

Dense completeness theorem for protoalgebraic logics

Petr Cintula¹ Carles Noguera²

¹Institute of Computer Science
Czech Academy of Sciences

²Institute of Information Theory and Automation
Czech Academy of Sciences

Outline

- 1 A logic, a question, and a logician
- 2 Let us first study the problem in a controlled setting
- 3 Let us now look at the problem in full generality

Once upon a time, there was a logic . . .

Once upon a time, there was a logic . . .

Its name was **full Lambek calculus with exchange** FL_e , and it has

Once upon a time, there was a logic . . .

Its name was **full Lambek calculus with exchange** FL_e , and it has

- a language $\mathcal{L} = \{\rightarrow, \&, \wedge, \vee, 0, 1\}$
- a nice Getzen and Hilbert style calculi
- a provability relation \vdash_{FL_e}
- an algebraic semantics given by the variety FL_e of all pointed commutative residuated lattices
- a natural notion of semantical consequence w.r.t. arbitrary $\mathbb{K} \subseteq \text{FL}_e$:
$$\Gamma \vDash_{\mathbb{K}} \varphi \text{ iff } (\forall \mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{K})(\forall e: \mathbf{Term}_{\mathcal{L}} \rightarrow \mathbf{A})[(\forall \gamma \in \Gamma)(e(\gamma) \geq 1) \Rightarrow e(\varphi) \geq 1]$$
- a completeness theorem: $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{FL}_e} \varphi \quad \text{iff} \quad \Gamma \vDash_{\text{FL}_e} \varphi$

And some people wondered ...

And some people wondered ...

*How can we axiomatize its extension given by
completely densely linearly ordered
 FL_e -algebras?*

Then a wise man splitted the question into three parts ...



MONTEPULCIANO 2013
Franco Montagna
Italy



Then a wise man splitted the question into three parts ...

Franco Montagna observed that:

$$\Gamma \vdash_{\text{FL}_e} \varphi \quad \text{iff} \quad \Gamma \models_{\text{FL}_e} \varphi \Rightarrow \Gamma \models_{\text{FL}_e^\ell} \varphi \Rightarrow \Gamma \models_{\text{FL}_e^\delta} \varphi \Rightarrow \Gamma \models_{\text{FL}_e^{\text{std}}} \varphi,$$

where:

- FL_e^ℓ are the linearly ordered FL_e -algebras
- FL_e^δ are the densely linearly ordered FL_e -algebras
- FL_e^{std} are the completely densely linearly ordered FL_e -algebras

Then a wise man splitted the question into three parts ...

Franco Montagna observed that:

$$\Gamma \vdash_{\text{FL}_e} \varphi \quad \text{iff} \quad \Gamma \vDash_{\text{FL}_e} \varphi \stackrel{1}{\Rightarrow} \Gamma \vDash_{\text{FL}_e^\ell} \varphi \stackrel{2}{\Rightarrow} \Gamma \vDash_{\text{FL}_e^\delta} \varphi \stackrel{3}{\Rightarrow} \Gamma \vDash_{\text{FL}_e^{\text{std}}} \varphi,$$

where:

- FL_e^ℓ are the linearly ordered FL_e -algebras
- FL_e^δ are the densely linearly ordered FL_e -algebras
- FL_e^{std} are the completely densely linearly ordered FL_e -algebras

- He knew that there was an axiomatization for $\vDash_{\text{FL}_e^\ell}$
- He knew that the implication 3 could be reversed
- He showed that the implication 2 could be also reversed

In this talk we study the last step in general

How can we tell that a logic of chains is also a logic of dense chains?

In this talk we study the last step in general

How can we tell that a logic of chains is also a logic of dense chains?

Originally this **kind of** problems were solved *purely algebraically*
by embedding any countable chain into a dense one

Franco (with Sandor Jenei) did it e.g. for the logics FL_{ew} or FL_w

This approach however failed in FL_c .

In this talk we study the last step in general

How can we tell that a logic of chains is also a logic of dense chains?

So again **Franco** (with George Metcalfe, building on the work by Takeuti and Titani) proposed a *proof-theoretic* approach:

- Extend a suitable calculus of the logic of chains by a “density rule”
- Show that the logic of this calculus is the logic of dense chains
- Eliminate the density rule

In this talk we study the last step in general

How can we tell that a logic of chains is also a logic of dense chains?

