

Topological dynamics of stable groups

Ludomir Newelski

Instytut Matematyczny
Uniwersytet Wrocławski

June 2013

T is a stable theory in language L

\mathcal{C} is a monster model of T

$M \prec \mathcal{C}$

G is a group definable in M

$S_G(M) = \{tp(a/M) : a \in G^{\mathcal{C}}\}$

Definition

Let $p, q \in S_G(M)$

$p * q = tp(a \cdot b/M)$, where $a \models p$, $b \models q$ and $a \perp_M b$

- $(S_G(M), *)$ is a semi-group
- $Gen := \{p \in S_G(M) : p \text{ is generic}\}$ is a maximal subgroup of $S_G(M)$,
a minimal left ideal in $S_G(M)$.
- $Gen \cong G^{\mathcal{C}} / (G^0)^{\mathcal{C}}$

T is a stable theory in language L

\mathcal{C} is a monster model of T

$M \prec \mathcal{C}$

G is a group definable in M

$S_G(M) = \{tp(a/M) : a \in G^{\mathcal{C}}\}$

Definition

Let $p, q \in S_G(M)$

$p * q = tp(a \cdot b/M)$, where $a \models p$, $b \models q$ and $a \perp_M b$

- $(S_G(M), *)$ is a semi-group
- $Gen := \{p \in S_G(M) : p \text{ is generic}\}$ is a maximal subgroup of $S_G(M)$,
a minimal left ideal in $S_G(M)$.
- $Gen \cong G^{\mathcal{C}}/(G^0)^{\mathcal{C}}$

T is a stable theory in language L

\mathcal{C} is a monster model of T

$M \prec \mathcal{C}$

G is a group definable in M

$S_G(M) = \{tp(a/M) : a \in G^{\mathcal{C}}\}$

Definition

Let $p, q \in S_G(M)$

$p * q = tp(a \cdot b/M)$, where $a \models p$, $b \models q$ and $a \perp_M b$

- $(S_G(M), *)$ is a semi-group
- $Gen := \{p \in S_G(M) : p \text{ is generic}\}$ is a maximal subgroup of $S_G(M)$,
a minimal left ideal in $S_G(M)$.
- $Gen \cong G^{\mathcal{C}} / (G^0)^{\mathcal{C}}$

T is a stable theory in language L

\mathcal{C} is a monster model of T

$M \prec \mathcal{C}$

G is a group definable in M

$S_G(M) = \{tp(a/M) : a \in G^{\mathcal{C}}\}$

Definition

Let $p, q \in S_G(M)$

$p * q = tp(a \cdot b/M)$, where $a \models p$, $b \models q$ and $a \perp_M b$

- $(S_G(M), *)$ is a semi-group
- $Gen := \{p \in S_G(M) : p \text{ is generic}\}$ is a maximal subgroup of $S_G(M)$,
a minimal left ideal in $S_G(M)$.
- $Gen \cong G^{\mathcal{C}}/(G^0)^{\mathcal{C}}$

T is a stable theory in language L

\mathcal{C} is a monster model of T

$M \prec \mathcal{C}$

G is a group definable in M

$S_G(M) = \{tp(a/M) : a \in G^{\mathcal{C}}\}$

Definition

Let $p, q \in S_G(M)$

$p * q = tp(a \cdot b/M)$, where $a \models p$, $b \models q$ and $a \perp_M b$

- $(S_G(M), *)$ is a semi-group
- $Gen := \{p \in S_G(M) : p \text{ is generic}\}$ is a maximal subgroup of $S_G(M)$,
a minimal left ideal in $S_G(M)$.
- $Gen \cong G^{\mathcal{C}} / (G^0)^{\mathcal{C}}$

T is a stable theory in language L

\mathcal{C} is a monster model of T

$M \prec \mathcal{C}$

G is a group definable in M

$S_G(M) = \{tp(a/M) : a \in G^{\mathcal{C}}\}$

Definition

Let $p, q \in S_G(M)$

$p * q = tp(a \cdot b/M)$, where $a \models p$, $b \models q$ and $a \perp_M b$

- $(S_G(M), *)$ is a semi-group
- $Gen := \{p \in S_G(M) : p \text{ is generic}\}$ is a maximal subgroup of $S_G(M)$,
a minimal left ideal in $S_G(M)$.
- $Gen \cong G^{\mathcal{C}}/(G^0)^{\mathcal{C}}$

T is a stable theory in language L

\mathcal{C} is a monster model of T

$M \prec \mathcal{C}$

G is a group definable in M

$S_G(M) = \{tp(a/M) : a \in G^{\mathcal{C}}\}$

Definition

Let $p, q \in S_G(M)$

$p * q = tp(a \cdot b/M)$, where $a \models p$, $b \models q$ and $a \perp_M b$

- $(S_G(M), *)$ is a semi-group
- $Gen := \{p \in S_G(M) : p \text{ is generic}\}$ is a maximal subgroup of $S_G(M)$,
a minimal left ideal in $S_G(M)$.
- $Gen \cong G^{\mathcal{C}}/(G^0)^{\mathcal{C}}$

T is a stable theory in language L

\mathcal{C} is a monster model of T

$M \prec \mathcal{C}$

G is a group definable in M

$S_G(M) = \{tp(a/M) : a \in G^{\mathcal{C}}\}$

Definition

Let $p, q \in S_G(M)$

$p * q = tp(a \cdot b/M)$, where $a \models p$, $b \models q$ and $a \perp_M b$

- $(S_G(M), *)$ is a semi-group
- $Gen := \{p \in S_G(M) : p \text{ is generic}\}$ is a maximal subgroup of $S_G(M)$,
a minimal left ideal in $S_G(M)$.
- $Gen \cong G^{\mathcal{C}} / (G^0)^{\mathcal{C}}$

