

On decidability of some classes of Stone algebras

Pavol Zlatoš

Comenius University

Bratislava, Slovakia

(joint work with *Martin Adamčík*)

Topology, Algebra and Categories in Logic

June 21–26, 2015

Ischia, Italy

Boolean and Stone algebras

Boolean and Stone algebras

The theory of the class \mathbf{BI}_n of Boolean algebras with a sequence of distinguished ideals (B, J_1, \dots, J_n) is decidable for each $n \geq 0$ [Ershov 1964], [Rabin 1969].

Boolean and Stone algebras

The theory of the class \mathbf{BI}_n of Boolean algebras with a sequence of distinguished ideals (B, J_1, \dots, J_n) is decidable for each $n \geq 0$ [Ershov 1964], [Rabin 1969].

The theory of the class \mathbf{BP} of Boolean pairs, i.e., Boolean algebras (B, S) with a distinguished subalgebra is hereditarily undecidable [Rubin 1976].

Boolean and Stone algebras

The theory of the class \mathbf{BI}_n of Boolean algebras with a sequence of distinguished ideals (B, J_1, \dots, J_n) is decidable for each $n \geq 0$ [Ershov 1964], [Rabin 1969].

The theory of the class \mathbf{BP} of Boolean pairs, i.e., Boolean algebras (B, S) with a distinguished subalgebra is hereditarily undecidable [Rubin 1976].

Stone algebra $(A, \wedge, \vee, *, 0, 1)$ is a distributive lattice with smallest element 0, biggest element 1, and unary pseudocomplement operation $*$, i.e.,

Boolean and Stone algebras

The theory of the class \mathbf{BI}_n of Boolean algebras with a sequence of distinguished ideals (B, J_1, \dots, J_n) is decidable for each $n \geq 0$ [Ershov 1964], [Rabin 1969].

The theory of the class \mathbf{BP} of Boolean pairs, i.e., Boolean algebras (B, S) with a distinguished subalgebra is hereditarily undecidable [Rubin 1976].

Stone algebra $(A, \wedge, \vee, *, 0, 1)$ is a distributive lattice with smallest element 0, biggest element 1, and unary pseudocomplement operation $*$, i.e.,

$$x \wedge y = 0 \Leftrightarrow y \leq x^*$$

Boolean and Stone algebras

The theory of the class \mathbf{BI}_n of Boolean algebras with a sequence of distinguished ideals (B, J_1, \dots, J_n) is decidable for each $n \geq 0$ [Ershov 1964], [Rabin 1969].

The theory of the class \mathbf{BP} of Boolean pairs, i.e., Boolean algebras (B, S) with a distinguished subalgebra is hereditarily undecidable [Rubin 1976].

Stone algebra $(A, \wedge, \vee, *, 0, 1)$ is a distributive lattice with smallest element 0, biggest element 1, and unary pseudocomplement operation $*$, i.e.,

$$x \wedge y = 0 \Leftrightarrow y \leq x^*$$

satisfying

$$x^* \vee x^{**} = 1$$

Boolean and Stone algebras

The theory of the class \mathbf{BI}_n of Boolean algebras with a sequence of distinguished ideals (B, J_1, \dots, J_n) is decidable for each $n \geq 0$ [Ershov 1964], [Rabin 1969].

The theory of the class \mathbf{BP} of Boolean pairs, i.e., Boolean algebras (B, S) with a distinguished subalgebra is hereditarily undecidable [Rubin 1976].

Stone algebra $(A, \wedge, \vee, *, 0, 1)$ is a distributive lattice with smallest element 0, biggest element 1, and unary pseudocomplement operation $*$, i.e.,

$$x \wedge y = 0 \Leftrightarrow y \leq x^*$$

satisfying

$$x^* \vee x^{**} = 1$$

They form an equational class [Grätzer 1967].

*Skeleton, dense elements set and
structural map*

Skeleton, dense elements set and structural map

Skeleton and **dense elements set** of A , resp.:

$$\text{Sk } A = \{a \in A \mid a \vee a^* = 1\}$$

$$\text{Dn } A = \{d \in A \mid d^* = 0\}$$

Skeleton, dense elements set and structural map

Skeleton and **dense elements set** of A , resp.:

$$\text{Sk } A = \{a \in A \mid a \vee a^* = 1\}$$

$$\text{Dn } A = \{d \in A \mid d^* = 0\}$$

$\text{Sk } A$ is a Boolean subalgebra of A and $\text{Dn } A$ is a filter in A .

Skeleton, dense elements set and structural map

Skeleton and **dense elements set** of A , resp.:

$$\text{Sk } A = \{a \in A \mid a \vee a^* = 1\}$$

$$\text{Dn } A = \{d \in A \mid d^* = 0\}$$

$\text{Sk } A$ is a Boolean subalgebra of A and $\text{Dn } A$ is a filter in A .

Principal Stone algebra: if $\text{Dn } A$ has the smallest element e .

Skeleton, dense elements set and structural map

Skeleton and **dense elements set** of A , resp.:

$$\text{Sk } A = \{a \in A \mid a \vee a^* = 1\}$$

$$\text{Dn } A = \{d \in A \mid d^* = 0\}$$

$\text{Sk } A$ is a Boolean subalgebra of A and $\text{Dn } A$ is a filter in A .

Principal Stone algebra: if $\text{Dn } A$ has the smallest element e .

Structural map: $(0, 1)$ -lattice homomorphism

$$h_A : (\text{Sk } A, \wedge, \vee, 0, 1) \rightarrow (\text{Dn } A, \wedge, \vee, e, 1), \quad h_A(a) = a \vee e$$

Skeleton, dense elements set and structural map

Skeleton and **dense elements set** of A , resp.:

$$\text{Sk } A = \{a \in A \mid a \vee a^* = 1\}$$

$$\text{Dn } A = \{d \in A \mid d^* = 0\}$$

$\text{Sk } A$ is a Boolean subalgebra of A and $\text{Dn } A$ is a filter in A .

Principal Stone algebra: if $\text{Dn } A$ has the smallest element e .

Structural map: $(0, 1)$ -lattice homomorphism

$$h_A : (\text{Sk } A, \wedge, \vee, 0, 1) \rightarrow (\text{Dn } A, \wedge, \vee, e, 1), \quad h_A(a) = a \vee e$$

A is completely determined by $(\text{Sk } A, \text{Dn } A, h_A)$.

