

On everywhere strongly logifiable algebras

Tommaso Moraschini

Advisors: Josep Maria Font and Ramon Jansana



June 25, 2015

Contents

1. Strongly algebraizable logics
2. Everywhere strongly logifiable algebras
3. Consequences

Contents

1. Strongly algebraizable logics
2. Everywhere strongly logifiable algebras
3. Consequences

Algebraizable logic

- ▶ A logic \mathcal{L} is **algebraizable** if

Algebraizable logic

- ▶ A logic \mathcal{L} is **algebraizable** if there is a generalized quasi-variety K

Algebraizable logic

- ▶ A logic \mathcal{L} is **algebraizable** if there is a generalized quasi-variety K and two structural transformers

$$\tau: \mathcal{P}(Fm) \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{P}(Eq): \rho$$

s.t.

Algebraizable logic

- ▶ A logic \mathcal{L} is **algebraizable** if there is a generalized quasi-variety K and two structural transformers

$$\tau: \mathcal{P}(Fm) \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{P}(Eq): \rho$$

s.t.

$$\Gamma \vdash_{\mathcal{L}} \varphi \iff \tau(\Gamma) \vDash_K \tau(\varphi)$$

Algebraizable logic

- ▶ A logic \mathcal{L} is **algebraizable** if there is a generalized quasi-variety K and two structural transformers

$$\tau: \mathcal{P}(Fm) \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{P}(Eq): \rho$$

s.t.

$$\Gamma \vdash_{\mathcal{L}} \varphi \iff \tau(\Gamma) \vDash_K \tau(\varphi)$$

$$x \approx y \iff \vDash_K \tau\rho(x, y).$$

Algebraizable logic

- ▶ A logic \mathcal{L} is **algebraizable** if there is a generalized quasi-variety K and two structural transformers

$$\tau: \mathcal{P}(Fm) \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{P}(Eq): \rho$$

s.t.

$$\Gamma \vdash_{\mathcal{L}} \varphi \iff \tau(\Gamma) \vDash_K \tau(\varphi)$$

$$x \approx y \iff \vDash_K \tau\rho(x, y).$$

- ▶ In this case K is **unique**.

Algebraizable logic

- ▶ A logic \mathcal{L} is **algebraizable** if there is a generalized quasi-variety K and two structural transformers

$$\tau: \mathcal{P}(Fm) \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{P}(Eq): \rho$$

s.t.

$$\Gamma \vdash_{\mathcal{L}} \varphi \iff \tau(\Gamma) \vDash_K \tau(\varphi)$$

$$x \approx y \iff \vDash_K \tau\rho(x, y).$$

- ▶ In this case K is **unique**. K is called the **equivalent algebraic semantics** of \mathcal{L} .

Equivalent algebraic semantics

- ▶ The equivalent algebraic semantics $\text{Alg}^*\mathcal{L}$ of an algebraizable logic \mathcal{L} is in general a **generalized quasi-variety**.

Equivalent algebraic semantics

- ▶ The equivalent algebraic semantics $\text{Alg}^*\mathcal{L}$ of an algebraizable logic \mathcal{L} is in general a **generalized quasi-variety**.
- ▶ If \mathcal{L} is **finitary** and $\rho(x, y)$ can be chosen **finite**, then $\text{Alg}^*\mathcal{L}$ is a **quasi-variety**.

Equivalent algebraic semantics

- ▶ The equivalent algebraic semantics $\text{Alg}^*\mathcal{L}$ of an algebraizable logic \mathcal{L} is in general a **generalized quasi-variety**.
- ▶ If \mathcal{L} is **finitary** and $\rho(x, y)$ can be chosen **finite**, then $\text{Alg}^*\mathcal{L}$ is a **quasi-variety**.
- ▶ But in most well-known cases $\text{Alg}^*\mathcal{L}$ is a **variety**.

Equivalent algebraic semantics

- ▶ The equivalent algebraic semantics $\text{Alg}^*\mathcal{L}$ of an algebraizable logic \mathcal{L} is in general a **generalized quasi-variety**.
- ▶ If \mathcal{L} is **finitary** and $\rho(x, y)$ can be chosen **finite**, then $\text{Alg}^*\mathcal{L}$ is a **quasi-variety**.
- ▶ But in most well-known cases $\text{Alg}^*\mathcal{L}$ is a **variety**.