T is a stable theory in language L

\mathcal{C} is a monster model of T

$M \prec \mathcal{C}$

G is a group definable in M

$S_G(M) = \{tp(a/M) : a \in G^{\mathcal{C}}\}$

Definition

Let $p, q \in S_G(M)$

$p * q = tp(a \cdot b/M)$, where $a \models p$, $b \models q$ and $a \perp_M b$

- $(S_G(M), *)$ is a semi-group
- $Gen := \{p \in S_G(M) : p \text{ is generic}\}$ is a maximal subgroup of $S_G(M)$,
a minimal left ideal in $S_G(M)$.
- $Gen \cong G^{\mathcal{C}}/(G^0)^{\mathcal{C}}$

Theorem 1

$(S_G(M), *)$ is an inverse limit of a definable system of type-definable semigroups (in M^{eq}).

The proof uses:

- the definability lemma in local stability theory (the full version, Pillay)
- topological dynamics, particularly the functional representation of G -types.

Theorem 1

$(S_G(M), *)$ is an inverse limit of a definable system of type-definable semigroups (in M^{eq}).

The proof uses:

- the definability lemma in local stability theory (the full version, Pillay)
- topological dynamics, particularly the functional representation of G -types.

Theorem 1

$(S_G(M), *)$ is an inverse limit of a definable system of type-definable semigroups (in M^{eq}).

The proof uses:

- the definability lemma in local stability theory (the full version, Pillay)
- topological dynamics, particularly the functional representation of G -types.

Theorem 1

$(S_G(M), *)$ is an inverse limit of a definable system of type-definable semigroups (in M^{eq}).

The proof uses:

- the definability lemma in local stability theory (the full version, Pillay)
- topological dynamics, particularly the functional representation of G -types.

Definition

(1) X is a **G -flow** if

- X is a compact topological space
- G acts on X by homeomorphisms

(2) X is **point-transitive** if there is a dense G -orbit $\subseteq X$.

(3) $Y \subseteq X$ is a **G -subflow** of X if Y is closed and G -closed.

Example

Let X be a G -flow and $p \in X$. Then $cl(Gp)$ is a subflow of X generated by p .

Definition

(1) X is a **G -flow** if

- X is a compact topological space
- G acts on X by homeomorphisms

(2) X is **point-transitive** if there is a dense G -orbit $\subseteq X$.

(3) $Y \subseteq X$ is a **G -subflow** of X if Y is closed and G -closed.

Example

Let X be a G -flow and $p \in X$. Then $cl(Gp)$ is a subflow of X generated by p .

Definition

(1) X is a **G -flow** if

- X is a compact topological space
- G acts on X by homeomorphisms

(2) X is **point-transitive** if there is a dense G -orbit $\subseteq X$.

(3) $Y \subseteq X$ is a **G -subflow** of X if Y is closed and G -closed.

Example

Let X be a G -flow and $p \in X$. Then $cl(Gp)$ is a subflow of X generated by p .

Definition

- (1) X is a **G -flow** if
- X is a compact topological space
 - G acts on X by homeomorphisms
- (2) X is **point-transitive** if there is a dense G -orbit $\subseteq X$.
- (3) $Y \subseteq X$ is a **G -subflow** of X if Y is closed and G -closed.

Example

Let X be a G -flow and $p \in X$. Then $cl(Gp)$ is a subflow of X generated by p .

Definition

- (1) X is a **G -flow** if
- X is a compact topological space
 - G acts on X by homeomorphisms
- (2) X is **point-transitive** if there is a dense G -orbit $\subseteq X$.
- (3) $Y \subseteq X$ is a **G -subflow** of X if Y is closed and G -closed.

Example

Let X be a G -flow and $p \in X$. Then $cl(Gp)$ is a subflow of X generated by p .

Definition continued

Assume X is a G -flow and $p \in X$.

(4) p is **almost periodic** if $cl(Gp)$ is a minimal subflow of X .

(5) $U \subseteq X$ is **generic** if $(\exists A \subseteq_{fin} G)AU = X$.

(6) $U \subseteq X$ is **weakly generic** if $(\exists V \subseteq X)U \cup V$ is generic and V is non-generic.

(7) p is **[weakly] generic** if every open $U \ni p$ is.

Assume X is a G -flow.

$WGen(X) = \{p \in X : p \text{ is weakly generic}\}$

$Gen(X) = \{p \in X : p \text{ is generic}\}$

$APer(X) = \{p \in X : p \text{ is almost periodic}\}$

Definition continued

Assume X is a G -flow and $p \in X$.

(4) p is **almost periodic** if $cl(Gp)$ is a minimal subflow of X .

(5) $U \subseteq X$ is **generic** if $(\exists A \subseteq_{fin} G)AU = X$.

(6) $U \subseteq X$ is **weakly generic** if $(\exists V \subseteq X)U \cup V$ is generic and V is non-generic.

(7) p is **[weakly] generic** if every open $U \ni p$ is.

Assume X is a G -flow.

$WGen(X) = \{p \in X : p \text{ is weakly generic}\}$

$Gen(X) = \{p \in X : p \text{ is generic}\}$

$APer(X) = \{p \in X : p \text{ is almost periodic}\}$

Definition continued

Assume X is a G -flow and $p \in X$.

(4) p is **almost periodic** if $cl(Gp)$ is a minimal subflow of X .

