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We consider two natural operations on modal logics -

> lexicographic (or ordered) sums and products.

For such systems we present general completeness results.

We consider two natural operations on modal logics -

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { lexicographic (or ordered) } \\
& \text { sums and products. }
\end{aligned}
$$

For such systems we present general completeness results.
Like "usual" product of modal logics, the lexicographic sum and the lexicographic product of modal logics are defined semantically via corresponding operation on their frames.

## Sum of frames

## Definition

Let $\mathrm{I}=(I, S)$ be a frame, $\left\{\mathrm{F}_{i}=\left(W_{i}, R_{i}\right) \mid i \in I\right\}$ be a family of frames. The lexicographic (or ordered) sum $\sum_{l} F_{i}$ is the frame
( $W, R_{+}, S_{+}$), where $W$ is the disjoin sum
$\sum_{I} W_{i}=\left\{(w, i) \mid i \in I, w \in W_{i}\right\}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
(w, i) R_{+}(u, j) & \Longleftrightarrow i=j \& w R_{i} u \\
(w, i) S_{+}(u, j) & \Longleftrightarrow i S j .
\end{aligned}
$$

I is "vertical", $F_{i}$ are "horizontal".

## Sum of logics

## Definition

$\sum_{L_{2}} L_{1}$ is the logic of sums where "horizontal" frames are $L_{1}$-frames, and the "vertical" frame is an $L_{2}$-frame:

$$
\sum_{L_{2}} L_{1}=\log \left(\left\{\sum_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{~F}_{i} \mid \mathrm{I} \models L_{2}, \quad\left\{\mathrm{~F}_{i} \mid i \text { in } \mathrm{I}\right\} \models L_{1}\right\}\right) .
$$

## Problem

To construct the axiomatization of $\sum_{L_{2}} L_{1}$, knowing the logics $L_{1}, L_{2}$.

## Some history

In 2007, Lev Beklemishev constructed the axiomatization of

$$
\sum_{G L} G L
$$
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## Some history

In 2007, Lev Beklemishev constructed the axiomatization of

$$
\sum_{G L} G L, \quad \sum_{G L}\left(\sum_{G L} G L\right), \quad \sum_{G L}\left(\sum_{G L}\left(\sum_{G L} G L\right)\right)
$$

[L. Beklemishev. Kripke semantics for provability logic GLP. 2010] In the context of decidability and complexity, the sum operation turns out to be a good operation!

In many cases the sum operation preserves complexity of logics. In particular, all the above logics are in PSPACE ([Sh, 2008]); it follows that GLP is in PSPACE.

Simultaneously, Sergey Babenyshev and Vladimir Rybakov developed filtrations for sums, and proved a number of decidability results.
[Babenyshev, Rybakov. Logics of Kripke meta-models. 2010]
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\begin{aligned}
\alpha=\square_{2} p \rightarrow \square_{1} \square_{2} p, \quad \beta & =\square_{2} p \rightarrow \square_{2} \square_{1} p, \quad \gamma=\diamond_{2} p \rightarrow \square_{1} \diamond_{2} p \\
\sum_{G L} G L & =G L * G L+\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha=\square_{2} p \rightarrow \square_{1} \square_{2} p, \quad \beta & =\square_{2} p \rightarrow \square_{2} \square_{1} p, \quad \gamma=\diamond_{2} p \rightarrow \square_{1} \diamond_{2} p \\
\sum_{G L} G L & =G L * G L+\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}
\end{aligned}
$$

What is the meaning of the formulas $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ ?

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha=\square_{2} p \rightarrow \square_{1} \square_{2} p, \quad \beta & =\square_{2} p \rightarrow \square_{2} \square_{1} p, \quad \gamma=\diamond_{2} p \rightarrow \square_{1} \diamond_{2} p \\
\sum_{G L} G L & =G L * G L+\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}
\end{aligned}
$$

What is the meaning of the formulas $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ ?
$\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ are Sahlqvist formulas. For $\mathrm{F}=\left(W, R_{1}, R_{2}\right)$, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{F} \models \alpha \Longleftrightarrow R_{1} \circ R_{2} \subseteq R_{2} \\
& \mathrm{~F} \models \beta \Longleftrightarrow R_{2} \circ R_{1} \subseteq R_{2} \\
& \mathrm{~F} \models \gamma \Longleftrightarrow R_{1}^{-1} \circ R_{2} \subseteq R_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha=\square_{2} p \rightarrow \square_{1} \square_{2} p, \quad \beta & =\square_{2} p \rightarrow \square_{2} \square_{1} p, \quad \gamma=\diamond_{2} p \rightarrow \square_{1} \diamond_{2} p \\
\sum_{G L} G L & =G L * G L+\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}
\end{aligned}
$$

What is the meaning of the formulas $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ ?
$\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ are Sahlqvist formulas. For $\mathrm{F}=\left(W, R_{1}, R_{2}\right)$, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{F} \models \alpha \Longleftrightarrow R_{1} \circ R_{2} \subseteq R_{2} \\
& \mathrm{~F} \models \beta \Longleftrightarrow R_{2} \circ R_{1} \subseteq R_{2} \\
& \mathrm{~F} \models \gamma \Longleftrightarrow R_{1}^{-1} \circ R_{2} \subseteq R_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma (2014)
Consider a rooted frame $\mathrm{F}=\left(W, R_{1}, R_{2}\right)$.
$\mathrm{F} \models \alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \gamma$ iff F is a p-morphic image of a sum.
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## Lemma (2014)

Consider a rooted frame $\mathrm{F}=\left(W, R_{1}, R_{2}\right)$.
$\mathrm{F} \models \alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \gamma$ iff F is a p-morphic image of a sum.
Corollary
$\sum_{K} K=K * K+\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$

By a closed sentence we mean the standard translation of a closed modal formula.

