Complete axiomatizations of lexicographic sums and products of modal logics

Philippe Balbiani¹ Ilya Shapirovsky²

 Institut de Recherche en Informatique de Toulouse, CNRS — Toulouse University
 Institute for Information Transmission Problems, Russian Academy of Sciences

Topology, Algebra, and Categories in Logic (TACL 2015) Ischia (Italy), June 22, 2015

うして ふゆう ふほう ふほう うらう

We consider two natural operations on modal logics -

lexicographic (or *ordered*) *sums* and *products*.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ つ へ ()

For such systems we present general completeness results.

We consider two natural operations on modal logics -

lexicographic (or *ordered*) *sums* and *products*.

For such systems we present general completeness results.

Like "usual" product of modal logics, the lexicographic sum and the lexicographic product of modal logics are defined semantically — via corresponding operation on their frames.

Definition

Let I = (I, S) be a frame, $\{F_i = (W_i, R_i) \mid i \in I\}$ be a family of frames. The *lexicographic* (or *ordered*) sum $\sum_{i} F_i$ is the frame (W, R_+, S_+) , where W is the disjoin sum $\sum_{i} W_i = \{(w, i) \mid i \in I, w \in W_i\}$, and $(w, i)R_+(u, j) \iff i = j \& wR_iu$, $(w, i)S_+(u, j) \iff iSj$.

ション ふゆ く 山 マ チャット しょうくしゃ

l is "vertical", F_i are "horizontal".

Definition

 $\sum_{L_2} L_1$ is the logic of sums where "horizontal" frames are L_1 -frames, and the "vertical" frame is an L_2 -frame:

$$\sum_{L_2} L_1 = \operatorname{Log}(\{\sum_{I} \mathsf{F}_i \mid I \models L_2, \{\mathsf{F}_i \mid i \text{ in } I\} \models L_1\}).$$

Problem

To construct the axiomatization of $\sum_{L_2} L_1$, knowing the logics L_1, L_2 .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Some history

In 2007, Lev Beklemishev constructed the axiomatization of

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ



Some history

In 2007, Lev Beklemishev constructed the axiomatization of

$$\sum_{GL} GL, \quad \sum_{GL} \left(\sum_{GL} GL \right), \quad \sum_{GL} \left(\sum_{GL} GL \right) \right), \quad \dots$$

[L. Beklemishev. Kripke semantics for provability logic GLP. 2010]

Some history

In 2007, Lev Beklemishev constructed the axiomatization of

$$\sum_{GL} GL, \quad \sum_{GL} \left(\sum_{GL} GL \right), \quad \sum_{GL} \left(\sum_{GL} GL \right) \right), \quad \dots$$

[L. Beklemishev. Kripke semantics for provability logic GLP. 2010]

In the context of decidability and complexity, the sum operation turns out to be a good operation!

In many cases the sum operation preserves complexity of logics. In particular, all the above logics are in *PSPACE* ([Sh, 2008]); it follows that *GLP* is in *PSPACE*.

Simultaneously, Sergey Babenyshev and Vladimir Rybakov developed filtrations for sums, and proved a number of decidability results.

- ロト - 4 目 - 4 目 - 4 目 - 9 9 9

[Babenyshev, Rybakov. Logics of Kripke meta-models. 2010]

$\alpha = \Box_2 p \to \Box_1 \Box_2 p, \quad \beta = \Box_2 p \to \Box_2 \Box_1 p, \quad \gamma = \Diamond_2 p \to \Box_1 \Diamond_2 p$ $\sum_{GL} GL = GL * GL + \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへで

$\alpha = \Box_2 p \to \Box_1 \Box_2 p, \quad \beta = \Box_2 p \to \Box_2 \Box_1 p, \quad \gamma = \Diamond_2 p \to \Box_1 \Diamond_2 p$ $\sum_{GL} GL = GL * GL + \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

What is the meaning of the formulas α, β, γ ?

$$\alpha = \Box_2 p \to \Box_1 \Box_2 p, \quad \beta = \Box_2 p \to \Box_2 \Box_1 p, \quad \gamma = \Diamond_2 p \to \Box_1 \Diamond_2 p$$
$$\sum_{GL} GL = GL * GL + \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$$

What is the meaning of the formulas α, β, γ ?