(5) $U \subseteq X$ is **generic** if $(\exists A \subseteq_{fin} G)AU = X$.

(6) $U \subseteq X$ is **weakly generic** if $(\exists V \subseteq X)U \cup V$ is generic and V is non-generic.

(7) p is **[weakly] generic** if every open $U \ni p$ is.

Assume X is a G -flow.

$WGen(X) = \{p \in X : p \text{ is weakly generic}\}$

$Gen(X) = \{p \in X : p \text{ is generic}\}$

$APer(X) = \{p \in X : p \text{ is almost periodic}\}$

Definition continued

Assume X is a G -flow and $p \in X$.

(4) p is **almost periodic** if $cl(Gp)$ is a minimal subflow of X .

(5) $U \subseteq X$ is **generic** if $(\exists A \subseteq_{fin} G)AU = X$.

(6) $U \subseteq X$ is **weakly generic** if $(\exists V \subseteq X)U \cup V$ is generic and V is non-generic.

(7) p is **[weakly] generic** if every open $U \ni p$ is.

Assume X is a G -flow.

$WGen(X) = \{p \in X : p \text{ is weakly generic}\}$

$Gen(X) = \{p \in X : p \text{ is generic}\}$

$APer(X) = \{p \in X : p \text{ is almost periodic}\}$

Definition continued

Assume X is a G -flow and $p \in X$.

(4) p is **almost periodic** if $cl(Gp)$ is a minimal subflow of X .

(5) $U \subseteq X$ is **generic** if $(\exists A \subseteq_{fin} G)AU = X$.

(6) $U \subseteq X$ is **weakly generic** if $(\exists V \subseteq X)U \cup V$ is generic and V is non-generic.

(7) p is **[weakly] generic** if every open $U \ni p$ is.

Assume X is a G -flow.

$WGen(X) = \{p \in X : p \text{ is weakly generic}\}$

$Gen(X) = \{p \in X : p \text{ is generic}\}$

$APer(X) = \{p \in X : p \text{ is almost periodic}\}$

Definition continued

Assume X is a G -flow and $p \in X$.

(4) p is **almost periodic** if $cl(Gp)$ is a minimal subflow of X .

(5) $U \subseteq X$ is **generic** if $(\exists A \subseteq_{fin} G)AU = X$.

(6) $U \subseteq X$ is **weakly generic** if $(\exists V \subseteq X)U \cup V$ is generic and V is non-generic.

(7) p is **[weakly] generic** if every open $U \ni p$ is.

Assume X is a G -flow.

$WGen(X) = \{p \in X : p \text{ is weakly generic}\}$

$Gen(X) = \{p \in X : p \text{ is generic}\}$

$APer(X) = \{p \in X : p \text{ is almost periodic}\}$

Definition continued

Assume X is a G -flow and $p \in X$.

(4) p is **almost periodic** if $cl(Gp)$ is a minimal subflow of X .

(5) $U \subseteq X$ is **generic** if $(\exists A \subseteq_{fin} G)AU = X$.

(6) $U \subseteq X$ is **weakly generic** if $(\exists V \subseteq X)U \cup V$ is generic and V is non-generic.

(7) p is **[weakly] generic** if every open $U \ni p$ is.

Assume X is a G -flow.

$WGen(X) = \{p \in X : p \text{ is weakly generic}\}$

$Gen(X) = \{p \in X : p \text{ is generic}\}$

$APer(X) = \{p \in X : p \text{ is almost periodic}\}$

Definition continued

Assume X is a G -flow and $p \in X$.

(4) p is **almost periodic** if $cl(Gp)$ is a minimal subflow of X .

(5) $U \subseteq X$ is **generic** if $(\exists A \subseteq_{fin} G)AU = X$.

(6) $U \subseteq X$ is **weakly generic** if $(\exists V \subseteq X)U \cup V$ is generic and V is non-generic.

(7) p is **[weakly] generic** if every open $U \ni p$ is.

Assume X is a G -flow.

$WGen(X) = \{p \in X : p \text{ is weakly generic}\}$

$Gen(X) = \{p \in X : p \text{ is generic}\}$

$APer(X) = \{p \in X : p \text{ is almost periodic}\}$

Definition continued

Assume X is a G -flow and $p \in X$.

(4) p is **almost periodic** if $cl(Gp)$ is a minimal subflow of X .

(5) $U \subseteq X$ is **generic** if $(\exists A \subseteq_{fin} G)AU = X$.

(6) $U \subseteq X$ is **weakly generic** if $(\exists V \subseteq X)U \cup V$ is generic and V is non-generic.

(7) p is **[weakly] generic** if every open $U \ni p$ is.

Assume X is a G -flow.

$$WGen(X) = \{p \in X : p \text{ is weakly generic}\}$$
$$Gen(X) = \{p \in X : p \text{ is generic}\}$$
$$APer(X) = \{p \in X : p \text{ is almost periodic}\}$$

Fact

- $APer(X) = \bigcup \{\text{minimal subflows of } X\}$
- $APer(X) \neq \emptyset$
- $WGen(X) = cl(APer(X))$
- If $Gen(X) \neq \emptyset$, then $Gen(X) = WGen(X) = APer(X)$
- $Gen(X) \neq \emptyset$ iff there is just one minimal subflow of X .

Fact

- $APer(X) = \bigcup \{\text{minimal subflows of } X\}$
- $APer(X) \neq \emptyset$
- $WGen(X) = cl(APer(X))$
- If $Gen(X) \neq \emptyset$, then $Gen(X) = WGen(X) = APer(X)$
- $Gen(X) \neq \emptyset$ iff there is just one minimal subflow of X .

