

On varieties generated by standard BL-algebras

Zuzana Haniková

Institute of Computer Science, AS CR
182 07 Prague, Czech Republic
zuzana@cs.cas.cz

TACL2011 : July 27, 2011

Theorem

If \mathbb{V} is a subvariety of \mathbb{BL} generated by a set of standard BL-algebras, then \mathbb{V} is also generated by a finite set of standard BL-algebras.

As a consequence:

- each such variety \mathbb{V} is finitely axiomatizable (because of the finite-class case [Esteva, Godo, Montagna 04, Galatos 04])
- the equational theory of \mathbb{V} is coNP-complete (because of the finite-class case [Baaz, Hájek, Montagna, Veith 02, Hanikova 02])

BL-algebras form the equivalent algebraic semantics of the Basic Logic; both introduced in [Hájek 98]

Definition

A BL-algebra is an algebra $\mathbf{A} = \langle A, *, \rightarrow, \wedge, \vee, 0, 1 \rangle$ such that:

- 1 $\langle A, \wedge, \vee, 0, 1 \rangle$ is a bounded lattice
- 2 $\langle A, *, 1 \rangle$ is a commutative monoid
- 3 for all $x, y, z \in A$, $z \leq (x \rightarrow y)$ iff $x * z \leq y$
- 4 for all $x, y \in A$, $x \wedge y = x * (x \rightarrow y)$
- 5 for all $x, y \in A$, $(x \rightarrow y) \vee (y \rightarrow x) = 1$

BL-algebras form a variety \mathbb{BL} .

Each BL-algebra is a subdirect product of BL-chains, so the variety \mathbb{BL} is generated by BL-chains.

Standard BL-algebras

A BL-algebra is **standard** iff its domain is the real unit interval $[0, 1]$, and its lattice order is the usual order of reals.

Let \mathbf{A} be a standard BL-algebra. Then its monoidal operation $*$ is continuous w. r. t. the order topology, hence a continuous t-norm. Moreover, we have

$$x \rightarrow^{\mathbf{A}} y = \max\{z \mid x *^{\mathbf{A}} z \leq y\}.$$

Thus \mathbf{A} is uniquely determined by $*^{\mathbf{A}}$; often, the notation is $[0, 1]_*$.

Standard BL-algebras generate the variety \mathbb{BL}
[Hájek 98; Cignoli, Esteva, Godo and Torrens 00]

Examples of standard BL-algebras

t-norm	st. BL-alg.	$x * y$	$x \rightarrow y$ for $x > y$
Łukasiewicz	$[0, 1]_{\mathbb{L}}$	$\max(0, x + y - 1)$	$1 - x + y$
Gödel	$[0, 1]_{\mathbb{G}}$	$\min(x, y)$	y
product	$[0, 1]_{\Pi}$	$x \cdot y$	y/x

$x \in [0, 1]$ is *idempotent* w. r. t. $*$ iff $x * x = x$.

For each standard BL-algebra $[0, 1]_{*}$, its idempotent elements form a closed subset of $[0, 1]$.

The complement of this set is a union of countably many disjoint open intervals; on the closure of each of these, $*$ is isomorphic to

- the Łukasiewicz t-norm $*_{\mathbb{L}}$ on $[0, 1]$, or
- the product t-norm $*_{\Pi}$ on $[0, 1]$.

[Mostert, Shields 57]

Ordinal sum of BL-chains

Definition

Let I be a linearly ordered set with minimum i_0 and let $\{\mathbf{A}_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of BL-chains s. t. $\mathbf{A}_i \cap \mathbf{A}_j = \mathbf{1}^{\mathbf{A}_i} = \mathbf{1}^{\mathbf{A}_j}$ for $i \neq j \in I$.