 α, β, γ are Sahlqvist formulas. For $F = (W, R_1, R_2)$, we have:

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{F} \models \alpha \iff R_1 \circ R_2 \subseteq R_2; \\ \mathsf{F} \models \beta \iff R_2 \circ R_1 \subseteq R_2; \\ \mathsf{F} \models \gamma \iff R_1^{-1} \circ R_2 \subseteq R_2. \end{array}$$

$$\alpha = \Box_2 p \to \Box_1 \Box_2 p, \quad \beta = \Box_2 p \to \Box_2 \Box_1 p, \quad \gamma = \Diamond_2 p \to \Box_1 \Diamond_2 p$$
$$\sum_{GL} GL = GL * GL + \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$$

What is the meaning of the formulas α, β, γ ?

 α, β, γ are Sahlqvist formulas. For $F = (W, R_1, R_2)$, we have:

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{F} \models \alpha \iff R_1 \circ R_2 \subseteq R_2; \\ \mathsf{F} \models \beta \iff R_2 \circ R_1 \subseteq R_2; \\ \mathsf{F} \models \gamma \iff R_1^{-1} \circ R_2 \subseteq R_2. \end{array}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへの

Lemma (2014)

Consider a rooted frame $F = (W, R_1, R_2)$. $F \models \alpha \land \beta \land \gamma$ iff F is a *p*-morphic image of a sum.

$$\alpha = \Box_2 p \to \Box_1 \Box_2 p, \quad \beta = \Box_2 p \to \Box_2 \Box_1 p, \quad \gamma = \Diamond_2 p \to \Box_1 \Diamond_2 p$$
$$\sum_{GL} GL = GL * GL + \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$$

What is the meaning of the formulas α, β, γ ?

 α, β, γ are Sahlqvist formulas. For $F = (W, R_1, R_2)$, we have:

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{F} \models \alpha \iff R_1 \circ R_2 \subseteq R_2; \\ \mathsf{F} \models \beta \iff R_2 \circ R_1 \subseteq R_2; \\ \mathsf{F} \models \gamma \iff R_1^{-1} \circ R_2 \subseteq R_2. \end{array}$$

Lemma (2014)

Consider a rooted frame $F = (W, R_1, R_2)$. $F \models \alpha \land \beta \land \gamma$ iff F is a *p*-morphic image of a sum.

Corollary $\sum_{K} K = K * K + \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ By a *closed sentence* we mean the standard translation of a closed modal formula.

Horn sentences: $\forall x_1 \dots x_n (\psi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \psi_k \rightarrow \psi_0)$, where ψ_i are atoms.

A logic *L* is *Horn axiomatizable*, if Frames(L) is an elementary class that is defined by Horn sentences and closed sentences. The standard systems $K, T, B, K4, S4, S5, \ldots$ are examples of Horn axiomatizable logics.

Theorem 1

Let $L_1 * L_2 + \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ be Kripke complete, L_2 Horn axiomatizable. Then $\sum_{L_2} L_1 = L_1 * L_2 + \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$.

