

A topos-theoretic approach to Stone-type dualities

Olivia Caramello

University of Cambridge

TACL 2011, Marseille, 30 July 2011

Stone-type dualities

Consider the following ‘Stone-type dualities’:

- Stone duality for distributive lattices (and Boolean algebras)
- Lindenbaum-Tarski duality for atomic complete Boolean algebras
- The duality between spatial frames and sober spaces
- M. Moshier and P. Jipsen’s topological duality for meet-semilattices
- Alexandrov equivalence between preorders and Alexandrov spaces
- Birkhoff duality for finite distributive lattices
- The duality between algebraic lattices and sup-semilattices
- The duality between completely distributive algebraic lattices and posets

They are all **instances** of just **one** topos-theoretic phenomenon.

Stone-type dualities

Consider the following ‘Stone-type dualities’:

- Stone duality for distributive lattices (and Boolean algebras)
- Lindenbaum-Tarski duality for atomic complete Boolean algebras
- The duality between spatial frames and sober spaces
- M. Moshier and P. Jipsen’s topological duality for meet-semilattices
- Alexandrov equivalence between preorders and Alexandrov spaces
- Birkhoff duality for finite distributive lattices
- The duality between algebraic lattices and sup-semilattices
- The duality between completely distributive algebraic lattices and posets

They are all **instances** of just **one** topos-theoretic phenomenon.

A machinery for generating dualities

- In this talk we present a general topos-theoretic **machinery** for generating dualities or equivalences between categories of **preordered structures** and categories of **posets**, **locales** or **topological spaces**.
- All of the above-mentioned dualities are recovered as the result of applying the machinery to particular sets of ‘**ingredients**’, and new dualities are established.
- In fact, **infinitely many new dualities** can be generated through the machinery in an essentially **automatic** way.
- The machinery is interesting because of its inherent **technical flexibility**; there are essentially **four degrees** of freedom in choosing the ingredients.

Grothendieck topologies on preorders

Definition

Let \mathcal{C} be a preorder.

- (i) A (basis for a) **Grothendieck topology** on \mathcal{C} is a function J which assigns to every element $c \in \mathcal{C}$ a family $J(c)$ of lower subsets of $(c) \downarrow$, called the **J -covers** on c , such that for any $S \in J(c)$ and any $c' \leq c$ the subset $S_{c'} = \{d \leq c' \mid d \in S\}$ belongs to $J(c')$.
- (ii) A preorder **site** is a pair (\mathcal{C}, J) , where \mathcal{C} is a preorder and J is a Grothendieck topology on \mathcal{C} .
- (iii) A Grothendieck topology J on \mathcal{C} is **subcanonical** if for every $c \in \mathcal{C}$ and any subset $S \in J(c)$, c is the supremum in \mathcal{C} of the elements $d \in S$ (i.e., for any element c' in \mathcal{C} such that for every $d \in S$ $d \leq c'$, we have $c \leq c'$).

Examples of Grothendieck topologies

- If P is a preorder, the **trivial topology** on P is the one in which the only covers are the maximal ones.
- If D is a distributive lattice, the **coherent topology** on D is the one in which the covers are exactly those which contain finite families whose join is the given element.
- If F is a frame, the **canonical topology** on F is the one in which the covers are exactly the families whose join is the given element.
- If D is a disjunctively distributive lattice, the **disjunctive topology** on D is the one in which the covers are exactly those which contain finite families of pairwise disjoint elements whose join is the given element.
- If U is a k -frame, the **k -covering topology** on U is the one in which the covers are the those which contain families of less than k elements whose join is the given element.

Definition

Given a preorder site (\mathcal{C}, J) , a J -ideal on \mathcal{C} is a subset $I \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ such that

- for any $a, b \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $b \leq a$ in \mathcal{C} , $a \in I$ implies $b \in I$, and
- for any J -cover R on an element c of \mathcal{C} , if $a \in I$ for every $a \in R$ then $c \in I$.