Examples:

- classical logic \longleftrightarrow Boolean algebras

Equivalent algebraic semantics

- ▶ The equivalent algebraic semantics $\text{Alg}^*\mathcal{L}$ of an algebraizable logic \mathcal{L} is in general a **generalized quasi-variety**.
- ▶ If \mathcal{L} is **finitary** and $\rho(x, y)$ can be chosen **finite**, then $\text{Alg}^*\mathcal{L}$ is a **quasi-variety**.
- ▶ But in most well-known cases $\text{Alg}^*\mathcal{L}$ is a **variety**.

Examples:

- classical logic \longleftrightarrow Boolean algebras
- intuitionistic logic \longleftrightarrow Heyting algebras

Equivalent algebraic semantics

- ▶ The equivalent algebraic semantics $\text{Alg}^*\mathcal{L}$ of an algebraizable logic \mathcal{L} is in general a **generalized quasi-variety**.
- ▶ If \mathcal{L} is **finitary** and $\rho(x, y)$ can be chosen **finite**, then $\text{Alg}^*\mathcal{L}$ is a **quasi-variety**.
- ▶ But in most well-known cases $\text{Alg}^*\mathcal{L}$ is a **variety**.

Examples:

- classical logic \longleftrightarrow Boolean algebras
- intuitionistic logic \longleftrightarrow Heyting algebras
- S4 modal logic \longleftrightarrow closure algebras

Equivalent algebraic semantics

- ▶ The equivalent algebraic semantics $\text{Alg}^*\mathcal{L}$ of an algebraizable logic \mathcal{L} is in general a **generalized quasi-variety**.
- ▶ If \mathcal{L} is **finitary** and $\rho(x, y)$ can be chosen **finite**, then $\text{Alg}^*\mathcal{L}$ is a **quasi-variety**.
- ▶ But in most well-known cases $\text{Alg}^*\mathcal{L}$ is a **variety**.

Examples:

- classical logic \longleftrightarrow Boolean algebras
- intuitionistic logic \longleftrightarrow Heyting algebras
- S4 modal logic \longleftrightarrow closure algebras
- Hájek's basic logic \longleftrightarrow BL-algebras

Equivalent algebraic semantics

- ▶ The equivalent algebraic semantics $\text{Alg}^*\mathcal{L}$ of an algebraizable logic \mathcal{L} is in general a **generalized quasi-variety**.
- ▶ If \mathcal{L} is **finitary** and $\rho(x, y)$ can be chosen **finite**, then $\text{Alg}^*\mathcal{L}$ is a **quasi-variety**.
- ▶ But in most well-known cases $\text{Alg}^*\mathcal{L}$ is a **variety**.

Examples:

- classical logic \longleftrightarrow Boolean algebras
- intuitionistic logic \longleftrightarrow Heyting algebras
- S4 modal logic \longleftrightarrow closure algebras
- Hájek's basic logic \longleftrightarrow BL-algebras
- full Lambek calculus \longleftrightarrow residuated lattices

Variety problem

Definition

A logic is **strongly algebraizable** if it is algebraizable and its equivalent algebraic semantics is a variety.

Variety problem

Definition

A logic is **strongly algebraizable** if it is algebraizable and its equivalent algebraic semantics is a variety.

This posed the following:

Variety problem

Definition

A logic is **strongly algebraizable** if it is algebraizable and its equivalent algebraic semantics is a variety.

This posed the following:

Variety problem

Find logically meaningful sufficient conditions under which an algebraizable logic is strongly algebraizable too.

Variety problem

Definition

A logic is **strongly algebraizable** if it is algebraizable and its equivalent algebraic semantics is a variety.

This posed the following:

Variety problem

Find logically meaningful sufficient conditions under which an algebraizable logic is strongly algebraizable too.

Definition

1. \mathcal{L} is **selfextensional** when the relation $\dashv\vdash_{\mathcal{L}}$ is a congruence.

Variety problem

Definition

A logic is **strongly algebraizable** if it is algebraizable and its equivalent algebraic semantics is a variety.

This posed the following:

Variety problem

Find logically meaningful sufficient conditions under which an algebraizable logic is strongly algebraizable too.

Definition

1. \mathcal{L} is **selfextensional** when the relation $\dashv\vdash_{\mathcal{L}}$ is a congruence.
2. \mathcal{L} is **Fregean** when the relation $\{\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle : \varphi, \Gamma \dashv\vdash_{\mathcal{L}} \Gamma, \psi\}$ is a congruence for every Γ .

