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## Outline of my talk

－Substructural logics \＆Residuated lattices
－Substructural logics
－Residuated lattices
－Extensions：＋modality
Main result ：
$\mathrm{V} \subseteq \square \mathrm{BCRL}$ ，semisimple $=$ discriminator

## Substructural logics

\＆

## Residuated lattices

## Substructural logics

－Substructural logics ： LJ（or LK）－structural rules，
（e：exchange，w：weakening，c：contraction） －rules
－linear logic，relevant logic，fuzzy logic

## Basic substructural logic ：FL

No structural rules

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F L=L J-\{e, w, c\} \\
& \\
& \quad(C F L=L K-\{e, w, c\})
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\Gamma, \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{~B} \vdash \mathrm{C} \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathrm{C}
$$

$$
\Gamma, \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{~A} \vdash \mathrm{C}
$$

$$
\Gamma, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{~A} \vdash \mathrm{C} \quad \Gamma, \mathrm{~A} \vdash \mathrm{C}
$$

$$
\Gamma, \mathrm{A} \vdash \mathrm{C}
$$

## Sequent system ：FL

$$
\mathrm{a} \vdash \mathrm{a}, \quad \vdash 1, \quad 0 \vdash
$$

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathrm{A} \triangle, \mathrm{~A}, \Sigma \vdash \mathrm{C}}{\triangle, \Gamma, \Sigma \vdash \mathrm{C}} & \frac{\Gamma \vdash}{\Gamma \vdash \mathrm{C}} \\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathrm{~A} \triangle, \mathrm{~B}, \Sigma \vdash \mathrm{C}}{\Gamma, 1, \Delta \vdash \mathrm{C}} \\
\frac{\mathrm{~A}, \Gamma, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{~A} \rightarrow \mathrm{~B}, \Sigma \vdash \mathrm{C}}{} & \frac{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash}{\Gamma \vdash \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}} \\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathrm{~A} \triangle, \mathrm{~B}, \Sigma \vdash \mathrm{C}}{\triangle, \mathrm{~B} \leftarrow \mathrm{~A}, \Gamma, \Sigma \vdash \mathrm{C}} & \\
\frac{\Gamma, \mathrm{~A} \vdash \mathrm{C}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathrm{C} \leftarrow \mathrm{~A}}
\end{array}
$$

## Sequent system ：FL

$$
\frac{\Gamma, \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{~B}, \triangle \vdash \mathrm{C}}{\Gamma, \mathrm{~A} \otimes \mathrm{~B}, \triangle \vdash \mathrm{C}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathrm{~A} \triangle \vdash \mathrm{~B}}{\Gamma, \triangle \vdash \mathrm{~A} \otimes \mathrm{~B}}
$$

$$
\frac{\Gamma, A(B), \triangle \vdash C}{\Gamma, A \wedge B, \triangle \vdash C}
$$

$$
\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathrm{A} \Gamma \vdash \mathrm{~B}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathrm{~A} \wedge \mathrm{~B}}
$$

$$
\frac{\Gamma, \mathrm{A}, \triangle \vdash \mathrm{C} \quad \Gamma, \mathrm{~B}, \triangle \vdash \mathrm{C}}{\Gamma, \mathrm{~A} \vee \mathrm{~B}, \triangle \vdash \mathrm{C}} \frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{~B})}{\Gamma \vdash \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{~B}}
$$

## Basic substructural logics

－FL，FLe，FLw，FLew，．．．
－ $\operatorname{FLew}=F L+\{e, w\}=L J-\{c\}$
Monoidal logic（Fuzzy logic）
－ $\operatorname{FLe}=$ ILL $-\{!, ?\}$

## Basic results

－Cut elimination theorem ：
FL，FLe，FLw，FLew，FLec，FLecw（＝LJ）
（CFLe，CFLew，CFLec，CFLecw（＝LK））

## Residuated lattices

－Definition ： $\mathrm{A}=(\mathrm{A}, \bullet, \rightarrow, \leftarrow, \wedge, \vee, 1)$
－（A，•，1）：monoid
－（A，$\wedge, \vee)$ ：lattice
$-x \cdot y \leqq z \Leftrightarrow x \leqq z \leftarrow y \Leftrightarrow y \leqq x \rightarrow z$
－Pointed residuated lattice＝FL－algebra
－A＝（A，•，$\rightarrow, \leftarrow, \wedge, \vee, 1,0)$
－ 0 ：arbitrary but fixed element of $A$

## Basic facts

－The class of residuated lattices forms a variety ：RL
－Subvarieties ：
－FL，CRL，IRL，．．．
－Commutativity，integrality，increasing－idenpotency

## Substructural logics \＆Residuated lattices

－Completeness theorem ：
Algebras for FLx is FLx－algebras
（ $x=e, w, e w, \ldots$ ）
－Lindenbaum construction ：
Frm $/ \sim A \sim B \equiv A \vdash B$ and $B \vdash A$

## Algebra－Logic

－commutativity
$\Leftrightarrow$ exchange
－integrality
$\Leftrightarrow$ weakening
－increasing－idempotency $\Leftrightarrow$ contraction
－FLe，FLw－，FLew－algebra，．．．
－FLe，FLw，FLew，．．．