The **ordinal sum** $\mathbf{A} = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbf{A}_i$ of $\{\mathbf{A}_i\}_{i \in I}$ is as follows:

- 1 the domain is $A = \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$
- 2 $0^{\mathbf{A}} = 0^{\mathbf{A}_{i_0}}$ and $1^{\mathbf{A}} = 1^{\mathbf{A}_{i_0}}$
- 3 the ordering is $x \leq^{\mathbf{A}} y$ iff $\begin{cases} x, y \in A_i \text{ and } x \leq^{\mathbf{A}_i} y \\ x \in A_i \setminus \{1^{\mathbf{A}_i}\} \text{ and } y \in A_j \text{ and } i < j \end{cases}$
- 4 $x *^{\mathbf{A}} y = \begin{cases} x *^{\mathbf{A}_i} y \text{ if } x, y \in A_i \\ \min^{\mathbf{A}}(x, y) \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$
- 5 $x \rightarrow^{\mathbf{A}} y = \begin{cases} 1^{\mathbf{A}} & \text{if } x \leq^{\mathbf{A}} y \\ x \rightarrow_i y & \text{if } x, y \in A_i \\ y & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Standard BL-algebras as ordinal sums

Theorem

Each standard BL-algebra is an ordinal sum of a family of BL-algebras, each of whom is an isomorphic copy of either $[0, 1]_{\mathbb{L}}$ or $[0, 1]_{\mathbb{G}}$ or $[0, 1]_{\mathbb{N}}$ or 2 (the two-element Boolean algebra).

The elements of the sum are called components; we have **L-components** (isomorphic to $[0, 1]_{\mathbb{L}}$), **G-components** (isomorphic to $[0, 1]_{\mathbb{G}}$), **Π -components** (isomorphic to $[0, 1]_{\mathbb{N}}$), and **2-components** (isomorphic to $\{0, 1\}_{\text{Boole}}$).

Gödel components are those maximal w. r. t. inclusion.

For a standard BL-algebra one can write $\mathbf{A} = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbf{A}_i$, where the ordered set I , as well as the isomorphism type of each of the \mathbf{A}_i 's, are uniquely determined by \mathbf{A} .

Each class of isomorphism of standard BL-algebras is given by a corresponding ordinal sum of symbols out of \mathbb{L} , \mathbb{G} , \mathbb{N} and 2.

Remarks on partial embeddability

For each $c \in (0, 1)$, the BL-algebra $[0, 1]_{\mathbb{L}}$ is isomorphic to the *cut product algebra* $([c, 1], *_c, \rightarrow_c, c, 1)$ where

$$\begin{aligned}x *_c y &= \max(c, x *_Pi y) \\x \rightarrow_c y &= x \rightarrow_{\Pi} y\end{aligned}$$

The element c is called the *cut*.

As a consequence, $[0, 1]_{\Pi}$ is partially embeddable into $[0, 1]_{\mathbb{L}} \oplus [0, 1]_{\mathbb{L}}$.

Moreover, any standard BL-algebra without \mathbb{L} -components is partially embeddable into any infinite sum of Π -components.

Standard BL-algebras generating \mathbb{BL}

The class of all standard BL-algebras generates the variety \mathbb{BL} .

The same is true about particular examples of standard BL-algebras.

Theorem

A standard BL-algebra $\mathbf{A} = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbf{A}_i$ generates the variety \mathbb{BL} iff \mathbf{A}_{i_0} is an \mathbb{L} -component and for infinitely many $i \in I$, \mathbf{A}_i is an \mathbb{L} -component.

This is a consequence of a theorem of [Aglianò, Montagna 03], which gives a characterization of BL-generic chains.

Standard BL-algebras generating \mathbb{SBL}

The variety \mathbb{SBL} is a subvariety of \mathbb{BL} given by the identity

$$(x \wedge (x \rightarrow 0)) \rightarrow 0 = 1$$

A standard BL-algebra is an SBL-algebra iff the first component in its ordinal sum is *not* an \mathbb{L} -component.

Theorem

A standard SBL-algebra $\mathbf{A} = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbf{A}_i$ generates the variety \mathbb{SBL} iff \mathbf{A}_{i_0} is not an \mathbb{L} -component and for infinitely many $i \in I$, $i \neq i_0$, \mathbf{A}_i is an \mathbb{L} -component.

[Esteva, Godo, Montagna 04]

Definition

A standard BL-algebra is *canonical* iff its sum is either $\omega\mathbb{L}$ or $\Pi \oplus \omega\mathbb{L}$, or a finite sum of expressions from among \mathbb{L} , G , Π and $\omega\Pi$, where no G is preceded or followed by another G , and no $\omega\Pi$ is preceded or followed by a G , a Π or another $\omega\Pi$.

Theorem

For each standard BL-algebra, there is a canonical BL-algebra generating the same variety.

In particular, there are only countably many subvarieties of \mathbb{BL} that are generated a single standard BL-algebra.