Variety problem

Theorem (Czelakowski and Pigozzi)

1. If \mathcal{L} is a finitary Fregean logic with the **uniterm DDT**, then it is strongly algebraizable wrt a variety of Hilbert algebras expanded with compatible operators.

Variety problem

Theorem (Czelakowski and Pigozzi)

1. If \mathcal{L} is a finitary Fregean logic with the **uniterm DDT**, then it is strongly algebraizable wrt a variety of Hilbert algebras expanded with compatible operators.
2. If \mathcal{L} is a finitary protoalgebraic Fregean logic with a **conjunction**, then it is strongly algebraizable wrt a variety of Browerian algebras expanded with compatible operators.

Variety problem

Theorem (Czelakowski and Pigozzi)

1. If \mathcal{L} is a finitary Fregean logic with the **uniterm DDT**, then it is strongly algebraizable wrt a variety of Hilbert algebras expanded with compatible operators.
2. If \mathcal{L} is a finitary protoalgebraic Fregean logic with a **conjunction**, then it is strongly algebraizable wrt a variety of Brouwerian algebras expanded with compatible operators.

Theorem (Font and Jansana)

Let \mathcal{L} be a finitary selfextensional logic. If \mathcal{L} has either a **conjunction** or the **uniterm DDT**, then $\text{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ is a variety.

Contents

1. Strongly algebraizable logics
2. Everywhere strongly logifiable algebras
3. Consequences

Problem

Aim of the talk:

Problem

Aim of the talk:

- ▶ Introduce the finite algebras that behave in the best possible way from the point of view of [algebraizability theory](#).

Problem

Aim of the talk:

- ▶ Introduce the finite algebras that behave in the best possible way from the point of view of [algebraizability theory](#).
- ▶ Characterize them with [purely algebraic](#) concepts.

Problem

Aim of the talk:

- ▶ Introduce the finite algebras that behave in the best possible way from the point of view of [algebraizability theory](#).
- ▶ Characterize them with [purely algebraic](#) concepts.
- ▶ Draw some conclusion on the [variety problem](#).

Problem

Aim of the talk:

- ▶ Introduce the finite algebras that behave in the best possible way from the point of view of **algebraizability theory**.
- ▶ Characterize them with **purely algebraic** concepts.
- ▶ Draw some conclusion on the **variety problem**.

Definition

A finite non-trivial algebra \mathbf{A} is **everywhere strongly logifiable** if the matrix $\langle \mathbf{A}, F \rangle$ determines a strongly algebraizable logic with equivalent algebraic semantics $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$, for every $F \in \mathcal{P}(A) \setminus \{\emptyset, A\}$.

Is there any everywhere strongly logifiable algebra?

Lemma

Primal algebras are everywhere strongly logifiable.

Is there any everywhere strongly logifiable algebra?

Lemma

Primal algebras are everywhere strongly logifiable.

Let \mathbf{A} be a non-trivial **primal** algebra.

Is there any everywhere strongly logifiable algebra?

Lemma

Primal algebras are everywhere strongly logifiable.

Let \mathbf{A} be a non-trivial **primal** algebra.

- ▶ Pick $F \in \mathcal{P}(A) \setminus \{\emptyset, A\}$.

Is there any everywhere strongly logifiable algebra?

Lemma

Primal algebras are everywhere strongly logifiable.

Let \mathbf{A} be a non-trivial **primal** algebra.

- ▶ Pick $F \in \mathcal{P}(A) \setminus \{\emptyset, A\}$.
- ▶ Choose any $1 \in F$ and $0 \notin F$.

Is there any everywhere strongly logifiable algebra?

Lemma

Primal algebras are everywhere strongly logifiable.

Let \mathbf{A} be a non-trivial **primal** algebra.

- ▶ Pick $F \in \mathcal{P}(A) \setminus \{\emptyset, A\}$.
- ▶ Choose any $1 \in F$ and $0 \notin F$.
- ▶ Consider the functions $\square : A \rightarrow A$ and $\triangleleft \triangleright : A^2 \rightarrow A$ s.t.

$$\square(a) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \in F \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad a \triangleleft \triangleright b := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a = b \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Is there any everywhere strongly logifiable algebra?

Lemma

Primal algebras are everywhere strongly logifiable.

Let \mathbf{A} be a non-trivial **primal** algebra.

- ▶ Pick $F \in \mathcal{P}(A) \setminus \{\emptyset, A\}$.
- ▶ Choose any $1 \in F$ and $0 \notin F$.
- ▶ Consider the functions $\square : A \rightarrow A$ and $\triangleleft \triangleright : A^2 \rightarrow A$ s.t.

$$\square(a) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \in F \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad a \triangleleft \triangleright b := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a = b \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By primality $\square(x)$ and $x \triangleleft \triangleright y$ are term functions.

