective descent morphism;
- (b)  $p$  is a pullback stable regular epimorphism and, for every pullback in  $\mathcal{R}el$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 E \times_B A & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & A \\
 \pi_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha \\
 E & \xrightarrow{p} & B,
 \end{array}$$

$A \in \mathcal{O}rd$  whenever  $E \times_B A \in \mathcal{O}rd$ .

- (c) for every  $b_0 \leq b_1 \leq b_2$  in  $B$  there exists  $e_0 \leq e_1 \leq e_2$  in  $E$  such that  $p(e_i) = b_i$ , for  $i=0,1,2$ .

Theorem 1.2. For  $p : E \rightarrow B$  in  $\mathcal{O}rd$  (or in  $\mathcal{F}in\mathcal{O}rd$ ) TFAE:

- (a)  $p$  is an effective descent morphism;
- (b)  $p$  is a pullback stable regular epimorphism and, for every pullback in  $\mathcal{R}el$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 E \times_B A & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & A \\
 \pi_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha \\
 E & \xrightarrow{p} & B,
 \end{array}$$

$A \in \mathcal{O}rd$  whenever  $E \times_B A \in \mathcal{O}rd$ .

- (c) for every  $b_0 \leq b_1 \leq b_2$  in  $B$  there exists  $e_0 \leq e_1 \leq e_2$  in  $E$  such that  $p(e_i) = b_i$ , for  $i=0,1,2$ .

(G.Janelidze and M.S., 2002)



*Important tool*

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a category with pullbacks and coequalizers and  $\mathcal{D}$  a full subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}$  closed under pullbacks. For a morphism  $p$  in  $\mathcal{D}$  that is an effective descent morphism in  $\mathcal{C}$  the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $p$  is an effective descent morphism in  $\mathcal{D}$ ;
- (ii) for every pullback in  $\mathcal{C}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E \times_B A & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & A \\ \pi_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha \\ E & \xrightarrow{p} & B \end{array}$$

$$E \times_B A \in \mathcal{D} \Rightarrow A \in \mathcal{D}.$$

# *Ordered Stone spaces*

Ordered Stone spaces = Stone spaces equipped with an order relation.

Proposition 1.3. A morphism in  $\mathit{OrdStone}$  is a descent morphism if and only if for every  $b_0 \leq b_1$  there exists  $e_0 \leq e_1$  such that  $p(e_i) = b_i$ , for  $i=0,1$ .

Theorem 1.4. For  $p : E \rightarrow B$  in  $\mathcal{O}rdStone$  TFAE:

- (a)  $p$  is an effective descent morphism;
- (b)  $p$  is a pullback stable regular epimorphism and, for every pullback  $\mathcal{R}elStone$  (= Stone spaces with a binary relation.)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 E \times_B A & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & A \\
 \pi_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha \\
 E & \xrightarrow{p} & B,
 \end{array}$$

$A \in \mathcal{O}rdStone$  whenever  $E \times_B A \in \mathcal{O}rdStone$ ;

- (c) for every  $b_0 \leq b_1 \leq b_2$  in  $B$  there exists  $e_0 \leq e_1 \leq e_2$  in  $E$  such that  $p(e_i) = b_i$ , for  $i=0,1,2$ .

Theorem 1.4. For  $p : E \rightarrow B$  in  $\mathcal{O}rdStone$  TFAE:

- (a)  $p$  is an effective descent morphism;
- (b)  $p$  is a pullback stable regular epimorphism and, for every pullback  $\mathcal{R}elStone$  (= Stone spaces with a binary relation.)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 E \times_B A & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & A \\
 \pi_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha \\
 E & \xrightarrow{p} & B,
 \end{array}$$

$A \in \mathcal{O}rdStone$  whenever  $E \times_B A \in \mathcal{O}rdStone$ ;

- (c) for every  $b_0 \leq b_1 \leq b_2$  in  $B$  there exists  $e_0 \leq e_1 \leq e_2$  in  $E$  such that  $p(e_i) = b_i$ , for  $i=0,1,2$ .