Fact

- $APer(X) = \bigcup \{\text{minimal subflows of } X\}$
- $APer(X) \neq \emptyset$
- $WGen(X) = cl(APer(X))$
- If $Gen(X) \neq \emptyset$, then $Gen(X) = WGen(X) = APer(X)$
- $Gen(X) \neq \emptyset$ iff there is just one minimal subflow of X .

Fact

- $APer(X) = \bigcup \{\text{minimal subflows of } X\}$
- $APer(X) \neq \emptyset$
- $WGen(X) = cl(APer(X))$
- If $Gen(X) \neq \emptyset$, then $Gen(X) = WGen(X) = APer(X)$
- $Gen(X) \neq \emptyset$ iff there is just one minimal subflow of X .

Fact

- $APer(X) = \bigcup \{\text{minimal subflows of } X\}$
- $APer(X) \neq \emptyset$
- $WGen(X) = cl(APer(X))$
- If $Gen(X) \neq \emptyset$, then $Gen(X) = WGen(X) = APer(X)$
- $Gen(X) \neq \emptyset$ iff there is just one minimal subflow of X .

Let $Def_G(M) = \{\text{definable subsets of } G\}$.

- $Def_G(M)$ is an algebra of sets, closed under left translation in G
- $S_G(M) = S(Def_G(M))$
- G acts on $S_G(M)$ by left translation:

$$g \cdot p = \{\varphi(g^{-1} \cdot x) : \varphi(x) \in p\}$$

- $S_G(M)$ is a point-transitive G -flow, the set $\{tp(g/M : g \in G)\}$ is a dense orbit.
- $p \in S_G(M)$ is a generic type iff p is a generic point in the G -flow $S_G(M)$.

Let $Def_G(M) = \{\text{definable subsets of } G\}$.

- $Def_G(M)$ is an algebra of sets, closed under left translation in G
- $S_G(M) = S(Def_G(M))$
- G acts on $S_G(M)$ by left translation:

$$g \cdot p = \{\varphi(g^{-1} \cdot x) : \varphi(x) \in p\}$$

- $S_G(M)$ is a point-transitive G -flow, the set $\{tp(g/M : g \in G)\}$ is a dense orbit.
- $p \in S_G(M)$ is a generic type iff p is a generic point in the G -flow $S_G(M)$.

Let $Def_G(M) = \{\text{definable subsets of } G\}$.

- $Def_G(M)$ is an algebra of sets, closed under left translation in G
- $S_G(M) = S(Def_G(M))$
- G acts on $S_G(M)$ by left translation:

$$g \cdot p = \{\varphi(g^{-1} \cdot x) : \varphi(x) \in p\}$$

- $S_G(M)$ is a point-transitive G -flow, the set $\{tp(g/M : g \in G)\}$ is a dense orbit.
- $p \in S_G(M)$ is a generic type iff p is a generic point in the G -flow $S_G(M)$.

Let $Def_G(M) = \{\text{definable subsets of } G\}$.

- $Def_G(M)$ is an algebra of sets, closed under left translation in G
- $S_G(M) = S(Def_G(M))$
- G acts on $S_G(M)$ by left translation:

$$g \cdot p = \{\varphi(g^{-1} \cdot x) : \varphi(x) \in p\}$$

- $S_G(M)$ is a point-transitive G -flow, the set $\{tp(g/M : g \in G)\}$ is a dense orbit.
- $p \in S_G(M)$ is a generic type iff p is a generic point in the G -flow $S_G(M)$.

Let $Def_G(M) = \{\text{definable subsets of } G\}$.

- $Def_G(M)$ is an algebra of sets, closed under left translation in G
- $S_G(M) = S(Def_G(M))$
- G acts on $S_G(M)$ by left translation:

$$g \cdot p = \{\varphi(g^{-1} \cdot x) : \varphi(x) \in p\}$$

- $S_G(M)$ is a point-transitive G -flow, the set $\{tp(g/M : g \in G)\}$ is a dense orbit.
- $p \in S_G(M)$ is a generic type iff p is a generic point in the G -flow $S_G(M)$.

Let $Def_G(M) = \{\text{definable subsets of } G\}$.

- $Def_G(M)$ is an algebra of sets, closed under left translation in G
- $S_G(M) = S(Def_G(M))$
- G acts on $S_G(M)$ by left translation:

$$g \cdot p = \{\varphi(g^{-1} \cdot x) : \varphi(x) \in p\}$$

- $S_G(M)$ is a point-transitive G -flow,
the set $\{tp(g/M : g \in G)\}$ is a dense orbit.
- $p \in S_G(M)$ is a generic type iff p is a generic point in the G -flow $S_G(M)$.

Let $Def_G(M) = \{\text{definable subsets of } G\}$.

- $Def_G(M)$ is an algebra of sets, closed under left translation in G
- $S_G(M) = S(Def_G(M))$
- G acts on $S_G(M)$ by left translation:

$$g \cdot p = \{\varphi(g^{-1} \cdot x) : \varphi(x) \in p\}$$

- $S_G(M)$ is a point-transitive G -flow, the set $\{tp(g/M : g \in G)\}$ is a dense orbit.
- $p \in S_G(M)$ is a generic type iff p is a generic point in the G -flow $S_G(M)$.

Let $Def_G(M) = \{\text{definable subsets of } G\}$.