Is there any everywhere strongly logifiable algebra?

Lemma

Primal algebras are everywhere strongly logifiable.

Let \mathbf{A} be a non-trivial **primal** algebra.

- ▶ Pick $F \in \mathcal{P}(A) \setminus \{\emptyset, A\}$.
- ▶ Choose any $1 \in F$ and $0 \notin F$.
- ▶ Consider the functions $\square: A \rightarrow A$ and $\triangleleft: A^2 \rightarrow A$ s.t.

$$\square(a) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \in F \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad a \triangleleft b := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a = b \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By primality $\square(x)$ and $x \triangleleft y$ are term functions.

- ▶ The logic of $\langle \mathbf{A}, F \rangle$ is algebraizable with equivalent algebraic semantics $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$ via

$$\tau(x) = \{\square(x) \approx 1(x)\} \text{ and } \rho(x, y) = \{x \triangleleft y\}.$$

Recall that...

Definition

1. An algebra \mathbf{A} is **constantive** if for every $a \in A$ there is a term $a(x)$ that represents the constant function with value a .

Recall that...

Definition

1. An algebra \mathbf{A} is **constantive** if for every $a \in A$ there is a term $a(x)$ that represents the constant function with value a .
2. An algebra \mathbf{A} is **n -permutable** for $n \geq 2$ if

$$\phi \vee \eta = \theta_1 \circ \dots \circ \theta_n \text{ where } \theta_i = \begin{cases} \phi & \text{if } i \text{ is even} \\ \eta & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for every $\phi, \eta \in \text{Con}\mathbf{A}$.

Recall that...

Definition

1. An algebra \mathbf{A} is **constantive** if for every $a \in A$ there is a term $a(x)$ that represents the constant function with value a .
2. An algebra \mathbf{A} is **n -permutable** for $n \geq 2$ if

$$\phi \vee \eta = \theta_1 \circ \dots \circ \theta_n \text{ where } \theta_i = \begin{cases} \phi & \text{if } i \text{ is even} \\ \eta & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for every $\phi, \eta \in \text{Con}\mathbf{A}$.

3. A variety V is **n -permutable** when so are its members.

Recall that...

Definition

1. An algebra \mathbf{A} is **constantive** if for every $a \in A$ there is a term $a(x)$ that represents the constant function with value a .
2. An algebra \mathbf{A} is **n -permutable** for $n \geq 2$ if

$$\phi \vee \eta = \theta_1 \circ \cdots \circ \theta_n \text{ where } \theta_i = \begin{cases} \phi & \text{if } i \text{ is even} \\ \eta & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for every $\phi, \eta \in \text{Con}\mathbf{A}$.

3. A variety V is **n -permutable** when so are its members.
4. A variety V is **point regular** if there is a constant 1 such that for every $\mathbf{A} \in V$ and $\theta, \phi \in \mathbf{A}$:

$$\text{if } 1/\theta = 1/\phi, \text{ then } \theta = \phi.$$

Algebraic characterization

Theorem

Let \mathbf{A} be a non-trivial finite algebra. The following conditions are equivalent:

Algebraic characterization

Theorem

Let \mathbf{A} be a non-trivial finite algebra. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) \mathbf{A} is everywhere strongly logifiable.

Algebraic characterization

Theorem

Let \mathbf{A} be a non-trivial finite algebra. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) \mathbf{A} is everywhere strongly logifiable.
- (ii) The logic of $\langle \mathbf{A}, \{a\} \rangle$ is strongly algebraizable with equivalent algebraic semantics $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$, for every $a \in A$.

Algebraic characterization

Theorem

Let \mathbf{A} be a non-trivial finite algebra. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) \mathbf{A} is **everywhere strongly logifiable**.
- (ii) The logic of $\langle \mathbf{A}, \{a\} \rangle$ is strongly algebraizable with equivalent algebraic semantics $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$, for every $a \in A$.
- (iii) \mathbf{A} is simple, without proper subalgebras and $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$ is minimal and point regular.