So again **Franco** (with George Metcalfe, building on the work by Takeuti and Titani) proposed a *proof-theoretic* approach:

- Extend a suitable calculus of the logic of chains by a “**density rule**”
- Show that the logic of this calculus is the logic of dense chains
- Eliminate the density rule

The last step was studied in general by Ciabattoni and Metcalfe

We focus on the second step in the setting of abstract algebraic logic

Outline

- 1 A logic, a question, and a logician
- 2 Let us first study the problem in a controlled setting**
- 3 Let us now look at the problem in full generality

What is a logic? (for now)

Let us recall a few known facts:

- For any quasivariety $Q \subseteq \mathbb{FL}_e$ there is a consequence relation L_Q axiomatized by adding axioms and finitary rules to \mathbb{FL}_e s.t. $\vdash_{L_Q} = \vDash_Q$
- For any consequence relation L axiomatized by adding axioms and finitary rules to \mathbb{FL}_e there is a quasivariety $Q_L \subseteq \mathbb{FL}_e$ s.t. $\vdash_L = \vDash_{Q_L}$
- $Q = Q_{L_Q}$ and $L = L_{Q_L}$

Logic L: an arbitrary extension of \mathbb{FL}_e by axioms and finitary rules or, equivalently, the logic of a quasivariety of \mathbb{FL}_e -algebras

A prerequisite: How can we recognize a logic of chains?

Notation: by \mathbb{Q}_L^ℓ we denote the set of linearly ordered algebras in \mathbb{Q}_L

Theorem (Cintula 2005)

Let L be a logic. TFAE:

1. L is semilinear, i.e., $\vdash_L = \models_{\mathbb{Q}_L^\ell}$
2. L has the **semilinearity property**, i.e., for each $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi, \psi, \chi\} \subseteq Fm_{\mathcal{L}}$:

$$\frac{\Gamma, \varphi \rightarrow \psi \vdash_L \chi \quad \Gamma, \psi \rightarrow \varphi \vdash_L \chi}{\Gamma \vdash_L \chi}$$

Main theorem (in the present, very restricted, setting)

Notation: by \mathbb{Q}_L^δ we denote the set of densely ordered algebras in \mathbb{Q}_L^ℓ

Theorem

Let L be a *semilinear* logic. TFAE:

1. L is dense complete, i.e., $\vdash_L = \vDash_{\mathbb{Q}_L^\delta}$
2. Every countable chain in \mathbb{Q}_L^ℓ can be embedded into a dense one
3. L has the *density property*, i.e., for each $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi, \psi, \chi\} \subseteq Fm_L$ and any variable p *not occurring* in $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi, \psi, \chi\}$:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_L (\varphi \rightarrow p) \vee (p \rightarrow \psi) \vee \chi}{\Gamma \vdash_L (\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \vee \chi}$$

Main theorem (in the present, very restricted, setting)

Notation: by \mathbb{Q}_L^δ we denote the set of densely ordered algebras in \mathbb{Q}_L^ℓ

Theorem

Let L be a *semilinear* logic. TFAE:

1. L is dense complete, i.e., $\vdash_L = \vDash_{\mathbb{Q}_L^\delta}$
2. Every countable chain in \mathbb{Q}_L^ℓ can be embedded into a dense one
3. L has the *density property*, i.e., for each $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi, \psi, \chi\} \subseteq Fm_L$ and any variable p *not occurring* in $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi, \psi, \chi\}$:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_L (\varphi \rightarrow p) \vee (p \rightarrow \psi) \vee \chi}{\Gamma \vdash_L (\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \vee \chi}$$

Corollary (Recovering Metcalfe–Montagna’s method)

A semilinear logic enjoys the dense completeness iff it equals the intersection of all its (finitary) extensions satisfying the density property.

The first ingredient of the proof: implication

Recall: In any FL_e -algebra: $x \leq y$ iff $x \rightarrow y \geq 1$

The first ingredient of the proof: implication

Recall: In any FL_e -algebra: $x \leq y$ iff $x \rightarrow y \geq 1$

By $\text{Th}(\mathbf{L})$ we denote the set of theories (deductively closed sets) of \mathbf{L}

A theory T is **linear** if for any pair φ, ψ we have: $\varphi \rightarrow \psi \in T$ or $\psi \rightarrow \varphi \in T$

Fact: T is linear iff its free (Lindenbaum–Tarski) algebra is linear

Theorem (Cintula 2005)

Let \mathbf{L} be a logic. TFAE:

1. \mathbf{L} is semilinear, i.e., $\vdash_{\mathbf{L}} = \vDash_{\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbf{L}}}$
2. Linear theories form a basis of $\text{Th}(\mathbf{L})$, i.e., any theory $T \vDash_{\mathbf{L}} \varphi$ can be extended into a linear theory $T' \vDash_{\mathbf{L}} \varphi$