- $Def_G(M)$ is an algebra of sets, closed under left translation in G
- $S_G(M) = S(Def_G(M))$
- G acts on $S_G(M)$ by left translation:

$$g \cdot p = \{\varphi(g^{-1} \cdot x) : \varphi(x) \in p\}$$

- $S_G(M)$ is a point-transitive G -flow, the set $\{tp(g/M : g \in G)\}$ is a dense orbit.
- $p \in S_G(M)$ is a generic type iff p is a generic point in the G -flow $S_G(M)$.

Let X be a point-transitive G -flow.

$$G \ni g \rightsquigarrow \pi_g : X \xrightarrow{\approx} X, \pi_g(x) = g \cdot x,$$

$$E(X) = cl(\{\pi_g : g \in G\}) \subseteq X^X$$

- cl is the topological closure w.r. to pointwise convergence topology in X^X
- $E(X)$ is the Ellis (enveloping) semigroup of X
- $E(X)$ is a point-transitive G -flow:
 1. for $f \in E(X)$ and $g \in G$, $g * f = \pi_g \circ f$
 2. $\{\pi_g : g \in G\}$ is a dense G -orbit.
- \circ is continuous on $E(X)$, in the first coordinate.

Let X be a point-transitive G -flow.

$$G \ni g \rightsquigarrow \pi_g : X \xrightarrow{\approx} X, \pi_g(x) = g \cdot x,$$

$$E(X) = cl(\{\pi_g : g \in G\}) \subseteq X^X$$

- cl is the topological closure w.r. to pointwise convergence topology in X^X
- $E(X)$ is the Ellis (enveloping) semigroup of X
- $E(X)$ is a point-transitive G -flow:
 1. for $f \in E(X)$ and $g \in G$, $g * f = \pi_g \circ f$
 2. $\{\pi_g : g \in G\}$ is a dense G -orbit.
- \circ is continuous on $E(X)$, in the first coordinate.

Let X be a point-transitive G -flow.

$$G \ni g \rightsquigarrow \pi_g : X \xrightarrow{\approx} X, \pi_g(x) = g \cdot x,$$

$$E(X) = cl(\{\pi_g : g \in G\}) \subseteq X^X$$

- cl is the topological closure w.r. to pointwise convergence topology in X^X
- $E(X)$ is the Ellis (enveloping) semigroup of X
- $E(X)$ is a point-transitive G -flow:
 1. for $f \in E(X)$ and $g \in G$, $g * f = \pi_g \circ f$
 2. $\{\pi_g : g \in G\}$ is a dense G -orbit.
- \circ is continuous on $E(X)$, in the first coordinate.

Let X be a point-transitive G -flow.

$$G \ni g \rightsquigarrow \pi_g : X \xrightarrow{\approx} X, \pi_g(x) = g \cdot x,$$

$$E(X) = cl(\{\pi_g : g \in G\}) \subseteq X^X$$

- cl is the topological closure w.r. to pointwise convergence topology in X^X
- $E(X)$ is the Ellis (enveloping) semigroup of X
- $E(X)$ is a point-transitive G -flow:
 1. for $f \in E(X)$ and $g \in G$, $g * f = \pi_g \circ f$
 2. $\{\pi_g : g \in G\}$ is a dense G -orbit.
- \circ is continuous on $E(X)$, in the first coordinate.

Let X be a point-transitive G -flow.

$$G \ni g \rightsquigarrow \pi_g : X \xrightarrow{\approx} X, \pi_g(x) = g \cdot x,$$

$$E(X) = cl(\{\pi_g : g \in G\}) \subseteq X^X$$

- cl is the topological closure w.r. to pointwise convergence topology in X^X
- $E(X)$ is the Ellis (enveloping) semigroup of X
- $E(X)$ is a point-transitive G -flow:
 1. for $f \in E(X)$ and $g \in G$, $g * f = \pi_g \circ f$
 2. $\{\pi_g : g \in G\}$ is a dense G -orbit.
- \circ is continuous on $E(X)$, in the first coordinate.

Let X be a point-transitive G -flow.

$$G \ni g \rightsquigarrow \pi_g : X \xrightarrow{\approx} X, \pi_g(x) = g \cdot x,$$

$$E(X) = cl(\{\pi_g : g \in G\}) \subseteq X^X$$

- cl is the topological closure w.r. to pointwise convergence topology in X^X
- $E(X)$ is the Ellis (enveloping) semigroup of X
- $E(X)$ is a point-transitive G -flow:
 1. for $f \in E(X)$ and $g \in G$, $g * f = \pi_g \circ f$
 2. $\{\pi_g : g \in G\}$ is a dense G -orbit.
- \circ is continuous on $E(X)$, in the first coordinate.

Let X be a point-transitive G -flow.

$$G \ni g \rightsquigarrow \pi_g : X \xrightarrow{\approx} X, \pi_g(x) = g \cdot x,$$

$$E(X) = cl(\{\pi_g : g \in G\}) \subseteq X^X$$

- cl is the topological closure w.r. to pointwise convergence topology in X^X
- $E(X)$ is the Ellis (enveloping) semigroup of X
- $E(X)$ is a point-transitive G -flow:
 1. for $f \in E(X)$ and $g \in G$, $g * f = \pi_g \circ f$
 2. $\{\pi_g : g \in G\}$ is a dense G -orbit.
- \circ is continuous on $E(X)$, in the first coordinate.

Let X be a point-transitive G -flow.

$$G \ni g \rightsquigarrow \pi_g : X \xrightarrow{\approx} X, \pi_g(x) = g \cdot x,$$

$$E(X) = cl(\{\pi_g : g \in G\}) \subseteq X^X$$

- cl is the topological closure w.r. to pointwise convergence topology in X^X
- $E(X)$ is the Ellis (enveloping) semigroup of X
- $E(X)$ is a point-transitive G -flow:
 1. for $f \in E(X)$ and $g \in G$, $g * f = \pi_g \circ f$
 2. $\{\pi_g : g \in G\}$ is a dense G -orbit.
- \circ is continuous on $E(X)$, in the first coordinate.