Algebraic characterization

Theorem

Let \mathbf{A} be a non-trivial finite algebra. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) \mathbf{A} is **everywhere strongly logifiable**.
- (ii) The logic of $\langle \mathbf{A}, \{a\} \rangle$ is strongly algebraizable with equivalent algebraic semantics $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$, for every $a \in A$.
- (iii) \mathbf{A} is simple, without proper subalgebras and $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$ is minimal and point regular.
- (iv) \mathbf{A} is simple, constantive and $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$ is congruence distributive and n -permutable for some $n \geq 2$.

Sketch of the proof (ii) \Rightarrow (iii)

Remark

If

the logic of $\langle \mathbf{A}, \{a\} \rangle$ is strongly algebraizable with equivalent algebraic semantics $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$ for every $a \in A$,

then

\mathbf{A} is simple, without proper subalgebras and $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$ is minimal and point regular.

Sketch of the proof (ii) \Rightarrow (iii)

Remark

If

the logic of $\langle \mathbf{A}, \{a\} \rangle$ is strongly algebraizable with equivalent algebraic semantics $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$ for every $a \in A$,

then

\mathbf{A} is simple, without proper subalgebras and $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$ is minimal and point regular.

- \mathbf{A} is **constative**.

Sketch of the proof (ii) \Rightarrow (iii)

Remark

If

the logic of $\langle \mathbf{A}, \{a\} \rangle$ is strongly algebraizable with equivalent algebraic semantics $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$ for every $a \in A$,

then

\mathbf{A} is simple, without proper subalgebras and $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$ is minimal and point regular.

- \mathbf{A} is **constative**.
- $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$ is the equivalent algebraic semantics of the logic \mathcal{L} determined by $\langle \mathbf{A}, \{a\} \rangle$.

Sketch of the proof (ii) \Rightarrow (iii)

Remark

If

the logic of $\langle \mathbf{A}, \{a\} \rangle$ is strongly algebraizable with equivalent algebraic semantics $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$ for every $a \in A$,

then

\mathbf{A} is simple, without proper subalgebras and $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$ is minimal and point regular.

- \mathbf{A} is **constative**.
- $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$ is the equivalent algebraic semantics of the logic \mathcal{L} determined by $\langle \mathbf{A}, \{a\} \rangle$. Hence $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$ is **point regular**.

Sketch of the proof (ii) \Rightarrow (iii)

Remark

If

the logic of $\langle \mathbf{A}, \{a\} \rangle$ is strongly algebraizable with equivalent algebraic semantics $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$ for every $a \in A$,

then

\mathbf{A} is simple, without proper subalgebras and $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$ is minimal and point regular.

- \mathbf{A} is **constative**.
- $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$ is the equivalent algebraic semantics of the logic \mathcal{L} determined by $\langle \mathbf{A}, \{a\} \rangle$. Hence $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$ is **point regular**.
- \mathbf{A} is **simple**:

Sketch of the proof (ii) \Rightarrow (iii)

Remark

If

the logic of $\langle \mathbf{A}, \{a\} \rangle$ is strongly algebraizable with equivalent algebraic semantics $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$ for every $a \in A$,

then

\mathbf{A} is simple, without proper subalgebras and $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$ is minimal and point regular.

- \mathbf{A} is **constative**.
- $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$ is the equivalent algebraic semantics of the logic \mathcal{L} determined by $\langle \mathbf{A}, \{a\} \rangle$. Hence $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$ is **point regular**.
- \mathbf{A} is **simple**: $\{\{a\}, A\} = \mathcal{F}i_{\mathcal{L}}\mathbf{A} \cong \text{Con}\mathbf{A}$.

Sketch of the proof (ii) \Rightarrow (iii)

Remark

If

the logic of $\langle \mathbf{A}, \{a\} \rangle$ is strongly algebraizable with equivalent algebraic semantics $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$ for every $a \in A$,

then

\mathbf{A} is simple, without proper subalgebras and $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$ is minimal and point regular.

- \mathbf{A} is **constative**.
- $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$ is the equivalent algebraic semantics of the logic \mathcal{L} determined by $\langle \mathbf{A}, \{a\} \rangle$. Hence $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$ is **point regular**.
- \mathbf{A} is **simple**: $\{\{a\}, A\} = \mathcal{F}i_{\mathcal{L}}\mathbf{A} \cong \text{Con}\mathbf{A}$.
- $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{A})$. Hence $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})_{\text{si}} \subseteq \text{ISP}_{\text{u}}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbb{I}\{\mathbf{A}\}$.

Sketch of the proof (ii) \Rightarrow (iii)

Remark

If

the logic of $\langle \mathbf{A}, \{a\} \rangle$ is strongly algebraizable with equivalent algebraic semantics $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$ for every $a \in A$,

then

\mathbf{A} is simple, without proper subalgebras and $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$ is minimal and point regular.