The first ingredient of the proof: implication

A linear theory T is **dense** if for any pair φ, ψ , if $\varphi \rightarrow \psi \notin T$ there is χ s.t.
 $\chi \rightarrow \psi \notin T$ and $\varphi \rightarrow \chi \notin T$

Non-theorem based on a naive generalization

Let L be a semilinear logic. TFAE:

1. L is dense complete, i.e., $\vdash_L = \vDash_{Q_L^\delta}$
2. Dense theories form a basis of $\text{Th}(L)$, i.e., any theory $T \not\vdash_L \varphi$ can be extended into a dense theory $T' \not\vdash_L \varphi$

The first ingredient of the proof: implication

A linear theory T is **dense** if for any pair φ, ψ , if $\varphi \rightarrow \psi \notin T$ there is χ s.t.
 $\chi \rightarrow \psi \notin T$ and $\varphi \rightarrow \chi \notin T$

Non-theorem based on a naive generalization

Let L be a semilinear logic. TFAE:

1. L is dense complete, i.e., $\vdash_L = \vDash_{\mathbb{Q}_L^\delta}$
2. Dense theories form a basis of $\text{Th}(L)$, i.e., any theory $T \not\vdash_L \varphi$ can be extended into a dense theory $T' \not\vdash_L \varphi$

Failure of the naive idea: consider the Łukasiewicz infinitely-valued logic \mathbb{L} , which is well-known to enjoy the dense completeness

Consider $T = \{\varphi \mid \text{Var} \vdash_{\mathbb{L}} \varphi\}$ and note that for each φ , either $T \vdash_{\mathbb{L}} \varphi$
or $T \vdash_{\mathbb{L}} \neg\varphi$

Thus T is maximally consistent but not dense (we have $1 \rightarrow 0 \notin T$)

Thus T cannot be extended into any dense theory

The first ingredient of the proof: implication

A linear theory T is **dense** if for any pair φ, ψ , if $\varphi \rightarrow \psi \notin T$ there is χ s.t.
 $\chi \rightarrow \psi \notin T$ and $\varphi \rightarrow \chi \notin T$

Theorem (Implicit in Metcalfe–Montagna 2007)

Let L be a semilinear logic. TFAE:

1. L is dense complete, i.e., $\vdash_L = \vDash_{Q_L^\delta}$
2. Any set of formulae $\Gamma \not\vdash_L \varphi$ **with infinitely many unused variables** can be extended into a dense theory $T' \not\vdash_L \varphi$

The second ingredient of the proof: disjunction

Recall the density property:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathbf{L}} (\varphi \rightarrow p) \vee (p \rightarrow \psi) \vee \chi}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathbf{L}} (\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \vee \chi}$$

The second ingredient of the proof: disjunction

Recall the density property:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_L (\varphi \rightarrow p) \vee (p \rightarrow \psi) \vee \chi}{\Gamma \vdash_L (\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \vee \chi}$$

For the proof to work we need the disjunction to behave well:

Theorem

Let L be logic. TFAE

- ① L has the *proof by cases property*, i.e. for each $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi, \psi, \chi\} \subseteq Fm_{\mathcal{L}}$:

$$\frac{\Gamma, \varphi \vdash_L \chi \quad \Gamma, \psi \vdash_L \chi}{\Gamma, \varphi \vee \psi \vdash_L \chi}$$

- ② for each $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi, \chi\} \subseteq Fm_{\mathcal{L}}$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_L \varphi}{\{\psi \vee \chi \mid \psi \in \Gamma\} \vdash_L \varphi \vee \chi}$$

Any logic extending \vdash_{FL_e} is semilinear *iff* it has the *proof by cases property*.

Outline

- 1 A logic, a question, and a logician
- 2 Let us first study the problem in a controlled setting
- 3 Let us now look at the problem in full generality

What is a **logic** really?