Definition

1. $I \subseteq E(X)$ is an **ideal** if $I \neq \emptyset$ and $fl \subseteq I$ for every $f \in E(X)$.
2. $j \in E(X)$ is an **idempotent** if $j^2 = j$.

Properties of $E(X)$

- Minimal subflows of $E(X)$ = minimal ideals in $E(X)$.
- Let $I \subseteq E(X)$ be a minimal ideal and $j \in I$ be an idempotent. Then $jI \subseteq I$ is a group (with identity j), called an ideal subgroup of $E(X)$ and I is a union of its ideal subgroups.
- The ideal subgroups of $E(X)$ are isomorphic.
- $E(X)$ explains the structure of X .

Sometimes $X \cong E(X)$, as G -flows. For example, $E(S_G(M)) \cong S_G(M)$, as G -flows and semigroups.

Definition

1. $I \subseteq E(X)$ is an **ideal** if $I \neq \emptyset$ and $fl \subseteq I$ for every $f \in E(X)$.
2. $j \in E(X)$ is an **idempotent** if $j^2 = j$.

Properties of $E(X)$

- Minimal subflows of $E(X)$ = minimal ideals in $E(X)$.
- Let $I \subseteq E(X)$ be a minimal ideal and $j \in I$ be an idempotent. Then $jI \subseteq I$ is a group (with identity j), called an ideal subgroup of $E(X)$ and I is a union of its ideal subgroups.
- The ideal subgroups of $E(X)$ are isomorphic.
- $E(X)$ explains the structure of X .

Sometimes $X \cong E(X)$, as G -flows. For example, $E(S_G(M)) \cong S_G(M)$, as G -flows and semigroups.

Definition

1. $I \subseteq E(X)$ is an **ideal** if $I \neq \emptyset$ and $fl \subseteq I$ for every $f \in E(X)$.
2. $j \in E(X)$ is an **idempotent** if $j^2 = j$.

Properties of $E(X)$

- Minimal subflows of $E(X)$ = minimal ideals in $E(X)$.
- Let $I \subseteq E(X)$ be a minimal ideal and $j \in I$ be an idempotent. Then $jI \subseteq I$ is a group (with identity j), called an ideal subgroup of $E(X)$ and I is a union of its ideal subgroups.
- The ideal subgroups of $E(X)$ are isomorphic.
- $E(X)$ explains the structure of X .

Sometimes $X \cong E(X)$, as G -flows. For example, $E(S_G(M)) \cong S_G(M)$, as G -flows and semigroups.

Definition

1. $I \subseteq E(X)$ is an **ideal** if $I \neq \emptyset$ and $fI \subseteq I$ for every $f \in E(X)$.
2. $j \in E(X)$ is an **idempotent** if $j^2 = j$.

Properties of $E(X)$

- Minimal subflows of $E(X)$ = minimal ideals in $E(X)$.
- Let $I \subseteq E(X)$ be a minimal ideal and $j \in I$ be an idempotent. Then $jI \subseteq I$ is a group (with identity j), called an ideal subgroup of $E(X)$ and I is a union of its ideal subgroups.
- The ideal subgroups of $E(X)$ are isomorphic.
- $E(X)$ explains the structure of X .

Sometimes $X \cong E(X)$, as G -flows. For example, $E(S_G(M)) \cong S_G(M)$, as G -flows and semigroups.

Definition

1. $I \subseteq E(X)$ is an **ideal** if $I \neq \emptyset$ and $fI \subseteq I$ for every $f \in E(X)$.
2. $j \in E(X)$ is an **idempotent** if $j^2 = j$.

Properties of $E(X)$

- Minimal subflows of $E(X)$ = minimal ideals in $E(X)$.
- Let $I \subseteq E(X)$ be a minimal ideal and $j \in I$ be an idempotent. Then $jI \subseteq I$ is a group (with identity j), called an ideal subgroup of $E(X)$ and I is a union of its ideal subgroups.
- The ideal subgroups of $E(X)$ are isomorphic.
- $E(X)$ explains the structure of X .

Sometimes $X \cong E(X)$, as G -flows. For example, $E(S_G(M)) \cong S_G(M)$, as G -flows and semigroups.

Definition

1. $I \subseteq E(X)$ is an **ideal** if $I \neq \emptyset$ and $fI \subseteq I$ for every $f \in E(X)$.
2. $j \in E(X)$ is an **idempotent** if $j^2 = j$.

Properties of $E(X)$

- Minimal subflows of $E(X)$ = minimal ideals in $E(X)$.
- Let $I \subseteq E(X)$ be a minimal ideal and $j \in I$ be an idempotent. Then $jI \subseteq I$ is a group (with identity j), called an ideal subgroup of $E(X)$ and I is a union of its ideal subgroups.
- The ideal subgroups of $E(X)$ are isomorphic.
- $E(X)$ explains the structure of X .

Sometimes $X \cong E(X)$, as G -flows. For example, $E(S_G(M)) \cong S_G(M)$, as G -flows and semigroups.

Definition

1. $I \subseteq E(X)$ is an **ideal** if $I \neq \emptyset$ and $fI \subseteq I$ for every $f \in E(X)$.
2. $j \in E(X)$ is an **idempotent** if $j^2 = j$.