# *Descent for Priestley spaces*



Proposition 1.5. A morphism  $p : E \rightarrow B$  in  $\mathcal{PSp}$  is a descent morphism if and only if for every  $b_0 \leq b_1$  there exists  $e_0 \leq e_1$  such that  $p(e_i) = b_i$ , for  $i=0,1$ .

Theorem 1.6. In the following (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (b) + (c):

- (a)  $p$  is an effective descent morphism;
- (b)  $p$  is a pullback stable regular epimorphism and, for every pullback in  $\mathcal{O}rdStone$  (or in  $\mathcal{R}elStone$ )

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 E \times_B A & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & A \\
 \pi_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha \\
 E & \xrightarrow{p} & B
 \end{array}$$

$A \in \mathcal{P}Sp$  whenever  $E \times_B A \in \mathcal{P}Sp$ ;

- (c) for every  $b_0 \leq b_1 \leq b_2$  in  $B$  there exists  $e_0 \leq e_1 \leq e_2$  in  $E$  such that  $p(e_i) = b_i$ , for  $i=0,1,2$ .

Theorem 1.6. In the following (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (b) + (c):

- (a)  $p$  is an effective descent morphism;
- (b)  $p$  is a pullback stable regular epimorphism and, for every pullback in  $\mathcal{O}rdStone$  (or in  $\mathcal{R}elStone$ )

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 E \times_B A & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & A \\
 \pi_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha \\
 E & \xrightarrow{p} & B
 \end{array}$$

$A \in \mathcal{P}Sp$  whenever  $E \times_B A \in \mathcal{P}Sp$ ;

- (c) for every  $b_0 \leq b_1 \leq b_2$  in  $B$  there exists  $e_0 \leq e_1 \leq e_2$  in  $E$  such that  $p(e_i) = b_i$ , for  $i=0,1,2$ .

(M. Dias and M.S., 2006; G. Janelidze and M.S., 2014)

*Does (b) follow from (c)?*

*Does (b) follow from (c)?*

This is the case when  $B$  is finite, and so we have:

This is the case when  $B$  is finite, and so we have:

Theorem 1.7. A morphism  $p: E \rightarrow B$ , with  $B$  finite, is an effective descent morphism if and only if for every  $b_0 \leq b_1 \leq b_2$  in  $B$  there exists  $e_0 \leq e_1 \leq e_2$  in  $E$  such that  $p(e_i) = b_i$ , for  $i=0,1,2$ .

This is the case when  $B$  is finite, and so we have:

Theorem 1.7. A morphism  $p: E \rightarrow B$ , with  $B$  finite, is an effective descent morphism if and only if for every  $b_0 \leq b_1 \leq b_2$  in  $B$  there exists  $e_0 \leq e_1 \leq e_2$  in  $E$  such that  $p(e_i) = b_i$ , for  $i=0,1,2$ .

Also true for classes of morphisms that are

- (i) surjective;
  - (ii) open and order-open (or order-closed),
- providing wide classes of effective descent morphisms in  $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{S}p$ .

This is the case when  $B$  is finite, and so we have:

Theorem 1.7. A morphism  $p: E \rightarrow B$ , with  $B$  finite, is an effective descent morphism if and only if for every  $b_0 \leq b_1 \leq b_2$  in  $B$  there exists  $e_0 \leq e_1 \leq e_2$  in  $E$  such that  $p(e_i) = b_i$ , for  $i=0,1,2$ .

Also true for classes of morphisms that are

- (i) surjective;
- (ii) open and order-open (or order-closed),

providing wide classes of effective descent morphisms in  $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{S}p$ .  
(G.Janelidze and M.S., 2014)



# *An open problem*

- ▶ Describe morphisms  $p : E \rightarrow B$  in  $\mathcal{PSp}$  such that, for every morphism  $\alpha : A \rightarrow B$  in  $\mathcal{OrdStone}$  (or  $\mathcal{RelStone}$ ),  $A$  belongs to  $\mathcal{PSp}$  whenever  $E \times_B A$  belongs to  $\mathcal{PSp}$ .