What is a **logic** *really*? (as a mathematical object)

Var: an infinite set of propositional variables

\mathcal{L} : an **arbitrary** type

$Fm_{\mathcal{L}}$: the absolutely free \mathcal{L} -algebra with generators *Var*
elements of $Fm_{\mathcal{L}}$ are called \mathcal{L} -formulae

A **logic** L is a relation between sets of \mathcal{L} -formulae and \mathcal{L} -formulae s.t.:
we write ' $\Gamma \vdash_L \varphi$ ' instead of ' $\langle \Gamma, \varphi \rangle \in L$ '

- If $\varphi \in \Gamma$, then $\Gamma \vdash_L \varphi$. (Reflexivity)
- If $\Gamma \vdash_L \varphi$ and $\Gamma \subseteq \Delta$, then $\Delta \vdash_L \varphi$. (Monotonicity)
- If $\Delta \vdash_L \Gamma$ and $\Gamma \vdash_L \varphi$, then $\Delta \vdash_L \varphi$. (Cut)
- If $\Gamma \vdash_L \varphi$, then $\sigma[\Gamma] \vdash_L \sigma(\varphi)$ for each substitution σ . (Structurality)

A logic L is **finitary** if $\Gamma \vdash_L \varphi$, then there is a finite $\Gamma' \subseteq \Gamma$ st. $\Gamma' \vdash_L \varphi$

What is a protoalgebraic logic?

Let \vec{r} be a sequence of atoms and $\Rightarrow(p, q, \vec{r}) \subseteq Fm_{\mathcal{L}}$.

Convention: given formulae φ and ψ , we set

$$\varphi \Rightarrow \psi = \bigcup \{ \Rightarrow(\varphi, \psi, \vec{\alpha}) \mid \vec{\alpha} \in Fm_{\mathcal{L}}^{<\omega} \}$$

What is a protoalgebraic logic?

Let \vec{r} be a sequence of atoms and $\Rightarrow(p, q, \vec{r}) \subseteq Fm_{\mathcal{L}}$.

Convention: given formulae φ and ψ , we set

$$\varphi \Rightarrow \psi = \bigcup \{ \Rightarrow(\varphi, \psi, \vec{\alpha}) \mid \vec{\alpha} \in Fm_{\mathcal{L}}^{<\omega} \}$$

A logic is **protoalgebraic** if it has a **weak p-implication**, i.e., a set \Rightarrow s.t.:

$$(R) \quad \vdash_{\mathcal{L}} \varphi \Rightarrow \varphi$$

$$(MP) \quad \varphi, \varphi \Rightarrow \psi \vdash_{\mathcal{L}} \psi$$

$$(T) \quad \varphi \Rightarrow \psi, \psi \Rightarrow \chi \vdash_{\mathcal{L}} \varphi \Rightarrow \chi$$

$$(sCng) \quad \varphi \Rightarrow \psi, \psi \Rightarrow \varphi \vdash_{\mathcal{L}} c(\chi_1, \dots, \varphi, \dots, \chi_n) \Rightarrow c(\chi_1, \dots, \psi, \dots, \chi_n)$$

for each $\langle c, n \rangle \in \mathcal{L}$ and $i \leq n$.

What is a protoalgebraic logic?

Let \vec{r} be a sequence of atoms and $\Rightarrow(p, q, \vec{r}) \subseteq Fm_{\mathcal{L}}$.

Convention: given formulae φ and ψ , we set

$$\varphi \Rightarrow \psi = \bigcup \{ \Rightarrow(\varphi, \psi, \vec{\alpha}) \mid \vec{\alpha} \in Fm_{\mathcal{L}}^{<\omega} \}$$

A logic is **protoalgebraic** if it has a **weak p-implication**, i.e., a set \Rightarrow s.t.:

$$(R) \quad \vdash_{\mathcal{L}} \varphi \Rightarrow \varphi$$

$$(MP) \quad \varphi, \varphi \Rightarrow \psi \vdash_{\mathcal{L}} \psi$$

$$(T) \quad \varphi \Rightarrow \psi, \psi \Rightarrow \chi \vdash_{\mathcal{L}} \varphi \Rightarrow \chi$$

$$(sCng) \quad \varphi \Rightarrow \psi, \psi \Rightarrow \varphi \vdash_{\mathcal{L}} c(\chi_1, \dots, \varphi, \dots, \chi_n) \Rightarrow c(\chi_1, \dots, \psi, \dots, \chi_n)$$

for each $\langle c, n \rangle \in \mathcal{L}$ and $i \leq n$.

Let us fix a protoalgebraic logic \mathcal{L} with a weak p-implication \Rightarrow

Logical matrices, semantical consequence, order

\mathcal{L} -matrix: a pair $\mathbf{A} = \langle A, F \rangle$ where A is an \mathcal{L} -algebra and $F \subseteq A$.