Properties of $E(X)$

- Minimal subflows of $E(X)$ = minimal ideals in $E(X)$.
- Let $I \subseteq E(X)$ be a minimal ideal and $j \in I$ be an idempotent. Then $jI \subseteq I$ is a group (with identity j), called an ideal subgroup of $E(X)$ and I is a union of its ideal subgroups.
- The ideal subgroups of $E(X)$ are isomorphic.
- $E(X)$ explains the structure of X .

Sometimes $X \cong E(X)$, as G -flows. For example, $E(S_G(M)) \cong S_G(M)$, as G -flows and semigroups.

Definition

1. $I \subseteq E(X)$ is an **ideal** if $I \neq \emptyset$ and $fI \subseteq I$ for every $f \in E(X)$.
2. $j \in E(X)$ is an **idempotent** if $j^2 = j$.

Properties of $E(X)$

- Minimal subflows of $E(X)$ = minimal ideals in $E(X)$.
- Let $I \subseteq E(X)$ be a minimal ideal and $j \in I$ be an idempotent. Then $jI \subseteq I$ is a group (with identity j), called an ideal subgroup of $E(X)$ and I is a union of its ideal subgroups.
- The ideal subgroups of $E(X)$ are isomorphic.
- $E(X)$ explains the structure of X .

Sometimes $X \cong E(X)$, as G -flows. For example, $E(S_G(M)) \cong S_G(M)$, as G -flows and semigroups.

Definition

1. $I \subseteq E(X)$ is an **ideal** if $I \neq \emptyset$ and $fI \subseteq I$ for every $f \in E(X)$.
2. $j \in E(X)$ is an **idempotent** if $j^2 = j$.

Properties of $E(X)$

- Minimal subflows of $E(X)$ = minimal ideals in $E(X)$.
- Let $I \subseteq E(X)$ be a minimal ideal and $j \in I$ be an idempotent. Then $jI \subseteq I$ is a group (with identity j), called an ideal subgroup of $E(X)$ and I is a union of its ideal subgroups.
- The ideal subgroups of $E(X)$ are isomorphic.
- $E(X)$ explains the structure of X .

Sometimes $X \cong E(X)$, as G -flows. For example, $E(S_G(M)) \cong S_G(M)$, as G -flows and semigroups.

Definition

1. $I \subseteq E(X)$ is an **ideal** if $I \neq \emptyset$ and $fI \subseteq I$ for every $f \in E(X)$.
2. $j \in E(X)$ is an **idempotent** if $j^2 = j$.

Properties of $E(X)$

- Minimal subflows of $E(X)$ = minimal ideals in $E(X)$.
- Let $I \subseteq E(X)$ be a minimal ideal and $j \in I$ be an idempotent. Then $jI \subseteq I$ is a group (with identity j), called an ideal subgroup of $E(X)$ and I is a union of its ideal subgroups.
- The ideal subgroups of $E(X)$ are isomorphic.
- $E(X)$ explains the structure of X .

Sometimes $X \cong E(X)$, as G -flows. For example, $E(S_G(M)) \cong S_G(M)$, as G -flows and semigroups.

Functional representation

Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(G)$ be a G -algebra of sets
(i.e. closed under left translation in G).

Then $S(\mathcal{A})$ is a G -flow.

For $p \in S(\mathcal{A})$ we define $d_p : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(G)$ by:

$$d_p(U) = \{g \in G : g^{-1}U \in p\}$$

Definition

\mathcal{A} is **d -closed** if \mathcal{A} is closed under d_p for every $p \in S(\mathcal{A})$.

Example

$\mathcal{A} = \text{Def}_G(M)$ is d -closed, because every $p \in S_G(M)$ is definable.

Functional representation

Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(G)$ be a G -algebra of sets
(i.e. closed under left translation in G).

Then $S(\mathcal{A})$ is a G -flow.

For $p \in S(\mathcal{A})$ we define $d_p : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(G)$ by:

$$d_p(U) = \{g \in G : g^{-1}U \in p\}$$

Definition

\mathcal{A} is *d-closed* if \mathcal{A} is closed under d_p for every $p \in S(\mathcal{A})$.

Example

$\mathcal{A} = \text{Def}_G(M)$ is *d-closed*, because every $p \in S_G(M)$ is definable.

Functional representation

Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(G)$ be a G -algebra of sets
(i.e. closed under left translation in G).

Then $S(\mathcal{A})$ is a G -flow.

For $p \in S(\mathcal{A})$ we define $d_p : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(G)$ by:

$$d_p(U) = \{g \in G : g^{-1}U \in p\}$$

Definition

\mathcal{A} is *d-closed* if \mathcal{A} is closed under d_p for every $p \in S(\mathcal{A})$.

Example

$\mathcal{A} = \text{Def}_G(M)$ is *d-closed*, because every $p \in S_G(M)$ is definable.

Functional representation

Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(G)$ be a G -algebra of sets
(i.e. closed under left translation in G).

Then $S(\mathcal{A})$ is a G -flow.

For $p \in S(\mathcal{A})$ we define $d_p : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(G)$ by:

$$d_p(U) = \{g \in G : g^{-1}U \in p\}$$

Definition

\mathcal{A} is *d-closed* if \mathcal{A} is closed under d_p for every $p \in S(\mathcal{A})$.

Example

$\mathcal{A} = \text{Def}_G(M)$ is *d-closed*, because every $p \in S_G(M)$ is definable.

Functional representation

Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(G)$ be a G -algebra of sets
(i.e. closed under left translation in G).

Then $S(\mathcal{A})$ is a G -flow.