We denote by $Id_J(\mathcal{C})$ the set of all the J -ideals on \mathcal{C} .

Theorem

Let \mathcal{C} be a preorder and J be a Grothendieck topology on \mathcal{C} . Then $(Id_J(\mathcal{C}), \subseteq)$ is a frame.

Remark

If J is subcanonical (i.e. all the principal ideals on \mathcal{C} are J -ideals) and \mathcal{C} is a poset then we have an embedding $\mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow Id_J(\mathcal{C})$, which identifies \mathcal{C} with the set of principal ideals on \mathcal{C} .

The underlying philosophy

- In my paper

The unification of Mathematics via Topos Theory

I give a set of principles and methodologies which justify a view of Grothendieck toposes as ‘bridges’ for transferring information between distinct mathematical theories.

- This work represents a faithful implementation of this philosophy in a particular context.
- In fact, we establish our dualities precisely by ‘functorializing’ different representations of a given topos, which thus acts as a ‘bridge’ connecting the two sites:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{J}) & & Id_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{C}) \\ & \searrow \text{---} & \nearrow \text{---} \\ & \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{J}) \simeq \mathbf{Sh}(Id_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{C})) & \end{array}$$

Remark

For any preorder site $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{J})$, the \mathcal{J} -ideals on \mathcal{C} correspond precisely to the *subterminal objects* of the topos $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{J})$.

Functorialization

We can generate covariant or contravariant equivalences with categories of posets by appropriately functorializing the assignments above; we only discuss for simplicity the case of covariant equivalences with categories of frames, the other cases being conceptually similar to it.

Definition

A **morphism of sites** $(\mathcal{C}, J) \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}, K)$, where \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} are meet-semilattices, is a meet-semilattice homomorphism $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ which sends J -covers to K -covers.

Theorem

- 1 *A morphism of sites $f : (\mathcal{C}, J) \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}, K)$ induces, naturally in f , a frame homomorphism $f : Id_J(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow Id_K(\mathcal{D})$. This homomorphism sends a J -ideal I on \mathcal{C} to the smallest K -ideal on \mathcal{D} containing the image of I under f .*
- 2 *If J and K are subcanonical then a frame homomorphism $Id_J(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow Id_K(\mathcal{D})$ is of the form f for some f if and only if it sends principal ideals to principal ideals; if this is the case then f is isomorphic to the restriction of f to the principal ideals.*

The general framework

Let \mathcal{K} be a category of preordered structures, and suppose to have equipped each structure \mathcal{C} in \mathcal{K} with a Grothendieck topology $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}$ on \mathcal{C} in such a way that every arrow $f : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ in \mathcal{K} gives rise to a morphism of sites $f : (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{D}})$.

These choices automatically induce a functor

$$A : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{Frm}$$

to the category \mathbf{Frm} of frames sending any \mathcal{C} in \mathcal{K} to $Id_{\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}}(\mathcal{C})$ and any $f : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ in \mathcal{K} to the frame homomorphism $\hat{f} : Id_{\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow Id_{\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{D}}}(\mathcal{D})$.

Theorem

*With the above notation, if all the Grothendieck topologies $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}$ are subcanonical and the preorders in \mathcal{K} are posets then the functor $A : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{Frm}$ yields an **isomorphism of categories** between \mathcal{K} and the subcategory of \mathbf{Frm} given by the image of A .*