Canonical BL-algebras and subvarieties of \mathbb{BL}

Two canonical BL-algebras are isomorphic iff they are given by the same finite ordinal sum of symbols.

Non-isomorphic canonical BL-algebras generate distinct subvarieties of \mathbb{BL} .

Hence, there is a 1-1 correspondence between

subvarieties of \mathbb{BL} given by a single standard BL-algebra
and
 $\omega\mathbb{L}$, $\Pi \oplus \omega\mathbb{L}$, and finite sums out of the symbols \mathbb{L} , \mathbb{G} , Π , $\omega\Pi$.

The above words are called *canonical BL-expressions*.

The problem

Given a class \mathbb{C} of standard BL-algebras, find a finite class \mathbb{C}' of standard BL-algebras s. t. $\mathbf{Var}(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbf{Var}(\mathbb{C}')$.

Without loss of generality, we may assume:

- 1 \mathbb{C} is a class of canonical BL-algebras
- 2 the isomorphism classes in \mathbb{C} are represented by canonical BL-expressions

Therefore, we may assume \mathbb{C} (and \mathbb{C}') is a class of canonical BL-expressions.

We use the notation $\mathbf{Var}(\mathbb{C})$, ... in the obvious sense.

A plan for the proof

Definition

For canonical BL-expressions \mathbf{A} , \mathbf{B} , let $\mathbf{A} \preceq \mathbf{B}$ iff $\mathbf{Var}(\mathbf{A}) \subseteq \mathbf{Var}(\mathbf{B})$.

\preceq is a partial order on canonical BL-expressions.

For any two canonical BL-expressions, we have

$$\mathbf{A} \preceq \mathbf{B} \text{ iff } \mathbf{Var}(\mathbf{A}) \subseteq \mathbf{Var}(\mathbf{B}) \text{ iff } \mathbf{Var}(\{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}\}) = \mathbf{Var}(\mathbf{B}).$$

Theorem

Let \mathbb{K} , \mathbb{L} be two non-empty classes standard BL-algebras. Then the following are equivalent:

- $\mathbf{Var}(\mathbb{K}) \subseteq \mathbf{Var}(\mathbb{L})$;
- \mathbb{K} is partially embeddable to \mathbb{L} .

[Esteva, Godo, Montagna 04]

A partition on canonical BL-expressions

Let \mathbb{L} denote the class of canonical BL-expressions,
 $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{L}}$ the elements of \mathbb{L} starting with an \mathbb{L} -component and
 $\mathbb{L}_{\overline{\mathbb{L}}}$ the elements of \mathbb{L} not starting with an \mathbb{L} -component.

For each $i \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{\omega\}) \setminus \{0\}$, denote

$\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{L}}^i$ the class of canonical BL-expressions starting with an \mathbb{L} -component
and with exactly i \mathbb{L} -components altogether.

For each $i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\omega\}$, denote

$\mathbb{L}_{\overline{\mathbb{L}}}^i$ the class of canonical BL-expressions *not* starting with an
 \mathbb{L} -component and with exactly i \mathbb{L} -components

A partition on \mathbb{C}

We decompose the given class \mathbb{C} of canonical BL-expressions along these lines:

$$\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{L}}^i = \mathbb{C} \cap \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{L}}^i \text{ and } \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{L}} = \bigcup_{i \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{\omega\}) \setminus \{0\}} \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{L}}^i$$

(all algebras in \mathbb{C} starting with an \mathbb{L} -component).

Analogously for $\mathbb{C}_{\overline{\mathbb{L}}}$.

The classes $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{L}}$ and $\mathbb{C}_{\overline{\mathbb{L}}}$ will be addressed separately. Clearly, $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{L}}$ generates \mathbb{BL} or its subvariety and $\mathbb{C}_{\overline{\mathbb{L}}}$ generates \mathbb{SBL} or its subvariety.