Horn sentences: $\forall x_{1} \ldots x_{n}\left(\psi_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \psi_{k} \rightarrow \psi_{0}\right)$, where $\psi_{i}$ are atoms.

A logic $L$ is Horn axiomatizable, if Frames $(L)$ is an elementary class that is defined by Horn sentences and closed sentences. The standard systems K, T, B, K4, S4, S5, . . are examples of Horn axiomatizable logics.

## Theorem 1

Let $L_{1} * L_{2}+\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ be Kripke complete, $L_{2}$ Horn axiomatizable. Then $\sum_{L_{2}} L_{1}=L_{1} * L_{2}+\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$.

## Corollary

Let $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ be canonical unimodal logics, $L_{2}$ Horn axiomatizable. Then $\sum_{L_{2}} L_{1}=L_{1} * L_{2}+\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$.

## Lexicographic products of frames

## Definition

Let $\mathrm{I}=(I, S)$ be a frame, $\left\{\mathrm{F}_{i}=\left(W_{i}, R_{i}\right) \mid i \in I\right\}$ be a family of frames. The lexicographic (or ordered) sum $\sum_{l} F_{i}$ is the frame ( $W, R_{+}, S_{+}$), where $W$ is the disjoin sum $\sum_{l} W_{i}=\left\{(w, i) \mid i \in I, w \in W_{i}\right\}$, and
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\begin{aligned}
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(w, i) S_{+}(u, j) & \Longleftrightarrow i S j .
\end{aligned}
$$

If for all $i F_{i}=F$, we write $F \lambda I$ for $\sum_{I} F_{i}$; the frame $F \lambda I$ is called the lexicographic product of frames F and I .

## Lexicographic products of logics

Definition
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## Problem

To construct the axiomatization of $L_{1} \lambda L_{2}$, knowing the logics $L_{1}, L_{2}$.
[Ph. Balbiani, Axiomatization and completeness of lexicographic products of modal logics. 2009.]
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Question (2009)

$$
\mathrm{K} \lambda \mathrm{~K}=?
$$

$\Phi$ is the set of all closed formulas in the modal language $\operatorname{ML}\left(\square_{1}\right)$.

## Theorem 3

If $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are Horn axiomatizable Kripke complete logics, then

$$
L_{1} \lambda L_{2}=L_{1} * L_{2}+\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\} \cup \Xi_{1} \cup \bar{\Xi}_{2} \cup \bar{\Xi}_{3},
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{\Xi}_{1}=\left\{\Delta_{2} \nabla_{2} p \wedge \Delta_{2} \varphi \rightarrow \Delta_{2}\left(\Delta_{2} p \wedge \varphi\right) \mid \varphi \in \Phi\right\}, \\
& \bar{\Xi}_{2}=\left\{\Delta_{2} \square_{2} \perp \wedge \Delta_{2} \varphi \rightarrow \diamond_{2}\left(\square_{2} \perp \wedge \varphi\right) \mid \varphi \in \Phi\right\}, \\
& \bar{\Xi}_{3}=\left\{\diamond_{2}^{i} \varphi \rightarrow \square_{2}^{j}\left(\diamond_{2} \top \rightarrow \diamond_{2} \varphi\right) \mid i, j \geq 0, \varphi \in \Phi\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that
if $\diamond T \in L_{1}$, then

$$
L_{1} * L_{2}+\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\} \cup \Xi_{1} \cup \bar{\Xi}_{2} \cup \bar{\Xi}_{3}=L_{1} * L_{2}+\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}
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## By the way...

Similar situation appears in topological (neighborhood) products of modal logics:
[J. van Benthem, G Bezhanishvili, B. ten Cate, D. Sarenac, 2006], [Kudinov, 2012]
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$$
\mathbf{K} \times_{N} \mathrm{~K}=\mathbf{K} * \mathrm{~K}+\Delta,
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta=\left\{\phi \rightarrow \square_{2} \phi \mid \phi \text { is closed } \square_{1} \text {-formula }\right\} \cup \\
\left\{\psi \rightarrow \square_{1} \psi \mid \psi \text { is closed } \square_{2} \text {-formula }\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$
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## Decidability and complexity of lexicographic products

From the computational point of view, lexicographic products are safer than "usual" modal products.
For example, the satisfiability problem for $\mathrm{S} 4 \lambda \mathrm{~S} 4$ is in PSPACE.

## Theorem

Let $L_{1}, L_{2}$ be Kripke complete unimodal logics, and both $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ admit filtration. Then $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ have the $\lambda$-fmp, i.e.,

$$
L_{1} \lambda L_{2}=\log \left(\left\{F_{1} \lambda F_{2}\left|F_{i}\right|=L_{i}, F_{i} \text { are finite }\right\}\right)
$$

Thank you!