Recovering the structures through invariants

- The theorem just stated provides us with an infinite number of dualities. Still, it would be desirable to have a duality of \mathcal{K} with a subcategory of **Frm** which is **closed under isomorphisms** in **Frm** (namely, the closure $Extlm(A)$ of the image of A under isomorphisms in **Frm**) so that its objects (and arrows) could admit an **intrinsic description** in frame-theoretic terms.
- To achieve this, we investigate the problem of recovering a preorder \mathcal{C} in \mathcal{K} from the topos $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{C}, J_{\mathcal{C}})$ (equivalently, from the frame $Id_{J_{\mathcal{C}}}(\mathcal{C})$) through an **invariant**, functorially in \mathcal{C} .
- It turns out that if the topologies $J_{\mathcal{C}}$ can be ‘uniformly described through an invariant’ **C** then the principal ideals on \mathcal{C} can be characterized among the elements of the frame $Id_{J_{\mathcal{C}}}(\mathcal{C})$ precisely as the ones which are **C-compact**.
- This enables us to define a functor on the category $Extlm(A)$ which yields, together with A , the desired equivalence.

Topologies defined through invariants

Definition

Let C be a frame-theoretic invariant property of families of elements of a frame (**for example**: to be finite, to be a singleton, to be of cardinality at most k for some cardinal k , to be formed by elements which are pairwise disjoint, to be directed etc.)

- Given a structure \mathcal{C} in \mathcal{K} , the Grothendieck topology $J_{\mathcal{C}}$ is said to be **C -induced** if for any J_{can}^F -dense monotone embedding $i: \mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow F$ into a frame F (where J_{can}^F is the canonical topology on F), possibly satisfying some invariant property P which is known to hold for the canonical embedding $\mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow Id_{J_{\mathcal{C}}}(\mathcal{C})$, such that the $J_{\mathcal{C}}$ -covers on \mathcal{C} are sent by i to covers in F , for any family \mathcal{A} of elements in \mathcal{C} there exists a $J_{\mathcal{C}}$ -cover on an element $c \in \mathcal{C}$ such that the elements $a \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $a \leq c$ generate S if and only if the image $i(\mathcal{A})$ of the family \mathcal{A} in F has a refinement satisfying C made of elements of the form $i(c')$ (for $c' \in \mathcal{C}$);
- An element u of a frame F is said to be **C -compact** if every covering of u in F has a refinement satisfying C .

The main result

Theorem

*If all the Grothendieck topologies $J_{\mathcal{C}}$ associated to the structures \mathcal{C} in \mathcal{K} are C -induced and the invariant C satisfies the property that for any structure \mathcal{C} in \mathcal{K} and for any family \mathcal{F} of principal $J_{\mathcal{C}}$ -ideals on \mathcal{C} , \mathcal{F} has a refinement satisfying C (if and) only if it has a refinement satisfying C made of principal $J_{\mathcal{C}}$ -ideals on \mathcal{C} then the functor $\text{Extlm}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ sending a frame F in $\text{Extlm}(A)$ to the poset of C -compact elements of F and acting on the arrows accordingly is a **categoryical inverse** to A .*

The target categories of frames

A topos-theoretic
approach to
Stone-type
dualities

Olivia Caramello

The abstract
machinery

The general
method

Equivalences with
categories of frames

The subterminal
topology

Dualities with
topological spaces

New dualities

Other
applications

For further
reading

Theorem

- The *frames in $\text{Extlm}(A)$* are precisely the frames F with a basis B_F of C -compact elements which, regarded as a poset with the induced order, belongs to \mathcal{K} , and such that the embedding $B_F \hookrightarrow F$ satisfies property P , the property that every covering in F of an element of B_F is refined by a covering made of elements of B_F which satisfies the invariant C , and the property that the J_{B_F} -covering sieves are sent by the embedding $B_F \hookrightarrow F$ into covering families in F (where J_{B_F} is the Grothendieck topology with which B_F comes equipped as a structure in \mathcal{K}).
- The *arrows $F \rightarrow F'$ in $\text{Extlm}(A)$* are the frame homomorphisms which send C -compact elements to C -compact elements in such a way that their restriction to the subsets of C -compact elements can be identified with an arrow in \mathcal{K} .

The subterminal topology

The following notion provides a way for endowing a given set of points of a topos with a natural topology.