Triple construction

[Chen, Grätzer 1969], [Katriňák 1973]

Triple construction

[Chen, Grätzer 1969], [Katriňák 1973]

Given Boolean algebra B , distributive lattice D (with 0 and 1)
and homomorphism $h : (B, \wedge, \vee, 0, 1) \rightarrow (D, \wedge, \vee, 0, 1)$,

Triple construction

[Chen, Grätzer 1969], [Katriňák 1973]

Given Boolean algebra B , distributive lattice D (with 0 and 1) and homomorphism $h : (B, \wedge, \vee, 0, 1) \rightarrow (D, \wedge, \vee, 0, 1)$, we form the **P-product**

$$B \rtimes_h D = \{(b, d) \in B \times D \mid h(b) \geq d\}$$

$(0, 1)$ sublattice of $B \times D$;

Triple construction

[Chen, Grätzer 1969], [Katriňák 1973]

Given Boolean algebra B , distributive lattice D (with 0 and 1) and homomorphism $h : (B, \wedge, \vee, 0, 1) \rightarrow (D, \wedge, \vee, 0, 1)$, we form the **P-product**

$$B \times_h D = \{(b, d) \in B \times D \mid h(b) \geq d\}$$

$(0, 1)$ sublattice of $B \times D$;

$$(b, d)^* = (b^*, h(b^*))$$

turns $B \times_h D$ to a Stone algebra with

Triple construction

[Chen, Grätzer 1969], [Katriňák 1973]

Given Boolean algebra B , distributive lattice D (with 0 and 1) and homomorphism $h : (B, \wedge, \vee, 0, 1) \rightarrow (D, \wedge, \vee, 0, 1)$, we form the **P-product**

$$B \rtimes_h D = \{(b, d) \in B \times D \mid h(b) \geq d\}$$

$(0, 1)$ sublattice of $B \times D$;

$$(b, d)^* = (b^*, h(b^*))$$

turns $B \rtimes_h D$ to a Stone algebra with

$$\text{Sk}(B \rtimes_h D) = \{(b, h(b)) \mid b \in B\} \cong B$$

Triple construction

[Chen, Grätzer 1969], [Katriňák 1973]

Given Boolean algebra B , distributive lattice D (with 0 and 1) and homomorphism $h : (B, \wedge, \vee, 0, 1) \rightarrow (D, \wedge, \vee, 0, 1)$, we form the **P-product**

$$B \rtimes_h D = \{(b, d) \in B \times D \mid h(b) \geq d\}$$

$(0, 1)$ sublattice of $B \times D$;

$$(b, d)^* = (b^*, h(b^*))$$

turns $B \rtimes_h D$ to a Stone algebra with

$$\text{Sk}(B \rtimes_h D) = \{(b, h(b)) \mid b \in B\} \cong B$$

$$\text{Dn}(B \rtimes_h D) = \{(1, d) \mid d \in D\} \cong D$$

Triple construction

[Chen, Grätzer 1969], [Katriňák 1973]

Given Boolean algebra B , distributive lattice D (with 0 and 1) and homomorphism $h : (B, \wedge, \vee, 0, 1) \rightarrow (D, \wedge, \vee, 0, 1)$, we form the **P-product**

$$B \rtimes_h D = \{(b, d) \in B \times D \mid h(b) \geq d\}$$

$(0, 1)$ sublattice of $B \times D$;

$$(b, d)^* = (b^*, h(b^*))$$

turns $B \rtimes_h D$ to a Stone algebra with

$$\text{Sk}(B \rtimes_h D) = \{(b, h(b)) \mid b \in B\} \cong B$$

$$\text{Dn}(B \rtimes_h D) = \{(1, d) \mid d \in D\} \cong D$$

and structural map $\tilde{h} : \text{Sk}(B \rtimes_h D) \rightarrow \text{Dn}(B \rtimes_h D)$

$$\tilde{h}(b, h(b)) = (b, h(b)) \vee (1, 0) = (1, h(b))$$

Stone algebras of degree n

Stone algebras of degree n

Iterating the triple construction with Boolean algebras we obtain the notion of **Stone algebra of degree n** .

Stone algebras of degree n

Iterating the triple construction with Boolean algebras we obtain the notion of **Stone algebra of degree n** .

One point Stone algebra is a Stone algebra of degree 0.

Stone algebras of degree n

Iterating the triple construction with Boolean algebras we obtain the notion of **Stone algebra of degree n** .

One point Stone algebra is a Stone algebra of degree 0.

A Stone algebra is of degree $n + 1$ if its dense elements set forms a Stone algebra of degree n .

Stone algebras of degree n

Iterating the triple construction with Boolean algebras we obtain the notion of **Stone algebra of degree n** .

One point Stone algebra is a Stone algebra of degree 0.

A Stone algebra is of degree $n + 1$ if its dense elements set forms a Stone algebra of degree n .

\mathbf{SA}_n denotes the class of all Stone algebras of degree n .

Stone algebras of degree n

Iterating the triple construction with Boolean algebras we obtain the notion of **Stone algebra of degree n** .

One point Stone algebra is a Stone algebra of degree 0.

A Stone algebra is of degree $n + 1$ if its dense elements set forms a Stone algebra of degree n .

\mathbf{SA}_n denotes the class of all Stone algebras of degree n .

\mathbf{SA}_1 is the class of all Boolean algebras and $\mathbf{SA}_n \subseteq \mathbf{SA}_{n+1}$ for each n .

Stone algebras of degree n

Iterating the triple construction with Boolean algebras we obtain the notion of **Stone algebra of degree n** .

One point Stone algebra is a Stone algebra of degree 0.

A Stone algebra is of degree $n + 1$ if its dense elements set forms a Stone algebra of degree n .

\mathbf{SA}_n denotes the class of all Stone algebras of degree n .

\mathbf{SA}_1 is the class of all Boolean algebras and $\mathbf{SA}_n \subseteq \mathbf{SA}_{n+1}$ for each n .

Each \mathbf{SA}_n is a finitely axiomatizable class.

Stone algebras of degree n

Iterating the triple construction with Boolean algebras we obtain the notion of **Stone algebra of degree n** .

One point Stone algebra is a Stone algebra of degree 0.

A Stone algebra is of degree $n + 1$ if its dense elements set forms a Stone algebra of degree n .

\mathbf{SA}_n denotes the class of all Stone algebras of degree n .

\mathbf{SA}_1 is the class of all Boolean algebras and $\mathbf{SA}_n \subseteq \mathbf{SA}_{n+1}$ for each n .

Each \mathbf{SA}_n is a finitely axiomatizable class.

$\text{Th } \mathbf{SA}_0 = \{x = y\}$, $\text{Th } \mathbf{SA}_1 = \text{Th } \mathbf{BA}$,

Stone algebras of degree n

Iterating the triple construction with Boolean algebras we obtain the notion of **Stone algebra of degree n** .