- \mathbf{A} is **constative**.
- $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$ is the equivalent algebraic semantics of the logic \mathcal{L} determined by $\langle \mathbf{A}, \{a\} \rangle$. Hence $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$ is **point regular**.
- \mathbf{A} is **simple**: $\{\{a\}, A\} = \mathcal{F}i_{\mathcal{L}}\mathbf{A} \cong \text{Con}\mathbf{A}$.
- $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{A})$. Hence $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})_{\text{si}} \subseteq \text{ISP}_{\text{u}}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbb{I}\{\mathbf{A}\}$. Hence $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$ is **minimal**.

Sketch of the proof (iv) \Rightarrow (i)

Remark

If

\mathbf{A} is simple, constantive and $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$ is congruence distributive and n -permutable for some $n \geq 2$,

then

the logic of $\langle \mathbf{A}, F \rangle$ is strongly algebraizable with equivalent algebraic semantics $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$ for every $F \in \mathcal{P}(A) \setminus \{\emptyset, A\}$.

Sketch of the proof (iv) \Rightarrow (i)

Remark

If

\mathbf{A} is simple, constantive and $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$ is congruence distributive and n -permutable for some $n \geq 2$,

then

the logic of $\langle \mathbf{A}, F \rangle$ is strongly algebraizable with equivalent algebraic semantics $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$ for every $F \in \mathcal{P}(A) \setminus \{\emptyset, A\}$.

- There are $1 \in F$ and $0 \notin F$ such that $\mathbf{A}|_{\{0,1\}}$ is polynomially equivalent to the two-element **Boolean algebra**.

Sketch of the proof (iv) \Rightarrow (i)

Remark

If

\mathbf{A} is simple, constantive and $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$ is congruence distributive and n -permutable for some $n \geq 2$,

then

the logic of $\langle \mathbf{A}, F \rangle$ is strongly algebraizable with equivalent algebraic semantics $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$ for every $F \in \mathcal{P}(A) \setminus \{\emptyset, A\}$.

- There are $1 \in F$ and $0 \notin F$ such that $\mathbf{A}|_{\{0,1\}}$ is polynomially equivalent to the two-element **Boolean algebra**.
- For every different $a, b \in A$ there is a polynomial p_{ab} such that

$$p_{ab}[A] = \{0, 1\} \text{ and } p_{ab}(a) \neq p_{ab}(b).$$

Sketch of the proof

- ▶ Let $F = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ and consider the **terms**

$$x \triangleleft \triangleright y := \bigwedge \{p_{ab}(x) \leftrightarrow p_{ab}(y) : a, b \in A \text{ and } a \neq b\}$$

$$\square(x) := \bigvee_{i \leq n} \left(\bigwedge \{p_{a_i b}(x) \leftrightarrow p_{a_i b}(a_i(x)) : b \in A \setminus \{a_i\}\} \right).$$

Sketch of the proof

- ▶ Let $F = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ and consider the **terms**

$$x \triangleleft \triangleright y := \bigwedge \{p_{ab}(x) \leftrightarrow p_{ab}(y) : a, b \in A \text{ and } a \neq b\}$$

$$\square(x) := \bigvee_{i \leq n} \left(\bigwedge \{p_{a_i b}(x) \leftrightarrow p_{a_i b}(a_i(x)) : b \in A \setminus \{a_i\}\} \right).$$

- ▶ It is easy to prove that:

$$\Gamma \vdash_{\mathcal{L}} \varphi \iff \{\square(\gamma) \approx 1(\gamma) : \gamma \in \Gamma\} \models_{\mathbf{A}} \square(\varphi) \approx 1(\varphi)$$

$$x \approx y \iff \models_{\mathbf{A}} \square(x \triangleleft \triangleright y) \approx 1(x \triangleleft \triangleright y).$$

Sketch of the proof

- ▶ Let $F = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ and consider the **terms**

$$x \triangleleft \triangleright y := \bigwedge \{p_{ab}(x) \leftrightarrow p_{ab}(y) : a, b \in A \text{ and } a \neq b\}$$

$$\square(x) := \bigvee_{i \leq n} \left(\bigwedge \{p_{a_i b}(x) \leftrightarrow p_{a_i b}(a_i(x)) : b \in A \setminus \{a_i\}\} \right).$$