Substituting the generators of a variety

Lemma

Let $\mathbb{K} = \bigcup_{i \in I} \mathbb{K}_i$, $\mathbb{L} = \bigcup_{i \in I} \mathbb{L}_i$ be classes of algebras in the same language. Assume $\mathbf{Var}(\mathbb{K}_i) = \mathbf{Var}(\mathbb{L}_i)$ for each $i \in I$. Then $\mathbf{Var}(\mathbb{K}) = \mathbf{Var}(\mathbb{L})$.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{HSP}(\mathbb{K}) &= \mathbf{HSP}(\bigcup_{i \in I} \mathbb{K}_i) = \mathbf{HSP}(\bigcup_{i \in I} \mathbf{HSP}(\mathbb{K}_i)) = \\ &= \mathbf{HSP}(\bigcup_{i \in I} \mathbf{HSP}(\mathbb{L}_i)) = \mathbf{HSP}(\bigcup_{i \in I} \mathbb{L}_i) = \mathbf{HSP}(\mathbb{L}). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma

Whenever $\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid \mathbb{C}_L^k \text{ is nonempty}\}$ is infinite or \mathbb{C}_L^ω is nonempty, we have $\mathbf{Var}(\mathbb{C}_L) = \mathbb{BL}$.

Then we have $\mathbf{Var}(\mathbb{C}_L) = \mathbf{Var}(\omega L) = \mathbb{BL}$.

If the above conditions are not satisfied, then there is a $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that each expression in \mathbb{C}_L has at most k_0 L -components.

Then \mathbb{C}_L generates a proper subvariety of \mathbb{BL} .

Lemma

Whenever $\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{L}}^k \text{ is nonempty}\}$ is infinite or $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{L}}^{\omega}$ is nonempty, we have $\mathbf{Var}(\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{L}}) = \mathbb{SBL}$.

Then we have $\mathbf{Var}(\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{L}}) = \mathbf{Var}(\Pi \oplus \omega\mathbb{L}) = \mathbb{SBL}$.

If the above conditions are not satisfied, then there is a $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that each expression in $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{L}}$ has at most k_0 \mathbb{L} -components.

Then $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{L}}$ generates a proper subvariety of \mathbb{SBL} .

Bounded number of \mathbb{L} -components

Consider the classes $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{L}}$ and $\mathbb{C}_{\overline{\mathbb{L}}}$ separately. The case when the number of \mathbb{L} -components in elements of each of the classes is unbounded has been addressed.

It remains to find a method of solution for the case when there is **an upper bound $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ on the number of \mathbb{L} -components** of each element of $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{L}}$ ($\mathbb{C}_{\overline{\mathbb{L}}}$).

Recall the partition: for $1 \leq k \leq k_0$, we have

$$\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{L}}^k = \{\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{L}} \mid \mathbf{A} \text{ has exactly } k \text{ } \mathbb{L}\text{-components}\}$$

and analogously for $\mathbb{C}_{\overline{\mathbb{L}}}$ and the partition $\mathbb{C}_{\overline{\mathbb{L}}}^k$, $k \leq k_0$.

Ordinal sums in \mathbb{L}^k

The class \mathbb{L}^k consist of all canonical BL-expressions with exactly k \mathbb{L} -components. The class \mathbb{L}^0 has no \mathbb{L} -components.

For a canonical BL-expression $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{L}^k$, we may write

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}_0 \oplus \mathbb{L} \oplus \mathbf{A}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbf{A}_{k-1} \oplus \mathbb{L} \oplus \mathbf{A}_k$$

where each \mathbf{A}_j , $j \leq k$ is either the empty sum \emptyset , or a finite ordinal sum of G 's and Π 's, or $\infty\Pi$.

In particular, each expression \mathbf{A}_j is an element of \mathbb{L}^0 .

(We consider \emptyset as an element of \mathbb{L}^0 .)

Fix a $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem

Let \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} be two canonical BL-expressions in $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{L}}^k$, where

$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}_0 \oplus \mathbb{L} \oplus \mathbf{A}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbf{A}_{k-1} \oplus \mathbb{L} \oplus \mathbf{A}_k$ and

$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}_0 \oplus \mathbb{L} \oplus \mathbf{B}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbf{B}_{k-1} \oplus \mathbb{L} \oplus \mathbf{B}_k$.

Then $\mathbf{A} \preceq \mathbf{B}$ iff for each $j \leq k$, $\mathbf{A}_j \preceq \mathbf{B}_j$.

In other words, \preceq on $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{L}}^k$ is the product order of $k + 1$ factors (each being \mathbb{L}^0 ordered by \preceq).

Analogously for $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{L}}^k$.