One point Stone algebra is a Stone algebra of degree 0.

A Stone algebra is of degree $n + 1$ if its dense elements set forms a Stone algebra of degree n .

\mathbf{SA}_n denotes the class of all Stone algebras of degree n .

\mathbf{SA}_1 is the class of all Boolean algebras and $\mathbf{SA}_n \subseteq \mathbf{SA}_{n+1}$ for each n .

Each \mathbf{SA}_n is a finitely axiomatizable class.

$\text{Th } \mathbf{SA}_0 = \{x = y\}$, $\text{Th } \mathbf{SA}_1 = \text{Th } \mathbf{BA}$,

$\text{Th } \mathbf{SA}_{n+1} = \text{Th } \mathbf{SA} \cup (\text{Th } \mathbf{SA}_n)^{\text{Dn}}$ for $n \geq 1$

The classes \mathbf{SA}_n^i , \mathbf{SA}_n^s

The classes $\mathbf{SA}_n^i, \mathbf{SA}_n^s$

Iterated P-product [Katriňák, Mitschke 1972]

The classes \mathbf{SA}_n^i , \mathbf{SA}_n^s

Iterated P-product [Katriňák, Mitschke 1972]

A Stone algebra A is in \mathbf{SA}_n iff there is a finite sequence of Boolean algebras B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n and Boolean homomorphisms $h_k : B_k \rightarrow B_{k+1}$ ($1 \leq k < n$), such that

The classes \mathbf{SA}_n^i , \mathbf{SA}_n^s

Iterated P-product [Katriňák, Mitschke 1972]

A Stone algebra A is in \mathbf{SA}_n iff there is a finite sequence of Boolean algebras B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n and Boolean homomorphisms $h_k : B_k \rightarrow B_{k+1}$ ($1 \leq k < n$), such that

$$\begin{aligned} A &\cong B_1 \rtimes_{h_1} B_2 \rtimes_{h_2} \dots \rtimes_{h_{n-1}} B_n \\ &= \{(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) \in B_1 \times B_2 \times \dots \times B_n \mid \\ &\quad h_1(b_1) \geq b_2, h_2(b_2) \geq b_3, \dots, h_{n-1}(b_{n-1}) \geq b_n\} \end{aligned}$$

The classes \mathbf{SA}_n^i , \mathbf{SA}_n^s

Iterated **P**-product [Katriňák, Mitschke 1972]

A Stone algebra A is in \mathbf{SA}_n iff there is a finite sequence of Boolean algebras B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n and Boolean homomorphisms $h_k : B_k \rightarrow B_{k+1}$ ($1 \leq k < n$), such that

$$\begin{aligned} A &\cong B_1 \rtimes_{h_1} B_2 \rtimes_{h_2} \dots \rtimes_{h_{n-1}} B_n \\ &= \{ (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) \in B_1 \times B_2 \times \dots \times B_n \mid \\ &\quad h_1(b_1) \geq b_2, h_2(b_2) \geq b_3, \dots, h_{n-1}(b_{n-1}) \geq b_n \} \end{aligned}$$

P-injective and **P**-surjective Stone algebras of order n , resp.

The classes \mathbf{SA}_n^i , \mathbf{SA}_n^s

Iterated P-product [Katriňák, Mitschke 1972]

A Stone algebra A is in \mathbf{SA}_n iff there is a finite sequence of Boolean algebras B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n and Boolean homomorphisms $h_k : B_k \rightarrow B_{k+1}$ ($1 \leq k < n$), such that

$$\begin{aligned} A &\cong B_1 \rtimes_{h_1} B_2 \rtimes_{h_2} \dots \rtimes_{h_{n-1}} B_n \\ &= \{ (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) \in B_1 \times B_2 \times \dots \times B_n \mid \\ &\quad h_1(b_1) \geq b_2, h_2(b_2) \geq b_3, \dots, h_{n-1}(b_{n-1}) \geq b_n \} \end{aligned}$$

P-injective and **P-surjective** Stone algebras of order n , resp.

\mathbf{SA}_n^i — Stone algebras in \mathbf{SA}_n with all the the h_k 's injective

\mathbf{SA}_n^s — Stone algebras in \mathbf{SA}_n with all the the h_k 's surjective

The classes \mathbf{SA}_n^i , \mathbf{SA}_n^s

Iterated P-product [Katriňák, Mitschke 1972]

A Stone algebra A is in \mathbf{SA}_n iff there is a finite sequence of Boolean algebras B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n and Boolean homomorphisms $h_k : B_k \rightarrow B_{k+1}$ ($1 \leq k < n$), such that

$$\begin{aligned} A &\cong B_1 \rtimes_{h_1} B_2 \rtimes_{h_2} \dots \rtimes_{h_{n-1}} B_n \\ &= \{(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) \in B_1 \times B_2 \times \dots \times B_n \mid \\ &\quad h_1(b_1) \geq b_2, h_2(b_2) \geq b_3, \dots, h_{n-1}(b_{n-1}) \geq b_n\} \end{aligned}$$

P-injective and **P-surjective** Stone algebras of order n , resp.

\mathbf{SA}_n^i — Stone algebras in \mathbf{SA}_n with all the the h_k 's injective

\mathbf{SA}_n^s — Stone algebras in \mathbf{SA}_n with all the the h_k 's surjective

The class \mathbf{PA}_n of all Post algebras of degree n is definitionally equivalent to $\mathbf{SA}_n^i \cap \mathbf{SA}_n^s$.

Interpretations 1

Interpretations 1

Given two first order languages L, L' , a p -ary **interpretation** $I : L \rightarrow L'$ consists of the following data [Rabin 1964]:

Interpretations 1

Given two first order languages L, L' , a p -ary **interpretation** $I : L \rightarrow L'$ consists of the following data [Rabin 1964]:

- an effectively computable mapping $x \mapsto x^I = \mathbf{x}$, assigning to each L -variable x a p -tuple of distinct L' -variables $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_p)$, (for distinct x, y the lists \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} are disjoint)

Interpretations 1

Given two first order languages L, L' , a p -ary **interpretation** $I : L \rightarrow L'$ consists of the following data [Rabin 1964]:

- an effectively computable mapping $x \mapsto x^I = \mathbf{x}$, assigning to each L -variable x a p -tuple of distinct L' -variables $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_p)$, (for distinct x, y the lists \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} are disjoint)
- an L' -formula $U(\mathbf{x})$, representing the universe of the interpretation

Interpretations 1

Given two first order languages L, L' , a p -ary **interpretation** $I : L \rightarrow L'$ consists of the following data [Rabin 1964]:

- an effectively computable mapping $x \mapsto x^I = \mathbf{x}$, assigning to each L -variable x a p -tuple of distinct L' -variables $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_p)$, (for distinct x, y the lists \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} are disjoint)
- an L' -formula $U(\mathbf{x})$, representing the universe of the interpretation
- an L' -formula $E(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$, representing the equality relation

Interpretations 1

Given two first order languages L , L' , a p -ary **interpretation** $I : L \rightarrow L'$ consists of the following data [Rabin 1964]:

- an effectively computable mapping $x \mapsto x^I = \mathbf{x}$, assigning to each L -variable x a p -tuple of distinct L' -variables $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_p)$, (for distinct x, y the lists \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} are disjoint)
- an L' -formula $U(\mathbf{x})$, representing the universe of the interpretation
- an L' -formula $E(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$, representing the equality relation
- an L' -formula $R^I(\mathbf{x}^1, \dots, \mathbf{x}^n)$ for each n -ary relational symbol R in L

Interpretations 1

Given two first order languages L, L' , a p -ary **interpretation** $I : L \rightarrow L'$ consists of the following data [Rabin 1964]:

- an effectively computable mapping $x \mapsto x^I = \mathbf{x}$, assigning to each L -variable x a p -tuple of distinct L' -variables $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_p)$, (for distinct x, y the lists \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} are disjoint)
- an L' -formula $U(\mathbf{x})$, representing the universe of the interpretation
- an L' -formula $E(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$, representing the equality relation
- an L' -formula $R^I(\mathbf{x}^1, \dots, \mathbf{x}^n)$ for each n -ary relational symbol R in L
- an L' -formula $f^I(\mathbf{x}^0, \mathbf{x}^1, \dots, \mathbf{x}^n)$ for each n -ary functional symbol f in L (constants are nullary operation symbols)

Interpretations 2

Interpretations 2

I can be extended to a map $I : \text{Form } L \rightarrow \text{Form } L', \varphi \mapsto \varphi^I$,
by recursion:

Interpretations 2

I can be extended to a map $I : \text{Form } L \rightarrow \text{Form } L'$, $\varphi \mapsto \varphi^I$,
by recursion:

$$(x = y)^I \text{ is } E(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$$

Interpretations 2

I can be extended to a map $I : \text{Form } L \rightarrow \text{Form } L'$, $\varphi \mapsto \varphi^I$,
by recursion:

$$(x = y)^I \text{ is } E(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$$
$$R(x^1, \dots, x^n)^I \text{ is } R^I(\mathbf{x}^1, \dots, \mathbf{x}^n)$$

Interpretations 2

I can be extended to a map $I : \text{Form } L \rightarrow \text{Form } L'$, $\varphi \mapsto \varphi^I$,
by recursion:

$$(x = y)^I \text{ is } E(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$$

$$R(x^1, \dots, x^n)^I \text{ is } R^I(\mathbf{x}^1, \dots, \mathbf{x}^n)$$

$$(x^0 = f(x^1, \dots, x^n))^I \text{ is } f^I(\mathbf{x}^0, \mathbf{x}^1, \dots, \mathbf{x}^n)$$

Interpretations 2

I can be extended to a map $I : \text{Form } L \rightarrow \text{Form } L'$, $\varphi \mapsto \varphi^I$,
by recursion:

$$(x = y)^I \text{ is } E(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$$

$$R(x^1, \dots, x^n)^I \text{ is } R^I(\mathbf{x}^1, \dots, \mathbf{x}^n)$$

$$(x^0 = f(x^1, \dots, x^n))^I \text{ is } f^I(\mathbf{x}^0, \mathbf{x}^1, \dots, \mathbf{x}^n)$$

$$(\neg \varphi)^I \text{ is } \neg \varphi^I,$$

Interpretations 2

I can be extended to a map $I : \text{Form } L \rightarrow \text{Form } L'$, $\varphi \mapsto \varphi^I$,
by recursion:

$$(x = y)^I \text{ is } E(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$$

$$R(x^1, \dots, x^n)^I \text{ is } R^I(\mathbf{x}^1, \dots, \mathbf{x}^n)$$

$$(x^0 = f(x^1, \dots, x^n))^I \text{ is } f^I(\mathbf{x}^0, \mathbf{x}^1, \dots, \mathbf{x}^n)$$

$$(\neg \varphi)^I \text{ is } \neg \varphi^I,$$

$$(\varphi \star \psi)^I \text{ is } \varphi^I \star \psi^I \text{ (for any binary connective } \star)$$

Interpretations 2

I can be extended to a map $I : \text{Form } L \rightarrow \text{Form } L'$, $\varphi \mapsto \varphi^I$,
by recursion:

$$(x = y)^I \text{ is } E(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$$

$$R(x^1, \dots, x^n)^I \text{ is } R^I(\mathbf{x}^1, \dots, \mathbf{x}^n)$$

$$(x^0 = f(x^1, \dots, x^n))^I \text{ is } f^I(\mathbf{x}^0, \mathbf{x}^1, \dots, \mathbf{x}^n)$$

$$(\neg \varphi)^I \text{ is } \neg \varphi^I,$$

$$(\varphi \star \psi)^I \text{ is } \varphi^I \star \psi^I \text{ (for any binary connective } \star)$$

$$(\exists x \varphi)^I \text{ is } (\exists x_1, \dots, x_p)(U(\mathbf{x}) \ \& \ \varphi^I)$$

Interpretations 2

I can be extended to a map $I : \text{Form } L \rightarrow \text{Form } L'$, $\varphi \mapsto \varphi^I$,
by recursion:

$$(x = y)^I \text{ is } E(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$$

$$R(x^1, \dots, x^n)^I \text{ is } R^I(\mathbf{x}^1, \dots, \mathbf{x}^n)$$

$$(x^0 = f(x^1, \dots, x^n))^I \text{ is } f^I(\mathbf{x}^0, \mathbf{x}^1, \dots, \mathbf{x}^n)$$

$$(\neg \varphi)^I \text{ is } \neg \varphi^I,$$

$$(\varphi \star \psi)^I \text{ is } \varphi^I \star \psi^I \text{ (for any binary connective } \star)$$

$$(\exists x \varphi)^I \text{ is } (\exists x_1, \dots, x_p)(U(\mathbf{x}) \ \& \ \varphi^I)$$

$$(\forall x \varphi)^I \text{ is } (\forall x_1, \dots, x_p)(U(\mathbf{x}) \Rightarrow \varphi^I)$$