Definition

Let $\xi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$ be an indexing of a set \mathbf{P} of points of a Grothendieck topos \mathcal{E} by a set X . We define the **subterminal topology** $\tau_{\xi}^{\mathcal{E}}$ as the image of the function $\phi_{\mathcal{E}} : \text{Sub}_{\mathcal{E}}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$ given by

$$\phi_{\mathcal{E}}(u) = \{x \in X \mid \xi(x)^*(u) \cong 1_{\mathbf{Set}}\}.$$

We denote the topological space obtained by endowing the set X with the topology $\tau_{\xi}^{\mathcal{E}}$ by $X_{\tau_{\xi}^{\mathcal{E}}}$.

The interest of this notion lies in its level of generality, as well as in its formulation as a **topos-theoretic invariant** admitting a ‘natural behaviour’ with respect to sites. Moreover, the following fact will be crucial for us.

Fact

*If P is a **separating set** of points for \mathcal{E} (for example, the set of all the points of a localic topos having enough points) then the frame $\mathcal{O}(X_{\tau_{\xi}^{\mathcal{E}}})$ of open sets of the space $X_{\tau_{\xi}^{\mathcal{E}}}$ is isomorphic (via $\phi_{\mathcal{E}}$) to the frame $\text{Sub}_{\mathcal{E}}(1)$ of subterminals of the topos \mathcal{E} .*

Examples of subterminal topologies I

Definition

Let (\mathcal{C}, \leq) be a preorder. A **J -prime filter** on \mathcal{C} is a subset $F \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ such that F is non-empty, $a \in F$ implies $b \in F$ whenever $a \leq b$, for any $a, b \in F$ there exists $c \in F$ such that $c \leq a$ and $c \leq b$, and for any J -covering sieve $\{a_i \rightarrow a \mid i \in I\}$ in \mathcal{C} if $a \in F$ then there exists $i \in I$ such that $a_i \in F$.

Theorem

Let \mathcal{C} be a preorder and J be a Grothendieck topology on it. Then the space $X_{\tau^{\text{Sh}}(\mathcal{C}, J)}$ has as set of points the collection $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}^J$ of the J -prime filters on \mathcal{C} and as open sets the sets the form

$$\mathcal{F}_I = \{F \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}^J \mid F \cap I \neq \emptyset\},$$

where I ranges among the J -ideals on \mathcal{C} . In particular, a sub-basis for this topology is given by the sets

$$\mathcal{F}_c = \{F \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}^J \mid c \in F\},$$

where c varies among the elements of \mathcal{C} .

Examples of subterminal topologies II

- The **Alexandrov topology** ($\mathcal{E} = [\mathcal{P}, \mathbf{Set}]$, where \mathcal{P} is a preorder and ξ is the indexing of the set of points of \mathcal{E} corresponding to the elements of \mathcal{P})
- The **Stone topology for distributive lattices** ($\mathcal{E} = \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{D}, J_{coh})$ and ξ is an indexing of the set of all the points of \mathcal{E} , where \mathcal{D} is a distributive lattice and J_{coh} is the coherent topology on it)
- A **topology for meet-semilattices** ($\mathcal{E} = [\mathcal{M}^{op}, \mathbf{Set}]$ and ξ is an indexing of the set of all the points of \mathcal{E} , where \mathcal{M} is a meet-semilattice)
- The **space of points of a locale** ($\mathcal{E} = \mathbf{Sh}(L)$ for a locale L and ξ is an indexing of the set of all the points of \mathcal{E})
- A **logical topology** ($\mathcal{E} = \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}}, J_{\mathbb{T}})$ is the classifying topos of a geometric theory \mathbb{T} and ξ is any indexing of the set of all the points of \mathcal{E} i.e. models of \mathbb{T})
- The **Zariski topology**

...