- ▶ It is easy to prove that:

$$\Gamma \vdash_{\mathcal{L}} \varphi \iff \{\square(\gamma) \approx 1(\gamma) : \gamma \in \Gamma\} \models_{\mathbf{A}} \square(\varphi) \approx 1(\varphi)$$

$$x \approx y \iff \models_{\mathbf{A}} \square(x \triangleleft \triangleright y) \approx 1(x \triangleleft \triangleright y).$$

- ▶ \mathcal{L} is **algebraizable** with equivalent algebraic semantics $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{A})$ through the structural transformers

$$\tau(x) = \{\square(x) \approx 1(x)\} \text{ and } \rho(x, y) = \{x \triangleleft \triangleright y\}.$$

Sketch of the proof

- ▶ Let $F = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ and consider the **terms**

$$x \triangleleft \triangleright y := \bigwedge \{p_{ab}(x) \leftrightarrow p_{ab}(y) : a, b \in A \text{ and } a \neq b\}$$

$$\square(x) := \bigvee_{i \leq n} \left(\bigwedge \{p_{a_i b}(x) \leftrightarrow p_{a_i b}(a_i(x)) : b \in A \setminus \{a_i\}\} \right).$$

- ▶ It is easy to prove that:

$$\Gamma \vdash_{\mathcal{L}} \varphi \iff \{\square(\gamma) \approx 1(\gamma) : \gamma \in \Gamma\} \models_{\mathbf{A}} \square(\varphi) \approx 1(\varphi)$$

$$x \approx y \iff \models_{\mathbf{A}} \square(x \triangleleft \triangleright y) \approx 1(x \triangleleft \triangleright y).$$

- ▶ \mathcal{L} is **algebraizable** with equivalent algebraic semantics $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{A})$ through the structural transformers

$$\tau(x) = \{\square(x) \approx 1(x)\} \text{ and } \rho(x, y) = \{x \triangleleft \triangleright y\}.$$

- ▶ By Jónsson's lemma $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$.

Contents

1. Strongly algebraizable logics
2. Everywhere strongly logifiable algebras
3. Consequences

Variety problem

Corollary

Let \mathbf{A} be finite and non-trivial.

Variety problem

Corollary

Let \mathbf{A} be finite and non-trivial. \mathbf{A} is everywhere strongly logifiable if and only if \mathbf{A} has no proper subalgebra and there is $a \in A$ such that the logic \mathcal{L} of $\langle \mathbf{A}, \{a\} \rangle$ has theorems and $\text{Alg}^* \mathcal{L} = \mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$.

Variety problem

Corollary

Let \mathbf{A} be finite and non-trivial. \mathbf{A} is everywhere strongly logifiable if and only if \mathbf{A} has no proper subalgebra and there is $a \in A$ such that the logic \mathcal{L} of $\langle \mathbf{A}, \{a\} \rangle$ has theorems and $\text{Alg}^* \mathcal{L} = \mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$.

Corollary

Let \mathbf{A} be (finite and non-trivial) without proper subalgebras and a constant 1.

Variety problem

Corollary

Let \mathbf{A} be finite and non-trivial. \mathbf{A} is everywhere strongly logifiable if and only if \mathbf{A} has no proper subalgebra and there is $a \in A$ such that the logic \mathcal{L} of $\langle \mathbf{A}, \{a\} \rangle$ has theorems and $\text{Alg}^* \mathcal{L} = \mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$.

Corollary

Let \mathbf{A} be (finite and non-trivial) without proper subalgebras and a constant 1. For the logic \mathcal{L} of $\langle \mathbf{A}, \{1\} \rangle$ the following are equivalent:

Variety problem

Corollary

Let \mathbf{A} be finite and non-trivial. \mathbf{A} is everywhere strongly logifiable if and only if \mathbf{A} has no proper subalgebra and there is $a \in A$ such that the logic \mathcal{L} of $\langle \mathbf{A}, \{a\} \rangle$ has theorems and $\text{Alg}^* \mathcal{L} = \mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$.

Corollary

Let \mathbf{A} be (finite and non-trivial) without proper subalgebras and a constant 1. For the logic \mathcal{L} of $\langle \mathbf{A}, \{1\} \rangle$ the following are equivalent:

- (i) The class $\text{Alg}^* \mathcal{L}$ is a variety.

Variety problem

Corollary

Let \mathbf{A} be finite and non-trivial. \mathbf{A} is everywhere strongly logifiable if and only if \mathbf{A} has no proper subalgebra and there is $a \in A$ such that the logic \mathcal{L} of $\langle \mathbf{A}, \{a\} \rangle$ has theorems and $\text{Alg}^* \mathcal{L} = \mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$.