Interpretations 3

Interpretations 3

An L' -structure \mathfrak{M} with base set M **admits** I if

Interpretations 3

An L' -structure \mathfrak{M} with base set M **admits** I if

- $U_{\mathfrak{M}} = \{\mathbf{a} \in M^p \mid \mathfrak{M} \models U(\mathbf{a})\} \neq \emptyset$

Interpretations 3

An L' -structure \mathfrak{M} with base set M **admits** I if

- $U_{\mathfrak{M}} = \{\mathbf{a} \in M^p \mid \mathfrak{M} \models U(\mathbf{a})\} \neq \emptyset$
- $E_{\mathfrak{M}} = \{(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in U_{\mathfrak{M}} \times U_{\mathfrak{M}} \mid \mathfrak{M} \models E(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})\}$
is an equivalence relation on $U_{\mathfrak{M}}$

Interpretations 3

An L' -structure \mathfrak{M} with base set M **admits** I if

- $U_{\mathfrak{M}} = \{\mathbf{a} \in M^p \mid \mathfrak{M} \models U(\mathbf{a})\} \neq \emptyset$
- $E_{\mathfrak{M}} = \{(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in U_{\mathfrak{M}} \times U_{\mathfrak{M}} \mid \mathfrak{M} \models E(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})\}$
is an equivalence relation on $U_{\mathfrak{M}}$
- $\mathfrak{M} \models (\forall x^1, \dots, x^n \exists! x^0 x^0 = f(x^1, \dots, x^n))^I$
for every n -ary functional symbol f in L

Interpretations 3

An L' -structure \mathfrak{M} with base set M **admits** I if

- $U_{\mathfrak{M}} = \{\mathbf{a} \in M^p \mid \mathfrak{M} \models U(\mathbf{a})\} \neq \emptyset$
- $E_{\mathfrak{M}} = \{(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in U_{\mathfrak{M}} \times U_{\mathfrak{M}} \mid \mathfrak{M} \models E(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})\}$
is an equivalence relation on $U_{\mathfrak{M}}$
- $\mathfrak{M} \models (\forall x^1, \dots, x^n \exists! x^0 x^0 = f(x^1, \dots, x^n))^I$
for every n -ary functional symbol f in L
- $\mathfrak{M} \models \varepsilon^I$ for every instance ε of the equality axiom
for any relational or functional symbol in L

Interpretations 3

An L' -structure \mathfrak{M} with base set M **admits** I if

- $U_{\mathfrak{M}} = \{\mathbf{a} \in M^p \mid \mathfrak{M} \models U(\mathbf{a})\} \neq \emptyset$
- $E_{\mathfrak{M}} = \{(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in U_{\mathfrak{M}} \times U_{\mathfrak{M}} \mid \mathfrak{M} \models E(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})\}$
is an equivalence relation on $U_{\mathfrak{M}}$
- $\mathfrak{M} \models (\forall x^1, \dots, x^n \exists! x^0 x^0 = f(x^1, \dots, x^n))^I$
for every n -ary functional symbol f in L
- $\mathfrak{M} \models \varepsilon^I$ for every instance ε of the equality axiom
for any relational or functional symbol in L

Naturally defined L -structure \mathfrak{M}^I with base set $U_{\mathfrak{M}}/E_{\mathfrak{M}}$
and relational and functional symbols interpreted as follows:

Interpretations 3

An L' -structure \mathfrak{M} with base set M **admits** I if

- $U_{\mathfrak{M}} = \{\mathbf{a} \in M^p \mid \mathfrak{M} \models U(\mathbf{a})\} \neq \emptyset$
- $E_{\mathfrak{M}} = \{(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in U_{\mathfrak{M}} \times U_{\mathfrak{M}} \mid \mathfrak{M} \models E(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})\}$
is an equivalence relation on $U_{\mathfrak{M}}$
- $\mathfrak{M} \models (\forall x^1, \dots, x^n \exists! x^0 x^0 = f(x^1, \dots, x^n))^I$
for every n -ary functional symbol f in L
- $\mathfrak{M} \models \varepsilon^I$ for every instance ε of the equality axiom
for any relational or functional symbol in L

Naturally defined L -structure \mathfrak{M}^I with base set $U_{\mathfrak{M}}/E_{\mathfrak{M}}$
and relational and functional symbols interpreted as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{M}^I \models R(\underline{\mathbf{a}}^1, \dots, \underline{\mathbf{a}}^n) & \quad \text{iff} \quad \mathfrak{M} \models R^I(\mathbf{a}^1, \dots, \mathbf{a}^n) \\ \mathfrak{M}^I \models \underline{\mathbf{a}}^0 = f(\underline{\mathbf{a}}^1, \dots, \underline{\mathbf{a}}^n) & \quad \text{iff} \quad \mathfrak{M} \models f^I(\mathbf{a}^0, \mathbf{a}^1, \dots, \mathbf{a}^n)\end{aligned}$$

$\underline{\mathbf{a}}$ denotes the equivalence class of $\mathbf{a} \in U_{\mathfrak{M}}$ w.r.t. $E_{\mathfrak{M}}$

Interpretations 4

Interpretations 4

By induction, for any L -formula $\varphi(x^1, \dots, x^n)$, $\mathbf{a}^1, \dots, \mathbf{a}^n \in U_{\mathfrak{M}}$,

$$\mathfrak{M}^I \models \varphi(\underline{\mathbf{a}}^1, \dots, \underline{\mathbf{a}}^n) \quad \text{iff} \quad \mathfrak{M} \models \varphi^I(\mathbf{a}^1, \dots, \mathbf{a}^n)$$

Interpretations 4

By induction, for any L -formula $\varphi(x^1, \dots, x^n)$, $\mathbf{a}^1, \dots, \mathbf{a}^n \in U_{\mathfrak{M}}$,

$$\mathfrak{M}^I \models \varphi(\underline{\mathbf{a}}^1, \dots, \underline{\mathbf{a}}^n) \quad \text{iff} \quad \mathfrak{M} \models \varphi^I(\mathbf{a}^1, \dots, \mathbf{a}^n)$$

In particular, for any L -sentence φ ,

$$\mathfrak{M}^I \models \varphi \quad \text{iff} \quad \mathfrak{M} \models \varphi^I$$

Interpretations 4

By induction, for any L -formula $\varphi(x^1, \dots, x^n)$, $\mathbf{a}^1, \dots, \mathbf{a}^n \in U_{\mathfrak{M}}$,

$$\mathfrak{M}^I \models \varphi(\underline{\mathbf{a}}^1, \dots, \underline{\mathbf{a}}^n) \quad \text{iff} \quad \mathfrak{M} \models \varphi^I(\mathbf{a}^1, \dots, \mathbf{a}^n)$$

In particular, for any L -sentence φ ,

$$\mathfrak{M}^I \models \varphi \quad \text{iff} \quad \mathfrak{M} \models \varphi^I$$

A class \mathbf{K} of L -structures **definable** in a class \mathbf{K}' of L' -structures if there is an interpretation $I : L \rightarrow L'$ such that every structure $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathbf{K}$ is isomorphic to the structure \mathfrak{M}^I for some $\mathfrak{M} \in \mathbf{K}'$ which admits I .