- ▶ Describe morphisms  $p : E \rightarrow B$  in  $\mathcal{PSp}$  such that, for every morphism  $\alpha : A \rightarrow B$  in  $\mathcal{OrdStone}$  (or  $\mathcal{RelStone}$ ),  $A$  belongs to  $\mathcal{PSp}$  whenever  $E \times_B A$  belongs to  $\mathcal{PSp}$ .

This holds for morphisms  $p$  lifting three chains  $b_0 \leq b_1 \leq b_2$ , that is satisfying condition (c), in the finite case and also when just  $B$  is finite.

- ▶ Describe morphisms  $p : E \rightarrow B$  in  $\mathcal{PSp}$  such that, for every morphism  $\alpha : A \rightarrow B$  in  $\mathcal{OrdStone}$  (or  $\mathcal{RelStone}$ ),  $A$  belongs to  $\mathcal{PSp}$  whenever  $E \times_B A$  belongs to  $\mathcal{PSp}$ .

This holds for morphisms  $p$  lifting three chains  $b_0 \leq b_1 \leq b_2$ , that is satisfying condition (c), in the finite case and also when just  $B$  is finite.

Such morphisms necessarily lift three chains but this does not seem to be a sufficient condition, in general.

## *2. From PSp to DLat*

Proposition 2.1. Let  $p: B \rightarrow E$  be a homomorphism of distributive lattices. Then  $p$  is a codescent morphism, that is a pushout stable regular monomorphism, if and only if for every pair  $(b_0, b_1)$  of prime ideals of  $B$  with  $b_0 \subseteq b_1$  there exist prime ideals  $e_0 \subseteq e_1$  in  $E$  with  $p^{-1}(e_i) = b_i, i = 0, 1$ .

Theorem 2.2. Let  $p: B \rightarrow E$  be a homomorphism of distributive lattices with finite  $B$ . Then  $p$  is an effective codescent morphism, that is it makes the induced pushout functor

$$B \downarrow \mathcal{DLat} \rightarrow E \downarrow \mathcal{DLat}$$

comonadic, if and only if for every triple  $(b_0, b_1, b_2)$  of prime ideals of  $B$  with  $b_0 \subseteq b_1 \subseteq b_2$  there exist prime ideals  $e_0 \subseteq e_1 \subseteq e_2$  in  $E$  with  $p^{-1}(e_i) = b_i, i = 0, 1, 2$ .

### ***3. Algebraic approach***



### *3. Algebraic approach*

(P2) Describe effective codescent morphisms of commutative monoids in a monoidal category.

(P2) Describe effective codescent morphisms of commutative monoids in a monoidal category.

As easily follows from e.g. results of Janelidze and Tholen in *Facets of descent III*(2004), we have:

(P2) Describe effective codescent morphisms of commutative monoids in a monoidal category.

As easily follows from e.g. results of Janelidze and Tholen in *Facets of descent III*(2004), we have:

Theorem 3.1. Let  $p : B \rightarrow E$  be a homomorphism of commutative monoids in a monoidal category  $\mathcal{X}$  for which there exists a morphism  $q : E \rightarrow B$  in the category  $\mathcal{X}^B$ , of  $B$ -actions, with  $q \cdot p = 1_B$ . Then  $p$  is an effective descent morphism of commutative monoids.