## Book

－Residuated Lattices：an algebraic glimpse at substructural logics，P．Jipsen，T． Kowalski，N．Galatos and H．Ono
－Residuated Lattices：an algebraic glimpse at logics without contraction，T． Kowalski and H．Ono（starting point for the book）

## Extensions

－Substructural logics＋modalities
－What is natural modalities in substructural logics？
－H．Ono，Modalities in substructural logics，a preliminary report
－Algebras for modal substructural logics＝ Residuated lattices＋operators
（cf．BAO＇s）

## $\square F L e(\square \mathrm{BCRL})$

－$\square$ FLe＝FLe＋S4－like modality

$$
\square \Gamma \vdash \square \mathrm{A}
$$

$$
\frac{\mathrm{A}, \Gamma \vdash \mathrm{~B}}{\square \mathrm{~A}, \Gamma \vdash \mathrm{~B}}
$$

Cut elimination theorem holds for $\square$ FLe

## $\square F L e-a l g e b r a s ~(\square B C R L)$

－ $\mathrm{A}=(\mathrm{A}, \cdot \rightarrow, \wedge, \mathrm{V}, 1,0, \mathrm{~T}, \perp, \square)$
－（A，$\cdot, \rightarrow, \wedge, \vee, 1,0, T, \perp)$ ：FLe－algebra
－S4－like modality
$-1 \leqq \square 1$ ，
$-\square \mathrm{x} \cdot \square \mathrm{y} \leqq \square(\mathrm{x} \cdot \mathrm{y})$
$-\square x \leqq x$
$-\square x \leqq \square \square x$
$-x \leqq y \Rightarrow \square x \leqq \square y$


# $\square$ FLe \＆Modal FLe－algebras 

－Completeness theorem ：
－Algebras for $\square$ FLe is $\square$ FLe－algebras

## Congruence filter of $\square$ FLe－algebra

－ F is a congruence filter ：
－ $1 \in \mathrm{~F}$
$-x, y \in F \Rightarrow x \wedge y \in F$
$-x, x \rightarrow y \in F \Rightarrow y \in F$
$-x \in F \Rightarrow \quad \square x \in F$
－$\langle S\rangle=\left\{x \in A: x \geq \square\left(s_{1} \wedge 1\right) \ldots \square\left(s_{k} \wedge 1\right), s_{i} \in S\right\}$

## Algebra basics

－ V ：variety is semisimple
－All its algebras are semisimple
－A in $\square$ BCRL，$x \in \operatorname{Rad}_{A} \Leftrightarrow \forall n \geq 1 \exists \mathrm{~m}$ s．t．，

$$
\left(\square \neg(\square(x \wedge 1))^{\mathrm{n}}\right)^{\mathrm{m}}=\perp, \neg \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x} \rightarrow \perp
$$

－$A$ is semisimple ：
$\forall x \in A$ ，not greater than $1, \exists \mathrm{n} \geq 1$ ，s．t．，

$$
\left.(\square \neg(\square x \wedge 1))^{\mathrm{n}}\right)^{\mathrm{m}} \neq \perp \text { for any } \mathrm{m}
$$

## Algebra basics

－V：variety is discriminator
－The ternary discriominator is a term operation on every si algebra in $\vee$

$$
t(x, y, z)=x \quad \text { if } x=y
$$

$z$ otherwise
－Algebra with discriminator term is simple

## Algebra basics

－Discriminator variety $\Rightarrow$ semisimple variety
－Discriminator variety $\mathrm{V} \Rightarrow \mathrm{V}$ has the CEP
－DPC（definable principle congruence）
－A first order formula $\Phi, a, b, c, d$ in $A$
$-(c, d)$ in $\Theta(a, b) \Leftrightarrow A \mid=\Phi(a, b, c, d)$
－EDPC（equational definable principle congruence）
－If $\Phi$ can be taken a finite set of equations

## Facts

－ V is congruence－permutative $\Rightarrow$ discriminator $=$ semisimple + EDPC

If semisimple $\Rightarrow$ EDPC then discriminator $=$ semisimple

## Some historical remarks

－Every free classical FLew－algebras is semisimple（Grishin）
－The variety of FLew－algebras is generated by its finite simple members （Kowalski \＆Ono）
－Every free FLw－algebras is semisimple
－The variety of $\square F L e w-a l g e b r a s ~ i s$ generated by its finite simple members

## Some historical remarks

－ $\mathrm{V} \subseteq$ FLew， V is discriminator
$=\mathrm{V}$ is semisimple
$=\mathrm{V}$ satisfies that $\mathrm{x} \vee \neg\left(x^{n}\right)=1$

$$
x^{n}=x \cdot \ldots \cdot x, n \text {-times }
$$

（Kowalski2005）

## Goal of my talk

－ $\mathrm{V} \subseteq \square \mathrm{BCRL}, \mathrm{V}$ is discriminator
$=\mathrm{V}$ is semisimple
$=V \mid=\square(x \wedge 1) V \neg(\square(x \wedge 1))^{n}$ for some natural number $n$