Interpretations 4

By induction, for any L -formula $\varphi(x^1, \dots, x^n)$, $\mathbf{a}^1, \dots, \mathbf{a}^n \in U_{\mathfrak{M}}$,

$$\mathfrak{M}^I \models \varphi(\underline{\mathbf{a}}^1, \dots, \underline{\mathbf{a}}^n) \quad \text{iff} \quad \mathfrak{M} \models \varphi^I(\mathbf{a}^1, \dots, \mathbf{a}^n)$$

In particular, for any L -sentence φ ,

$$\mathfrak{M}^I \models \varphi \quad \text{iff} \quad \mathfrak{M} \models \varphi^I$$

A class \mathbf{K} of L -structures **definable** in a class \mathbf{K}' of L' -structures if there is an interpretation $I : L \rightarrow L'$ such that every structure $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathbf{K}$ is isomorphic to the structure \mathfrak{M}^I for some $\mathfrak{M} \in \mathbf{K}'$ which admits I .

I defines a **semantic embedding** of \mathbf{K} into \mathbf{K}' .

Interpretations 4

By induction, for any L -formula $\varphi(x^1, \dots, x^n)$, $\mathbf{a}^1, \dots, \mathbf{a}^n \in U_{\mathfrak{M}}$,

$$\mathfrak{M}^I \models \varphi(\underline{\mathbf{a}}^1, \dots, \underline{\mathbf{a}}^n) \quad \text{iff} \quad \mathfrak{M} \models \varphi^I(\mathbf{a}^1, \dots, \mathbf{a}^n)$$

In particular, for any L -sentence φ ,

$$\mathfrak{M}^I \models \varphi \quad \text{iff} \quad \mathfrak{M} \models \varphi^I$$

A class \mathbf{K} of L -structures **definable** in a class \mathbf{K}' of L' -structures if there is an interpretation $I : L \rightarrow L'$ such that every structure $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathbf{K}$ is isomorphic to the structure \mathfrak{M}^I for some $\mathfrak{M} \in \mathbf{K}'$ which admits I .

I defines a **semantic embedding** of \mathbf{K} into \mathbf{K}' .

An L -theory T is **interpretable** in an L' -theory T' if the class $\text{Mod } T$ is definable in the class $\text{Mod } T'$.

Proving (un)decidability 1

Proving (un)decidability 1

Theorem 0. Let T be a theory in a first order language L with finitely many non-logical symbols which is interpretable in a theory T' in a recursive first order language L' . Then

Proving (un)decidability 1

Theorem 0. Let T be a theory in a first order language L with finitely many non-logical symbols which is interpretable in a theory T' in a recursive first order language L' . Then

- if T is finitely axiomatizable and T' is decidable, then also T is decidable;

Proving (un)decidability 1

Theorem 0. Let T be a theory in a first order language L with finitely many non-logical symbols which is interpretable in a theory T' in a recursive first order language L' . Then

- if T is finitely axiomatizable and T' is decidable, then also T is decidable;
- if T is hereditarily undecidable (in particular, if T is finitely axiomatizable and undecidable), then also T' is hereditarily undecidable.

Proving (un)decidability 1

Theorem 0. Let T be a theory in a first order language L with finitely many non-logical symbols which is interpretable in a theory T' in a recursive first order language L' . Then

- if T is finitely axiomatizable and T' is decidable, then also T is decidable;
- if T is hereditarily undecidable (in particular, if T is finitely axiomatizable and undecidable), then also T' is hereditarily undecidable.

In order to prove **decidability** of some class \mathbf{K} , find a semantical embedding of \mathbf{K} into a decidable class \mathbf{K}' .

Proving (un)decidability 1

Theorem 0. Let T be a theory in a first order language L with finitely many non-logical symbols which is interpretable in a theory T' in a recursive first order language L' . Then

- if T is finitely axiomatizable and T' is decidable, then also T is decidable;
- if T is hereditarily undecidable (in particular, if T is finitely axiomatizable and undecidable), then also T' is hereditarily undecidable.

In order to prove **decidability** of some class \mathbf{K} , find a semantical embedding of \mathbf{K} into a decidable class \mathbf{K}' .

In order to prove **undecidability** of some class \mathbf{K}' , find a semantical embedding of a hereditarily undecidable class \mathbf{K} into \mathbf{K}' .

Proving (un)decidability 2

Proving (un)decidability 2

$$\mathbf{SA}_1^i = \mathbf{SA}_1^s = \mathbf{SA}_1 = \mathbf{BA}$$

Proving (un)decidability 2

$$\mathbf{SA}_1^i = \mathbf{SA}_1^s = \mathbf{SA}_1 = \mathbf{BA}$$

Theorem 1. [Adamčík, PZ 2012]

Let $n \geq 2$. Then

Proving (un)decidability 2

$$\mathbf{SA}_1^i = \mathbf{SA}_1^s = \mathbf{SA}_1 = \mathbf{BA}$$

Theorem 1. [Adamčík, PZ 2012]

Let $n \geq 2$. Then

- the class \mathbf{SA}_n^i of all P-injective Stone algebras of degree n is hereditarily undecidable

Proving (un)decidability 2

$$\mathbf{SA}_1^i = \mathbf{SA}_1^s = \mathbf{SA}_1 = \mathbf{BA}$$

Theorem 1. [Adamčík, PZ 2012]

Let $n \geq 2$. Then

- the class \mathbf{SA}_n^i of all P-injective Stone algebras of degree n is hereditarily undecidable
- the class \mathbf{SA}_n^s of all P-surjective Stone algebras of degree n is decidable

Undecidability of \mathbf{SA}_n^i

Undecidability of \mathbf{SA}_n^i

Theorem 1A. The class \mathbf{SA}_n^i of all P-injective Stone algebras of any degree $n \geq 2$ is hereditarily undecidable.

Undecidability of \mathbf{SA}_n^i

Theorem 1A. The class \mathbf{SA}_n^i of all P-injective Stone algebras of any degree $n \geq 2$ is hereditarily undecidable.