Definition

A formula φ is a **logical consequence** of a set of formulae Γ w.r.t. a class \mathbb{K} of \mathcal{L} -matrices, $\Gamma \vDash_{\mathbb{K}} \varphi$, if for every $\langle A, F \rangle \in \mathbb{K}$ and every homomorphism $e: \mathbf{Fm}_{\mathcal{L}} \rightarrow A$:

if $e(\gamma) \in F$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$, then $e(\varphi) \in F$.

A matrix \mathbf{A} s.t. $\vDash_{\mathbf{L}} \subseteq \vDash_{\mathbf{A}}$ is called a **model of L**, $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{MOD}(\mathbf{L})$ in symbols

Consider $\mathbf{A} = \langle A, F \rangle \in \mathbf{MOD}(\mathbf{L})$; then the relation $a \leq_{\mathbf{A}}^{\Rightarrow} b$ is a preorder:

$$a \leq_{\mathbf{A}}^{\Rightarrow} b \quad \text{iff} \quad a \Rightarrow^{\mathbf{A}} b \subseteq F$$

The first ingredient, implication, seems to be OK.
What about the second one?

A connective \vee is a **lattice-disjunction** if for each $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi, \psi, \chi\} \subseteq Fm_{\mathcal{L}}$:

$$\vdash_L \varphi \Rightarrow \varphi \vee \psi \quad \vdash_L \psi \Rightarrow \varphi \vee \psi \quad \varphi \Rightarrow \chi, \psi \Rightarrow \chi \vdash_L \varphi \vee \psi \Rightarrow \chi$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \varphi \vdash_L \chi \quad \Gamma, \psi \vdash_L \chi}{\Gamma, \varphi \vee \psi \vdash_L \chi}$$

For logics of chains, everything still works

A matrix \mathbf{A} is linear, $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{MOD}^\ell(\mathbf{L})$, if $\leq_{\mathbf{A}}^{\Rightarrow}$ is a linear order.

By $\text{Th}(\mathbf{L})$ we denote the set of theories (deductively closed sets) of \mathbf{L}

A theory T is **linear** if for any pair φ, ψ we have: $\varphi \Rightarrow \psi \in T$ or $\psi \Rightarrow \varphi \in T$

Theorem (Cintula–Noguera 2010)

Let \mathbf{L} be a **protoalgebraic** logic. TFAE:

1. \mathbf{L} is **semilinear**, i.e., $\vdash_{\mathbf{L}} = \vDash_{\mathbf{MOD}^\ell(\mathbf{L})}$
2. **Linear theories** form a basis of $\text{Th}(\mathbf{L})$

If \mathbf{L} is **finitary** we can add

3. \mathbf{L} has the **semilinearity property**, i.e., for each $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi, \psi, \chi\} \subseteq \text{Fm}_{\mathcal{L}}$:

$$\frac{\Gamma, \varphi \Rightarrow \psi \vdash_{\mathbf{L}} \chi \quad \Gamma, \psi \Rightarrow \varphi \vdash_{\mathbf{L}} \chi}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathbf{L}} \chi}$$

And now, finally, the final theorem

A matrix \mathbf{A} is densely linear, $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{MOD}^\delta(\mathbf{L})$, if $\leq_{\mathbf{A}}^{\Rightarrow}$ is a dense linear order.

A linear theory T is **dense** if for any pair φ, ψ if $\psi \Rightarrow \varphi \notin T$ there is χ s.t.
 $\chi \Rightarrow \varphi \notin T$ and $\psi \Rightarrow \chi \notin T$

Theorem

Let \mathbf{L} be a **protoalgebraic** logic. TFAE:

1. \mathbf{L} is dense complete, i.e., $\vdash_{\mathbf{L}} = \vDash_{\mathbf{MOD}^\delta(\mathbf{L})}$
2. Any set of formulae $\Gamma \vDash_{\mathbf{L}} \varphi$ with infinitely many unused variables can be extended into a dense theory $T' \vDash_{\mathbf{L}} \varphi$

If \mathbf{L} is **finitary**, \Rightarrow **finite and parameter-free**, \vee **a lattice-disjunction**, we can add

3. Countable chains in $\mathbf{MOD}^\delta(\mathbf{L})$ can be embedded into dense ones
4. \mathbf{L} has the **density property**, i.e., for each $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi, \psi, \chi\} \subseteq \text{Fm}_{\mathcal{L}}$ and any variable p not occurring in $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi, \psi, \chi\}$:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathbf{L}} (\varphi \Rightarrow p) \vee (p \Rightarrow \psi) \vee \chi}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathbf{L}} (\varphi \Rightarrow \psi) \vee \chi}$$