# *Commutative monoids in semilattices*

Then taking  $\mathcal{X}$  the category of semilattices, and since a subsemiring of a semiring of idempotents consists itself of idempotents, we conclude:

Then taking  $\mathcal{X}$  the category of semilattices, and since a subsemiring of a semiring of idempotents consists itself of idempotents, we conclude:

Corollary 3.2. Let  $p : B \rightarrow E$  be a homomorphism of distributive lattices, for which there exists a map  $q : E \rightarrow B$  with

Then taking  $\mathcal{X}$  the category of semilattices, and since a subsemiring of a semiring of idempotents consists itself of idempotents, we conclude:

Corollary 3.2. Let  $p : B \rightarrow E$  be a homomorphism of distributive lattices, for which there exists a map  $q : E \rightarrow B$  with  $q(0) = 0$ ,  $q(e \vee e') = q(e) \vee q(e')$ ,

Then taking  $\mathcal{X}$  the category of semilattices, and since a subsemiring of a semiring of idempotents consists itself of idempotents, we conclude:

Corollary 3.2. Let  $p : B \rightarrow E$  be a homomorphism of distributive lattices, for which there exists a map  $q : E \rightarrow B$  with

$$q(0) = 0, q(e \vee e') = q(e) \vee q(e'),$$

$$q(p(b) \wedge e) = b \wedge q(e),$$



Then taking  $\mathcal{X}$  the category of semilattices, and since a subsemiring of a semiring of idempotents consists itself of idempotents, we conclude:

Corollary 3.2. Let  $p : B \rightarrow E$  be a homomorphism of distributive lattices, for which there exists a map  $q : E \rightarrow B$  with

$$q(0) = 0, q(e \vee e') = q(e) \vee q(e'),$$

$$q(p(b) \wedge e) = b \wedge q(e),$$

such that  $q \cdot p = 1_B$ .

Then taking  $\mathcal{X}$  the category of semilattices, and since a subsemiring of a semiring of idempotents consists itself of idempotents, we conclude:

Corollary 3.2. Let  $p : B \rightarrow E$  be a homomorphism of distributive lattices, for which there exists a map  $q : E \rightarrow B$  with

$$q(0) = 0, q(e \vee e') = q(e) \vee q(e'),$$

$$q(p(b) \wedge e) = b \wedge q(e),$$

such that  $q \cdot p = 1_B$ .

Then  $p$  is an effective codescent morphism of distributive lattices.

## *4. Do they give similar results?*

#### *4. Do they give similar results?*

The answer is far from being “yes”. However in the finite case:

## 4. Do they give similar results?

The answer is far from being “yes”. However in the finite case:

- ▶ Theorem 1.2 tells us that being an effective descent map is much weaker than being open and even much weaker than being a triquotient.

## 4. Do they give similar results?

The answer is far from being “yes”. However in the finite case:

- ▶ Theorem 1.2 tells us that being an effective descent map is much weaker than being open and even much weaker than being a triquotient.

Triquotients of finite topological spaces are exactly those maps  $p : E \rightarrow B$  such that for every  $b_0 \leq b_1 \leq \dots \leq b_n$  in  $B$  there exists  $e_0 \leq e_1 \leq \dots \leq e_n$  in  $E$  with  $p(e_i) = b_i$ , for every natural number  $n$ . (The necessity was proved by G. Janelidze and M.S., 2002 and the sufficiency by M.M.Clementino, 2002)

## 4. Do they give similar results?

The answer is far from being “yes”. However in the finite case:

- ▶ Theorem 1.2 tells us that being an effective descent map is much weaker than being open and even much weaker than being a triquotient.
- ▶ Corollary 3.2 gives a sufficient condition that is *between* “open” and “triquotient”, comparing with the notions of open and triquotient for locales which are monoids in the monoidal category of complete semilattices.





J. Bénabou and J. Roubaud, Monades et descente, C.R.Acad. Sci. 270 (1970), 96-98.

J. Bénabou and J. Roubaud, Monades et descente, C.R.Acad. Sci. 270 (1970), 96-98.

G.Birkhoff, On the combination of subalgebras, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Society 29 (1933), 441-464.

J. Bénabou and J. Roubaud, Monades et descente, C.R.Acad. Sci. 270 (1970), 96-98.

G.Birkhoff, On the combination of subalgebras, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Society 29 (1933), 441-464.