Sketch of proof:

Undecidability of \mathbf{SA}_n^i

Theorem 1A. The class \mathbf{SA}_n^i of all P-injective Stone algebras of any degree $n \geq 2$ is hereditarily undecidable.

Sketch of proof:

As $\mathbf{SA}_n^i \subseteq \mathbf{SA}_{n+1}^i$ for each n , it suffices to prove hereditary undecidability of \mathbf{SA}_2^i .

Undecidability of \mathbf{SA}_n^i

Theorem 1A. The class \mathbf{SA}_n^i of all P-injective Stone algebras of any degree $n \geq 2$ is hereditarily undecidable.

Sketch of proof:

As $\mathbf{SA}_n^i \subseteq \mathbf{SA}_{n+1}^i$ for each n , it suffices to prove hereditary undecidability of \mathbf{SA}_2^i .

Every Boolean pair (B, S) can be regarded as a triple $(S, B, \text{id} : S \rightarrow B)$ and that way it gives rise to a Stone algebra $S \rtimes_{\text{id}} B \in \mathbf{SA}_2^i$.

Undecidability of \mathbf{SA}_n^i

Theorem 1A. The class \mathbf{SA}_n^i of all P-injective Stone algebras of any degree $n \geq 2$ is hereditarily undecidable.

Sketch of proof:

As $\mathbf{SA}_n^i \subseteq \mathbf{SA}_{n+1}^i$ for each n , it suffices to prove hereditary undecidability of \mathbf{SA}_2^i .

Every Boolean pair (B, S) can be regarded as a triple $(S, B, \text{id} : S \rightarrow B)$ and that way it gives rise to a Stone algebra $S \rtimes_{\text{id}} B \in \mathbf{SA}_2^i$.

Conversely, every $A \in \mathbf{SA}_2^i$ can be obtained in this way from the Boolean pair (B, S) , with

$$B = \text{Dn } A, \quad S = h_A[\text{Sk } A] \cong \text{Sk } A$$

Undecidability of \mathbf{SA}_n^i

Theorem 1A. The class \mathbf{SA}_n^i of all P-injective Stone algebras of any degree $n \geq 2$ is hereditarily undecidable.

Sketch of proof:

As $\mathbf{SA}_n^i \subseteq \mathbf{SA}_{n+1}^i$ for each n , it suffices to prove hereditary undecidability of \mathbf{SA}_2^i .

Every Boolean pair (B, S) can be regarded as a triple $(S, B, \text{id} : S \rightarrow B)$ and that way it gives rise to a Stone algebra $S \rtimes_{\text{id}} B \in \mathbf{SA}_2^i$.

Conversely, every $A \in \mathbf{SA}_2^i$ can be obtained in this way from the Boolean pair (B, S) , with

$$B = \text{Dn } A, \quad S = h_A[\text{Sk } A] \cong \text{Sk } A$$

This enables to define a semantic embedding of the hereditarily undecidable class \mathbf{BP} into \mathbf{SA}_2^i .

Decidability of \mathbf{SA}_n^s

Decidability of \mathbf{SA}_n^s

Theorem 1B. The class \mathbf{SA}_n^s of all P-surjective Stone algebras of any degree $n \geq 2$ is decidable.

Decidability of \mathbf{SA}_n^s

Theorem 1B. The class \mathbf{SA}_n^s of all P-surjective Stone algebras of any degree $n \geq 2$ is decidable.

Sketch of proof:

Decidability of \mathbf{SA}_n^s

Theorem 1B. The class \mathbf{SA}_n^s of all P-surjective Stone algebras of any degree $n \geq 2$ is decidable.

Sketch of proof:

Every Boolean algebra with $n - 1$ ideals $J_1 \subseteq J_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq J_{n-1}$ defines the P -product

Decidability of \mathbf{SA}_n^s

Theorem 1B. The class \mathbf{SA}_n^s of all P-surjective Stone algebras of any degree $n \geq 2$ is decidable.

Sketch of proof:

Every Boolean algebra with $n - 1$ ideals $J_1 \subseteq J_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq J_{n-1}$ defines the P -product

$$B \times_{p_1} B/J_1 \times_{p_2} \dots \times_{p_{n-1}} B/J_{n-1} \in \mathbf{SA}_n^s$$

Decidability of \mathbf{SA}_n^s

Theorem 1B. The class \mathbf{SA}_n^s of all P-surjective Stone algebras of any degree $n \geq 2$ is decidable.

Sketch of proof:

Every Boolean algebra with $n - 1$ ideals $J_1 \subseteq J_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq J_{n-1}$ defines the P -product

$$B \times_{p_1} B/J_1 \times_{p_2} \dots \times_{p_{n-1}} B/J_{n-1} \in \mathbf{SA}_n^s$$

with canonic projections $p_1 : B \rightarrow B/J_1$, $p_k : B/J_{k-1} \rightarrow B/J_k$.

Decidability of \mathbf{SA}_n^s

Theorem 1B. The class \mathbf{SA}_n^s of all P-surjective Stone algebras of any degree $n \geq 2$ is decidable.

Sketch of proof:

Every Boolean algebra with $n - 1$ ideals $J_1 \subseteq J_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq J_{n-1}$ defines the P -product

$$B \times_{p_1} B/J_1 \times_{p_2} \dots \times_{p_{n-1}} B/J_{n-1} \in \mathbf{SA}_n^s$$

with canonic projections $p_1 : B \rightarrow B/J_1$, $p_k : B/J_{k-1} \rightarrow B/J_k$.

Conversely, every $A \in \mathbf{SA}_n^s$ can be obtained in this way from its P -product representation

$$B_1 \times_{h_1} B_2 \times_{h_2} \dots \times_{h_{n-1}} B_n$$

Decidability of \mathbf{SA}_n^s

Theorem 1B. The class \mathbf{SA}_n^s of all P-surjective Stone algebras of any degree $n \geq 2$ is decidable.

Sketch of proof:

Every Boolean algebra with $n - 1$ ideals $J_1 \subseteq J_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq J_{n-1}$ defines the P -product

$$B \times_{p_1} B/J_1 \times_{p_2} \dots \times_{p_{n-1}} B/J_{n-1} \in \mathbf{SA}_n^s$$

with canonic projections $p_1 : B \rightarrow B/J_1$, $p_k : B/J_{k-1} \rightarrow B/J_k$.

Conversely, every $A \in \mathbf{SA}_n^s$ can be obtained in this way from its P -product representation

$$B_1 \times_{h_1} B_2 \times_{h_2} \dots \times_{h_{n-1}} B_n$$

with $J_k = (h_k \circ \dots \circ h_1)^{-1}(0)$ for $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$.