## $\square \mathrm{E}(1, \mathrm{n}) \& \square \mathrm{EM}(1, \mathrm{n})$

－$\square \mathrm{E}(1, \mathrm{n})$ ：

$$
\left.(\square(x \wedge 1))^{n}=\square(x \wedge 1)\right)^{n+1}
$$

for any natural number $n$
－$\square E M(1, n)$ ：

$$
\square(x \wedge 1) \vee \neg(\square(x \wedge 1))^{n}=1
$$

for any natural number $n$

## Proposition

－ $\mathrm{V} \subseteq \square$ BCRL， V has EDPC
＝ V has DPC
$=\mathrm{V} \subseteq \square \mathrm{E}(1, \mathrm{n})$
for some natural number n
$=\vee \mathrm{I}=(\square(x \wedge 1))^{\mathrm{n}}=(\square(\mathrm{x} \wedge 1))^{\mathrm{n}+1}$ for some natural number $n$

## Set up congruence

－A in $V$ st．$(\square(a \wedge 1))^{\mathrm{n}}>\perp$ ，a an element not greater than 1
－$\alpha=\operatorname{Cg}(a, 1)$ ；nonzero，nonfull，principal $\Rightarrow \exists \beta$ subcover
Lemma $\exists \mathrm{m}$ st．，
$(\square(a \wedge 1))^{m+1} \equiv \beta \quad(\square(a \wedge 1))^{m}$
$\neg(\square(\mathrm{a} \wedge 1))^{\mathrm{m}} \equiv \beta\left(\neg\left(\square(\mathrm{a} \wedge 1)^{\mathrm{m}}\right)^{2}\right.$ $(\square(a \wedge 1))^{m} \equiv \beta \neg \neg(\square(a \wedge 1))^{m}$

## A necessary condition for semisimplicity

－ V is semisimple subvariety of $\square$ BCRL，

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{V} \mid=\mathbf{I} ? \\
\mathbf{I} \equiv \square(\mathrm{x} \wedge 1) \geqq\left(\neg\left(\neg \square(\mathrm{x} \wedge 1)^{\mathrm{r}}\right)^{\mathrm{k}}\right)^{\prime}
\end{gathered}
$$

Suppose $V$ falsifies $\mathbb{\|}$ ，Put $\Theta \equiv \vee \theta_{r}$ ，
$\theta r=C g\left(\neg\left(\neg \square(x \wedge 1)^{r}\right)^{K}, 1\right)$
$K$ is the smallest number $\vee$ falsifies II

## Some lemmas

－ $0<\theta<\alpha$
－ V is semisimple subvariety of $\square \mathrm{BCRL}$ ，

$$
\mathrm{V} \mid=\mathrm{I} ? \mathrm{YES}!
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V \mid=(\square x \wedge 1) \geqq\left(\neg\left(\neg \square(x \wedge 1)^{r}\right)^{k}\right)^{l} \\
& \quad \text { for any } k \text { there exist } r \text { \& } I
\end{aligned}
$$

## Function r

－Suppose

$$
v \mid=(\square x \wedge 1) \geqq\left(\neg\left(\neg \square(x \wedge 1)^{r}\right)^{k}\right)^{l}
$$

－ $\mathrm{r}: \mathrm{N} \rightarrow \mathrm{N}$ ，
$r(i)$ the smallest number s．t．，$\exists \mid \in N$ with

$$
V \mid=(\square(x \wedge 1)) \geqq\left(\neg\left(\neg \square(x \wedge 1)^{r(i)}\right)^{i}\right)^{\prime}
$$

－Lemma：$r$ is non－decreasing function

## Semisimple forces $\square E M(1, n)$

－Lemma
$V \subseteq \square B C R L$ ，semisimple，
$V \mid=(\square(x \wedge 1))^{n+1}=(\square(x \wedge 1))^{n}$
for some natural number $n$

## Main theorem

－ $\mathrm{V} \subseteq \square \mathrm{BCRL}, \mathrm{V}$ is discriminator $=\mathrm{V}$ is semisimple

$$
\begin{aligned}
&=V \mid=\square(x \wedge 1) \vee \neg \square(x \wedge 1)^{n} \\
& \text { for some natural number } n
\end{aligned}
$$

## Corollary 1

－ $\mathrm{V} \subseteq \square \mathrm{FLe}, \mathrm{V}$ is discriminator $=\mathrm{V}$ is semisimple
$=\vee \mid=\square(x \wedge 1) \vee \neg \square(x \wedge 1)^{n}$ for some natural number $n$

## Corollary 2

－ $\mathrm{V} \subseteq \square$ FLew， V is discriminator $=\mathrm{V}$ is semisimple

$$
=\vee \mid=\square x \vee \neg(\square x)^{n}
$$

for some natural number $n$

## Corollary 3

－ $\mathrm{V} \subseteq$ FLew， V is discriminator $=\mathrm{V}$ is semisimple
$=V \mid=x \vee \neg x^{n}$ for some natural number $n$