M.M.Clementino, On finite triquotient maps, J.Pure Appl. Algebra 168 (2002), 387-389.

J. Bénabou and J. Roubaud, Monades et descente, C.R.Acad. Sci. 270 (1970), 96-98.

G.Birkhoff, On the combination of subalgebras, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Society 29 (1933), 441-464.

M.M.Clementino, On finite triquotient maps, J.Pure Appl. Algebra 168 (2002), 387-389.

M.Dias and M. Sobral, Descent for Priestley spaces, Appl. Cat. Struct. 14 (2006), 229-241.

J. Bénabou and J. Roubaud, Monades et descente, C.R.Acad. Sci. 270 (1970), 96-98.

G.Birkhoff, On the combination of subalgebras, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Society 29 (1933), 441-464.

M.M.Clementino, On finite triquotient maps, J.Pure Appl. Algebra 168 (2002), 387-389.

M.Dias and M. Sobral, Descent for Priestley spaces, Appl. Cat. Struct. 14 (2006), 229-241.

G. Janelidze and M. Sobral, Finite preorders and topological descent I, J.Pure Appl. Algebra 175 (2002), 187-205.

J. Bénabou and J. Roubaud, Monades et descente, C.R.Acad. Sci. 270 (1970), 96-98.

G.Birkhoff, On the combination of subalgebras, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Society 29 (1933), 441-464.

M.M.Clementino, On finite triquotient maps, J.Pure Appl. Algebra 168 (2002), 387-389.

M.Dias and M. Sobral, Descent for Priestley spaces, Appl. Cat. Struct. 14 (2006), 229-241.

G. Janelidze and M. Sobral, Finite preorders and topological descent I, J.Pure Appl. Algebra 175 (2002), 187-205.

G. Janelidze and M. Sobral, What are effective descent morphisms of Priestley spaces?, Topology and its Applications, 168 (2014), 135-143.



G. Janelidze and W. Tholen, Facets of descent I; II; III; Appl. Cat. Struct. 2 (1994), 245-281; 5 (1997), 229-248; 10 (2008), 461-467.



G. Janelidze and W. Tholen, Facets of descent I; II; III; Appl. Cat. Struct. 2 (1994), 245-281; 5 (1997), 229-248; 10 (2008), 461-467.

A. Joyal and M. Tierney, An Extension of the Galois Theory of Grothendieck, Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Society 309 (1984).

G. Janelidze and W. Tholen, Facets of descent I; II; III; Appl. Cat. Struct. 2 (1994), 245-281; 5 (1997), 229-248; 10 (2008), 461-467.

A. Joyal and M. Tierney, An Extension of the Galois Theory of Grothendieck, Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Society 309 (1984).

T. Plewe, Localic triquotient maps are effective descent morphisms, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 122 (1997), 17-43.

G. Janelidze and W. Tholen, Facets of descent I; II; III; Appl. Cat. Struct. 2 (1994), 245-281; 5 (1997), 229-248; 10 (2008), 461-467.

A. Joyal and M. Tierney, An Extension of the Galois Theory of Grothendieck, Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Society 309 (1984).

T. Plewe, Localic triquotient maps are effective descent morphisms, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 122 (1997), 17-43.

H. A. Priestley, Representation of distributive lattices by means of ordered Stone spaces, Bull. London Math. Soc. 2 (1970), 186-190.

G. Janelidze and W. Tholen, Facets of descent I; II; III; Appl. Cat. Struct. 2 (1994), 245-281; 5 (1997), 229-248; 10 (2008), 461-467.

A. Joyal and M. Tierney, An Extension of the Galois Theory of Grothendieck, Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Society 309 (1984).

T. Plewe, Localic triquotient maps are effective descent morphisms, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 122 (1997), 17-43.

H. A. Priestley, Representation of distributive lattices by means of ordered Stone spaces, Bull. London Math. Soc. 2 (1970), 186-190.

M. Sobral and W. Tholen, Effective descent morphisms and effective equivalence relations, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 13 (1992), 421-433.