Decidability of \mathbf{SA}_n^s

Theorem 1B. The class \mathbf{SA}_n^s of all P-surjective Stone algebras of any degree $n \geq 2$ is decidable.

Sketch of proof:

Every Boolean algebra with $n - 1$ ideals $J_1 \subseteq J_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq J_{n-1}$ defines the P -product

$$B \times_{p_1} B/J_1 \times_{p_2} \dots \times_{p_{n-1}} B/J_{n-1} \in \mathbf{SA}_n^s$$

with canonic projections $p_1 : B \rightarrow B/J_1$, $p_k : B/J_{k-1} \rightarrow B/J_k$.

Conversely, every $A \in \mathbf{SA}_n^s$ can be obtained in this way from its P -product representation

$$B_1 \times_{h_1} B_2 \times_{h_2} \dots \times_{h_{n-1}} B_n$$

with $J_k = (h_k \circ \dots \circ h_1)^{-1}(0)$ for $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$.

This enables to define a semantic embedding of \mathbf{SA}_n^s into the finitely axiomatizable decidable class class \mathbf{BI}_{n-1} .

Some consequences 1

Some consequences 1

Corollary 1. The following classes of algebras are hereditarily undecidable:

Some consequences 1

Corollary 1. The following classes of algebras are hereditarily undecidable:

- the class \mathbf{SA}_n of all n -th degree Stone algebras for $n \geq 2$, in particular the class \mathbf{SA}_2 of all Stone algebras with Boolean dense set

Some consequences 1

Corollary 1. The following classes of algebras are hereditarily undecidable:

- the class \mathbf{SA}_n of all n -th degree Stone algebras for $n \geq 2$, in particular the class \mathbf{SA}_2 of all Stone algebras with Boolean dense set
- the class of all relatively pseudocomplemented Stone algebras and the class \mathbf{SA} of all Stone algebras

Some consequences 1

Corollary 1. The following classes of algebras are hereditarily undecidable:

- the class \mathbf{SA}_n of all n -th degree Stone algebras for $n \geq 2$, in particular the class \mathbf{SA}_2 of all Stone algebras with Boolean dense set
- the class of all relatively pseudocomplemented Stone algebras and the class \mathbf{SA} of all Stone algebras
- the class of all Gödel algebras, i.e., Heyting algebras satisfying the identity $(x \rightarrow y) \vee (y \rightarrow x) = 1$

Some consequences 1

Corollary 1. The following classes of algebras are hereditarily undecidable:

- the class \mathbf{SA}_n of all n -th degree Stone algebras for $n \geq 2$, in particular the class \mathbf{SA}_2 of all Stone algebras with Boolean dense set
- the class of all relatively pseudocomplemented Stone algebras and the class \mathbf{SA} of all Stone algebras
- the class of all Gödel algebras, i.e., Heyting algebras satisfying the identity $(x \rightarrow y) \vee (y \rightarrow x) = 1$

The last two items follow from the observation that all the algebras in \mathbf{SA}_2 (hence in every \mathbf{SA}_n) are relatively pseudocomplemented and satisfy $(x \rightarrow y) \vee (y \rightarrow x) = 1$ [Katriňák, Mitschke 1972], [Balbes, Dwinger 1974].

Some consequences 2

Some consequences 2

Corollary 2. The following classes of algebras are decidable:

Some consequences 2

Corollary 2. The following classes of algebras are decidable:

- the class \mathbf{PA}_n all Post algebras of degree n [Ershov 1967]

Some consequences 2

Corollary 2. The following classes of algebras are decidable:

- the class \mathbf{PA}_n all Post algebras of degree n [Ershov 1967]
- the class \mathbf{SAD}_n of all Stone algebras of degree n which are dually pseudocomplemented and form a dual Stone algebra under the operation of dual pseudocomplement

Some consequences 2

Corollary 2. The following classes of algebras are decidable:

- the class \mathbf{PA}_n all Post algebras of degree n [Ershov 1967]
- the class \mathbf{SAD}_n of all Stone algebras of degree n which are dually pseudocomplemented and form a dual Stone algebra under the operation of dual pseudocomplement

Reason: $\mathbf{PA}_n \subseteq \mathbf{SAD}_n \subseteq \mathbf{SA}_n^s$ “up to definability”

Some consequences 2

Corollary 2. The following classes of algebras are decidable:

- the class \mathbf{PA}_n all Post algebras of degree n [Ershov 1967]
- the class \mathbf{SAD}_n of all Stone algebras of degree n which are dually pseudocomplemented and form a dual Stone algebra under the operation of dual pseudocomplement

Reason: $\mathbf{PA}_n \subseteq \mathbf{SAD}_n \subseteq \mathbf{SA}_n^s$ “up to definability”

Corollary 3. For each n the class \mathbf{SA}_n of all Stone algebras of degree n has decidable first order theory of its finite members.

Some consequences 2

Corollary 2. The following classes of algebras are decidable:

- the class \mathbf{PA}_n all Post algebras of degree n [Ershov 1967]
- the class \mathbf{SAD}_n of all Stone algebras of degree n which are dually pseudocomplemented and form a dual Stone algebra under the operation of dual pseudocomplement

Reason: $\mathbf{PA}_n \subseteq \mathbf{SAD}_n \subseteq \mathbf{SA}_n^s$ “up to definability”

Corollary 3. For each n the class \mathbf{SA}_n of all Stone algebras of degree n has decidable first order theory of its finite members.

Reason: Each class \mathbf{SA}_n can be singled out from the variety of Gödel algebras by a finite set of identities involving \wedge , \vee , \rightarrow , and definable constants e_0, e_1, \dots, e_n [Katriňák, Mitschke 1972].

Some consequences 2

Corollary 2. The following classes of algebras are decidable:

- the class \mathbf{PA}_n all Post algebras of degree n [Ershov 1967]
- the class \mathbf{SAD}_n of all Stone algebras of degree n which are dually pseudocomplemented and form a dual Stone algebra under the operation of dual pseudocomplement

Reason: $\mathbf{PA}_n \subseteq \mathbf{SAD}_n \subseteq \mathbf{SA}_n^s$ “up to definability”

Corollary 3. For each n the class \mathbf{SA}_n of all Stone algebras of degree n has decidable first order theory of its finite members.

Reason: Each class \mathbf{SA}_n can be singled out from the variety of Gödel algebras by a finite set of identities involving $\wedge, \vee, \rightarrow$, and definable constants e_0, e_1, \dots, e_n [Katriňák, Mitschke 1972]. This variety (though undecidable) still has decidable first order theory of its finite members [K. and P. Idziak 1988].