The elements of \mathbb{L}^0 are the following expressions: the empty sum \emptyset , finite ordinal sums of G - and Π -components, and the expression $\omega\Pi$. We define $\emptyset \preceq \mathbf{A}$ for any BL-expression \mathbf{A} , and $\emptyset \preceq \emptyset$.

Properties of \preceq on \mathbb{L}^0 :

- $\infty\Pi$ is the top element of \mathbb{L}^0 and \emptyset is the bottom element;
- if $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{L}^0$ are finite sums of G 's and Π 's, then $\mathbf{A} \preceq \mathbf{B}$ iff \mathbf{A} is a subsum of \mathbf{B} .

Theorem

\preceq on \mathbb{L}^0 is a w. q. o.

It is well known that if (L_1, \leq_1) , (L_2, \leq_2) are w.q.o.'s, then so is their product $(L_1, \leq_1) \times (L_2, \leq_2)$.

Theorem

\preceq is a w. q. o. on \mathbb{L}_L^k and on \mathbb{L}_L^k .

In particular, there are no infinite \preceq -antichains.

Theorem

Let $\{\mathbf{A}_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a \preceq -chain in $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{L}}^k$. Then there is a $\sup(\{\mathbf{A}_i\}_{i \in I})$ in $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{L}}^k$, and $\mathbf{Var}(\{\mathbf{A}_i\}_{i \in I}) = \mathbf{Var}(\sup(\{\mathbf{A}_i\}_{i \in I}))$. Analogously for $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{L}}^k$.

Let $\{\mathbf{A}_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a \preceq -chain in \mathbb{C} . We say that $\{\mathbf{A}_i\}_{i \in I}$ is *maximal* in \mathbb{C} iff no element of \mathbb{C} can be added on top. Clearly, each $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}$ belongs to some maximal chain.

Lemma

Let $\mathbb{C} \subseteq \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{L}}^k$. Let $\{\mathbf{A}_i\}_{i \in I}$, $\{\mathbf{B}_{i'}\}_{i' \in I'}$ be two maximal \preceq -chains in \mathbb{K} . If $\{\mathbf{B}_{i'}\}_{i' \in I'}$ has a top element in \mathbb{K} , then $\sup(\{\mathbf{A}_i\}_{i \in I}) \not\prec \sup(\{\mathbf{B}_{i'}\}_{i' \in I'})$.

Corollary

Let $\mathbb{C} \subseteq \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{L}}^k$. Let $\{\mathbf{A}_i\}_{i \in I}$, $\{\mathbf{B}_{i'}\}_{i' \in I'}$ be two maximal \preceq -chains in \mathbb{K} . If $\sup(\{\mathbf{A}_i\}_{i \in I}) \prec \sup(\{\mathbf{B}_{i'}\}_{i' \in I'})$, then $\{\mathbf{B}_{i'}\}_{i' \in I'}$ has no top element in \mathbb{K} , and there is a $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that for each $i' \in I'$, $(\mathbf{B}_{i'})_j$ is a finite sum, whereas $(\sup(\{\mathbf{B}_{i'}\}_{i' \in I'}))_j = \omega\Pi$.

Analogously for $\mathbb{C} \subseteq \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{L}}^k$.

Iterating the suprema construction

Assume $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{L}}^k$ is a given class of canonical BL-expressions in $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{L}}^k$. Let us denote $\mathbb{C}_0 = \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{L}}^k$.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$\mathbb{C}_{n+1} = \{\mathbf{A} \mid \mathbf{A} = \sup(\{\mathbf{A}_i\}_{i \in I}) \text{ for some maximal chain } \{\mathbf{A}_i\}_{i \in I} \text{ in } \mathbb{C}_n\}$$

Theorem

- $\mathbf{Var}(\mathbb{C}_n) = \mathbf{Var}(\mathbb{C}_{n+1})$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$
- There is an $n \leq k + 2$ such that
 - 1 $\mathbb{C}_n = \mathbb{C}_{n+1}$
 - 2 \mathbb{C}_n is finite

Given a class \mathbb{C} of canonical BL-expressions, we can find a finite class \mathbb{C}' of canonical BL-expressions such that $\mathbf{Var}(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbf{Var}(\mathbb{C}')$.

Therefore, the logic of any class of standard BL-algebras is

- 1 axiomatic extension of BL
- 2 finitely axiomatizable
- 3 coNP-complete