Dualities with categories of topological spaces

- By using the **subterminal topology**, we can ‘lift’ the equivalences with frames established above to dualities with topological spaces, provided that the toposes involved have **enough points** (notice that this condition is automatically satisfied, at least under some form of the axiom of choice, if the topologies $J_{\mathcal{C}}$ are finitary).
- Indeed, the construction of the subterminal topology can be naturally made functorial.
- Thus, by assigning sets of points of the toposes corresponding to the structures in a natural way, we obtain a functor $\tilde{A} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}^{\text{op}}$ such that $\mathcal{O} \circ \tilde{A} \cong A$, where $\mathcal{O} : \mathbf{Top}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Frm}$ the usual functor taking the frame of open sets of a topological space:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & \mathbf{Top}^{\text{op}} \\
 & \nearrow \tilde{A} & \downarrow \mathcal{O} \\
 \mathcal{H} & \xrightarrow{A} & \mathbf{Frm}
 \end{array}$$

The power of the machinery

- Functorializing general equivalences $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{C}, J) \simeq \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{D}, K)$ (where \mathcal{C} is a K -dense subcategory of \mathcal{D} and J is induced by K on \mathcal{C}), we are able to recover all the dualities mentioned at the beginning of the talk as **special cases** generated through our machinery.
- At the same time, our framework allows enough **flexibility** to construct many new interesting dualities with particular properties.
- In fact, we essentially have **four** degrees of freedom:
 - (i) The choice of the structures \mathcal{C} ;
 - (ii) The choice of the structures \mathcal{D} ;
 - (iii) The choice of the topologies J and K ;
 - (iv) The choice of points of the toposes $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{C}, J) \simeq \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{D}, K)$.

New dualities I

Among the **new dualities** that we obtain through our machinery, we have:

- A duality between the category of **meet-semilattices** and meet-semilattices homomorphisms between them and the category of locales whose objects are the locales with a basis of supercompact elements which is closed under finite intersections and whose arrows are the locale maps whose associated frame homomorphisms send supercompact elements to supercompact elements.
- A duality between the category of **frames with a basis of supercompact elements** and complete homomorphisms between them and the category of posets (endowed with the Alexandrov topology), which restricts to the Lindenbaum-Tarski duality.
- A duality between the category of **disjunctively distributive lattices** and the category whose objects are the sober topological spaces which have a basis of disjunctively compact open sets which is closed under finite intersection and satisfies the property that any covering of a basic open set has a disjunctively compact refinement by basic open sets and whose arrows are the continuous maps between such spaces such that the inverse image of any disjunctively compact open set is a disjunctively compact open set.

New dualities II

- For any regular cardinal k , a duality between the category of k -frames and the category whose objects are the frames which have a basis of k -compact elements which is closed under finite meets and whose arrows are the frame homomorphisms between them which send k -compact elements to k -compact elements.
- A duality between the category of disjunctive frames and the category \mathbf{Pos}_{dis} which has as objects the posets \mathcal{P} such that for any $a, b \in \mathcal{P}$ there exists a family $\{c_i \mid i \in I\}$ of elements of \mathcal{P} such that for any $p \in \mathcal{P}$, $p \leq a$ and $p \leq b$ if and only if $p \leq c_i$ for a unique $i \in I$ and as arrows $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}'$ the monotone maps $g : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}'$ such that for any $b \in \mathcal{P}'$ there exists a family $\{c_i \mid i \in I\}$ of elements of \mathcal{P} such that for any $p \in \mathcal{P}$, $g(p) \leq b$ if and only if $p \leq c_i$ for a unique $i \in I$.