Corollary

Let L_1 and L_2 be canonical unimodal logics, L_2 Horn axiomatizable. Then $\sum_{L_2} L_1 = L_1 * L_2 + \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}.$

Lexicographic products of frames

Definition

Let I = (I, S) be a frame, $\{F_i = (W_i, R_i) \mid i \in I\}$ be a family of frames. The *lexicographic* (or *ordered*) sum $\sum_i F_i$ is the frame (W, R_+, S_+) , where W is the disjoin sum $\sum_i W_i = \{(w, i) \mid i \in I, w \in W_i\}$, and $(w, i)R_+(u, j) \iff i = j \& wR_iu$, $(w, i)S_+(u, j) \iff iSj$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへ⊙

Lexicographic products of frames

Definition

Let I = (I, S) be a frame, $\{F_i = (W_i, R_i) \mid i \in I\}$ be a family of frames. The *lexicographic* (or *ordered*) sum $\sum_i F_i$ is the frame (W, R_+, S_+) , where W is the disjoin sum $\sum_i W_i = \{(w, i) \mid i \in I, w \in W_i\}$, and $(w, i)R_+(u, j) \iff i = j \& wR_iu$, $(w, i)S_+(u, j) \iff iSj$.

If for all $i \ F_i = F$, we write $F \ge I$ for $\sum_{i} F_i$; the frame $F \ge I$ is called the *lexicographic product of frames* F and I.

ション ふゆ く 山 マ チャット しょうくしゃ

Definition

For logics L_1 , L_2 , put

$$L_1 \times L_2 = \operatorname{Log}(\{\mathsf{F} \times \mathsf{I} \mid \mathsf{F} \models L_1, \mathsf{I} \models L_2\}).$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

Problem

To construct the axiomatization of $L_1 > L_2$, knowing the logics L_1, L_2 .

Definition

For logics L_1 , L_2 , put

 $L_1 \times L_2 = \operatorname{Log}(\{\mathsf{F} \times \mathsf{I} \mid \mathsf{F} \models L_1, \mathsf{I} \models L_2\}).$

Problem

To construct the axiomatization of $L_1 > L_2$, knowing the logics L_1, L_2 .

[*Ph. Balbiani, Axiomatization and completeness of lexicographic products of modal logics. 2009.*]

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ●

Theorem 2 (2009; 2014)

lf

• L_1 and L_2 are Horn axiomatizable Kripke complete logics, • $\Diamond \top \in L_1$,

then

$$L_1 \succ L_2 = L_1 * L_2 + \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\},$$

Theorem 2 (2009; 2014)

lf

L₁ and L₂ are Horn axiomatizable Kripke complete logics,
◊⊤ ∈ L₁,

then

$$L_1 \succ L_2 = L_1 * L_2 + \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\},$$

and hence,

$$L_1 \succ L_2 = \sum_{L_2} L_1.$$

Theorem 2 (2009; 2014)

lf

L₁ and L₂ are Horn axiomatizable Kripke complete logics,
◊⊤ ∈ L₁,

then

$$L_1 \succ L_2 = L_1 * L_2 + \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\},$$

and hence,

$$L_1 \succ L_2 = \sum_{L_2} L_1.$$

Question (2009)

$$K \ge K = ?$$

 Φ is the set of all closed formulas in the modal language $ML(\Box_1)$.

Theorem 3

If L_1 and L_2 are Horn axiomatizable Kripke complete logics, then

$$L_1 > L_2 = L_1 * L_2 + \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\} \cup \Xi_1 \cup \Xi_2 \cup \Xi_3,$$

where

$$\begin{split} \Xi_1 &= \{ \Diamond_2 \Diamond_2 p \land \Diamond_2 \varphi \to \Diamond_2 (\Diamond_2 p \land \varphi) \mid \varphi \in \Phi \}, \\ \Xi_2 &= \{ \Diamond_2 \Box_2 \bot \land \Diamond_2 \varphi \to \Diamond_2 (\Box_2 \bot \land \varphi) \mid \varphi \in \Phi \}, \\ \Xi_3 &= \{ \Diamond_2^i \varphi \to \Box_2^j (\Diamond_2 \top \to \Diamond_2 \varphi) \mid i, j \ge 0, \ \varphi \in \Phi \} \end{split}$$

Note that if $\Diamond \top \in L_1$, then

 $L_1 * L_2 + \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\} \cup \Xi_1 \cup \Xi_2 \cup \Xi_3 = L_1 * L_2 + \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$

. . .