Corollary

Let \mathbf{A} be (finite and non-trivial) without proper subalgebras and a constant 1. For the logic \mathcal{L} of $\langle \mathbf{A}, \{1\} \rangle$ the following are equivalent:

- (i) The class $\text{Alg}^* \mathcal{L}$ is a variety.
- (ii) $\mathbf{A}/\Omega\{1\}$ is everywhere strongly logifiable.

Some properties

- ▶ If \mathbf{A} is everywhere strongly logifiable, then for every $F \in \mathcal{P}(A) \setminus \{\emptyset, A\}$ the algebraizability of the logic of $\langle \mathbf{A}, F \rangle$ is witnessed by **two single element** structural transformers.

Some properties

- ▶ If \mathbf{A} is everywhere strongly logifiable, then for every $F \in \mathcal{P}(A) \setminus \{\emptyset, A\}$ the algebraizability of the logic of $\langle \mathbf{A}, F \rangle$ is witnessed by **two single element** structural transformers.
- ▶ In **congruence permutable** varieties the notion of a everywhere strongly logifiable algebra coincides with the one of a primal algebra.

Some properties

- ▶ If \mathbf{A} is everywhere strongly logifiable, then for every $F \in \mathcal{P}(A) \setminus \{\emptyset, A\}$ the algebraizability of the logic of $\langle \mathbf{A}, F \rangle$ is witnessed by **two single element** structural transformers.
- ▶ In **congruence permutable** varieties the notion of a everywhere strongly logifiable algebra coincides with the one of a primal algebra.
- ▶ For **two-element algebras** the notion of an everywhere strongly logifiable algebra and the one of a primal algebra coincide.

A counterexample

Example

- ▶ Pick a finite bounded poset $\langle A, \leq, 0, 1 \rangle$ such that $|A| \geq 3$.

A counterexample

Example

- ▶ Pick a finite bounded poset $\langle A, \leq, 0, 1 \rangle$ such that $|A| \geq 3$.
- ▶ Consider $\mathbf{A} = \langle A, \rightarrow, \Delta, \{a : a \in A\} \rangle$ where

$$x \rightarrow y := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \leq y \\ y & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \Delta x := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for every $x, y \in A$.

A counterexample

Example

- ▶ Pick a finite bounded poset $\langle A, \leq, 0, 1 \rangle$ such that $|A| \geq 3$.
- ▶ Consider $\mathbf{A} = \langle A, \rightarrow, \Delta, \{a : a \in A\} \rangle$ where

$$x \rightarrow y := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \leq y \\ y & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \Delta x := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for every $x, y \in A$.

- ▶ \mathbf{A} is everywhere strongly logifiable.

A counterexample

Example

- ▶ Pick a finite bounded poset $\langle A, \leq, 0, 1 \rangle$ such that $|A| \geq 3$.
- ▶ Consider $\mathbf{A} = \langle A, \rightarrow, \Delta, \{a : a \in A\} \rangle$ where

$$x \rightarrow y := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \leq y \\ y & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \Delta x := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for every $x, y \in A$.

- ▶ \mathbf{A} is everywhere strongly logifiable.
- ▶ \mathbf{A} is **not primal**:

$$\text{Id}_A \cup (\{1\} \times A) \cup (A \times \{1\})$$

is the universe of a subalgebra of $\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A}$.

Some questions

- ▶ In general the equivalent algebraic semantics of an algebraizable logic is a (generalized) quasi-variety.

Some questions

- ▶ In general the equivalent algebraic semantics of an algebraizable logic is a (generalized) quasi-variety.
- ▶ Readily falsifiable criteria for the logic of $\langle \mathbf{A}, F \rangle$ to be algebraizable.

Some questions

- ▶ In general the equivalent algebraic semantics of an algebraizable logic is a (generalized) quasi-variety.
- ▶ Readily falsifiable criteria for the logic of $\langle \mathbf{A}, F \rangle$ to be algebraizable. Assuming conditions on \mathbf{A} ?

Some questions

- ▶ In general the equivalent algebraic semantics of an algebraizable logic is a (generalized) quasi-variety.
- ▶ Readily falsifiable criteria for the logic of $\langle \mathbf{A}, F \rangle$ to be algebraizable. Assuming conditions on \mathbf{A} ?
- ▶ More on the analogy with primal algebras...

Thank you!