New dualities III

- A duality between the category **DirIrrPFrm** of **directedly generated preframes** whose objects are the directedly generated preframes and whose arrows $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'$ are the preframe homomorphisms $f : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'$ between them such that the frame homomorphism $A(f) : Id_{J_{\mathcal{D}}}(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow Id_{J_{\mathcal{D}'}}(\mathcal{D}')$ which sends an ideal I of \mathcal{D} to the ideal of \mathcal{D}' generated by $f(I)$ preserves arbitrary infima, and the category **Pos_{dir}** having as objects the posets \mathcal{P} such that for any $a, b \in \mathcal{P}$ there is $c \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $c \leq a$ and $c \leq b$ and for any elements $d, e \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $d, e \leq a$ and $d, e \leq b$ there exists $z \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $z \leq a$, $z \leq b$, $d, e \leq z$, and as arrows $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}'$ the monotone maps $g : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}'$ with the property that for any $b \in \mathcal{P}'$ there exists $a \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $g(a) \leq b$ and for any two $u, v \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $g(u) \leq b$ and $g(v) \leq b$ there exists $z \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $u, v \leq z$ and $g(z) \leq b$.

This duality restricts to the duality between **algebraic lattices** and **sup-semilattices**.

- An equivalence between the category of **meet-semilattices** and the category whose objects are the the meet-semilattices F with a bottom element 0_F which have the property that for any $a, b \in F$ with $a, b \neq 0$, $a \wedge b \neq 0$ and whose arrows are the meet-semilattice homomorphisms $F \rightarrow F'$ which send 0_F to $0_{F'}$ and any non-zero element of F to a non-zero element of F' .

New dualities IV

- A duality between the category **IrrDLat** whose objects are the **irreducibly generated distributive lattices** and whose arrows $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'$ are the distributive lattices homomorphisms $f : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'$ between them such that the frame homomorphism $A(f) : Id_{J_{\mathcal{D}}}(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow Id_{J_{\mathcal{D}'}}(\mathcal{D}')$ which sends an ideal I of \mathcal{D} to the ideal of \mathcal{D}' generated by $f(I)$ preserves arbitrary infima, and the category **Pos_{comp}** whose objects are the posets and whose arrows $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}'$ are the monotone maps $g : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}'$ such that for any $q \in \mathcal{P}'$, there exists a finite family $\{a_k \mid k \in K\}$ of elements of \mathcal{P} such that for any $p \in \mathcal{P}$, $g(p) \leq q$ if and only if $p \leq a_k$ for some $k \in K$.
This duality restricts to **Birkhoff duality**.
- A duality between the category **AtDLat** whose objects are the **atomic distributive lattices** and whose arrows $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'$ are the distributive lattices homomorphisms $f : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'$ between them such that the frame homomorphism $A(f) : Id_{J_{\mathcal{D}}}(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow Id_{J_{\mathcal{D}'}}(\mathcal{D}')$ which sends an ideal I of \mathcal{D} to the ideal of \mathcal{D}' generated by $f(I)$ preserves arbitrary infima, and the category **Set_f** whose objects are the sets and whose arrows $A \rightarrow B$ are the functions $f : A \rightarrow B$ such that the inverse image under f of any finite subset of B is a finite subset of A .
- ...

Other applications

A **great amount** of applications can be established, besides the construction of new dualities, by applying the technique ‘**toposes as bridges**’ to the equivalences of toposes considered above.

Examples include:

- **Adjunctions** between categories of preorders and categories of frames or locales; for example, between meet-semilattices (resp. distributive lattices, preframes, Boolean algebras) and frames
- **Translations** of properties of preordered structures into properties of the corresponding locales or topological spaces (for example, characterizations of the Stone-type spaces associated to the structures which are trivial, almost discrete, extremally disconnected etc.)
- **Representation theorems** for preordered structures
- **Priestley-type dualities** for various kinds of preordered structures
- **Completeness theorems** for propositional logics

For further reading



O. Caramello.

A topos-theoretic approach to Stone-type dualities,
[arXiv:math.CT/1006.3930](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/1006.3930), 2011.



O. Caramello.

The unification of Mathematics via Topos Theory,
[arXiv:math.CT/1006.3930](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/1006.3930), 2010.