Similar situation appears in topological (neighborhood) products of modal logics:

[J. van Benthem, G Bezhanishvili, B. ten Cate, D. Sarenac, 2006], [Kudinov, 2012]

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ●

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{S4} \times_N \mathsf{S4} = \mathsf{S4} * \mathsf{S4}, \\ (\mathsf{K} + \Diamond \top) \times_N \mathsf{S4} = (\mathsf{K} + \Diamond \top) * \mathsf{S4}, \\ (\mathsf{K} + \Diamond \top) \times_N (\mathsf{K} + \Diamond \top) = (\mathsf{K} + \Diamond \top) * (\mathsf{K} + \Diamond \top), \end{array}$

Similar situation appears in topological (neighborhood) products of modal logics:

[J. van Benthem, G Bezhanishvili, B. ten Cate, D. Sarenac, 2006], [Kudinov, 2012]

 $\begin{aligned} & \mathsf{S4} \times_N \mathsf{S4} = \mathsf{S4} * \mathsf{S4}, \\ & (\mathsf{K} + \Diamond \top) \times_N \mathsf{S4} = (\mathsf{K} + \Diamond \top) * \mathsf{S4}, \\ & (\mathsf{K} + \Diamond \top) \times_N (\mathsf{K} + \Diamond \top) = (\mathsf{K} + \Diamond \top) * (\mathsf{K} + \Diamond \top), \\ & \cdots \end{aligned}$

[Kudinov, 2014]

 $\mathbf{K} \times_{N} \mathbf{K} = \mathbf{K} \ast \mathbf{K} + \Delta,$

where

 $\Delta = \{ \phi \to \Box_2 \phi \mid \phi \text{ is closed } \Box_1 \text{-formula} \} \cup \\ \{ \psi \to \Box_1 \psi \mid \psi \text{ is closed } \Box_2 \text{-formula} \}.$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 のへで

 Φ is the set of all closed formulas in the modal language $ML(\Box_1)$.

Theorem 3

If L_1 and L_2 are Horn axiomatizable Kripke complete logics, then

$$L_1 > L_2 = L_1 * L_2 + \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\} \cup \Xi_1 \cup \Xi_2 \cup \Xi_3,$$

where

$$\begin{split} \Xi_1 &= \{ \Diamond_2 \Diamond_2 p \land \Diamond_2 \varphi \to \Diamond_2 (\Diamond_2 p \land \varphi) \mid \varphi \in \Phi \}, \\ \Xi_2 &= \{ \Diamond_2 \Box_2 \bot \land \Diamond_2 \varphi \to \Diamond_2 (\Box_2 \bot \land \varphi) \mid \varphi \in \Phi \}, \\ \Xi_3 &= \{ \Diamond_2^i \varphi \to \Box_2^j (\Diamond_2 \top \to \Diamond_2 \varphi) \mid i, j \ge 0, \ \varphi \in \Phi \} \end{split}$$

Note that if $\Diamond \top \in L_1$, then

 $L_1 * L_2 + \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\} \cup \Xi_1 \cup \Xi_2 \cup \Xi_3 = L_1 * L_2 + \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$

From the computational point of view, lexicographic products are safer than "usual" modal products.

For example, the satisfiability problem for S4 > S4 is in *PSPACE*.

Theorem

Let L_1, L_2 be Kripke complete unimodal logics, and both L_1 and L_2 admit filtration. Then L_1 and L_2 have the λ -fmp, i.e.,

 $L_1 > L_2 = \text{Log}(\{\mathsf{F}_1 > \mathsf{F}_2 \mid \mathsf{F}_i \models L_i, \mathsf{F}_i \text{ are finite}\}).$

ション ふゆ く 山 マ チャット しょうくしゃ

Thank you!

◆□ > < 個 > < E > < E > E 9 < 0</p>