For $p \in S(\mathcal{A})$ we define $d_p : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(G)$ by:

$$d_p(U) = \{g \in G : g^{-1}U \in p\}$$

Definition

\mathcal{A} is *d-closed* if \mathcal{A} is closed under d_p for every $p \in S(\mathcal{A})$.

Example

$\mathcal{A} = \text{Def}_G(M)$ is *d-closed*, because every $p \in S_G(M)$ is definable.

Functional representation

Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(G)$ be a G -algebra of sets
(i.e. closed under left translation in G).

Then $S(\mathcal{A})$ is a G -flow.

For $p \in S(\mathcal{A})$ we define $d_p : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(G)$ by:

$$d_p(U) = \{g \in G : g^{-1}U \in p\}$$

Definition

\mathcal{A} is **d -closed** if \mathcal{A} is closed under d_p for every $p \in S(\mathcal{A})$.

Example

$\mathcal{A} = \text{Def}_G(M)$ is d -closed, because every $p \in S_G(M)$ is definable.

Functional representation

Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(G)$ be a G -algebra of sets
(i.e. closed under left translation in G).

Then $S(\mathcal{A})$ is a G -flow.

For $p \in S(\mathcal{A})$ we define $d_p : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(G)$ by:

$$d_p(U) = \{g \in G : g^{-1}U \in p\}$$

Definition

\mathcal{A} is **d -closed** if \mathcal{A} is closed under d_p for every $p \in S(\mathcal{A})$.

Example

$\mathcal{A} = \text{Def}_G(M)$ is d -closed, because every $p \in S_G(M)$ is definable.

Functional representation

Assume \mathcal{A} is d -closed.

- For $p \in S(\mathcal{A})$, $d_p \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A}) := \{G\text{-endomorphisms of } \mathcal{A}\}$.
- Let $d : S(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$ map p to d_p . Then d is a bijection.
- d induces $*$ on $S(\mathcal{A})$ so that

$$d : (S(\mathcal{A}), *) \xrightarrow{\cong} (\text{End}(\mathcal{A}), \circ)$$

Theorem 2

$$(E(S(\mathcal{A})), \circ) \cong^1 (S(\mathcal{A}), *) \cong^2 (\text{End}(\mathcal{A}), \circ)$$

Proof

1. For $p \in S(\mathcal{A})$ let $l_p(q) = p * q$.

Then $l_p \in E(S(\mathcal{A}))$ and $p \mapsto l_p$ gives \cong^1 .

2. This is d .

Functional representation

Assume \mathcal{A} is d -closed.

- For $p \in S(\mathcal{A})$, $d_p \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A}) := \{G\text{-endomorphisms of } \mathcal{A}\}$.
- Let $d : S(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$ map p to d_p . Then d is a bijection.
- d induces $*$ on $S(\mathcal{A})$ so that

$$d : (S(\mathcal{A}), *) \xrightarrow{\cong} (\text{End}(\mathcal{A}), \circ)$$

Theorem 2

$$(E(S(\mathcal{A})), \circ) \cong^1 (S(\mathcal{A}), *) \cong^2 (\text{End}(\mathcal{A}), \circ)$$

Proof

1. For $p \in S(\mathcal{A})$ let $l_p(q) = p * q$.

Then $l_p \in E(S(\mathcal{A}))$ and $p \mapsto l_p$ gives \cong^1 .

2. This is d .

Functional representation

Assume \mathcal{A} is d -closed.

- For $p \in S(\mathcal{A})$, $d_p \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A}) := \{G\text{-endomorphisms of } \mathcal{A}\}$.
- Let $d : S(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$ map p to d_p . Then d is a bijection.
- d induces $*$ on $S(\mathcal{A})$ so that

$$d : (S(\mathcal{A}), *) \xrightarrow{\cong} (\text{End}(\mathcal{A}), \circ)$$

Theorem 2

$$(E(S(\mathcal{A})), \circ) \cong^1 (S(\mathcal{A}), *) \cong^2 (\text{End}(\mathcal{A}), \circ)$$

Proof

1. For $p \in S(\mathcal{A})$ let $l_p(q) = p * q$.

Then $l_p \in E(S(\mathcal{A}))$ and $p \mapsto l_p$ gives \cong^1 .

2. This is d .

Functional representation

Assume \mathcal{A} is d -closed.

- For $p \in S(\mathcal{A})$, $d_p \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A}) := \{G\text{-endomorphisms of } \mathcal{A}\}$.
- Let $d : S(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$ map p to d_p . Then d is a bijection.
- d induces $*$ on $S(\mathcal{A})$ so that

$$d : (S(\mathcal{A}), *) \xrightarrow{\cong} (\text{End}(\mathcal{A}), \circ)$$

Theorem 2

$$(E(S(\mathcal{A})), \circ) \cong^1 (S(\mathcal{A}), *) \cong^2 (\text{End}(\mathcal{A}), \circ)$$

Proof

1. For $p \in S(\mathcal{A})$ let $l_p(q) = p * q$.

Then $l_p \in E(S(\mathcal{A}))$ and $p \mapsto l_p$ gives \cong^1 .

2. This is d .

Functional representation

Assume \mathcal{A} is d -closed.

- For $p \in S(\mathcal{A})$, $d_p \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A}) := \{G\text{-endomorphisms of } \mathcal{A}\}$.
- Let $d : S(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$ map p to d_p . Then d is a bijection.
- d induces $*$ on $S(\mathcal{A})$ so that

$$d : (S(\mathcal{A}), *) \xrightarrow{\cong} (\text{End}(\mathcal{A}), \circ)$$

Theorem 2

$$(E(S(\mathcal{A})), \circ) \cong^1 (S(\mathcal{A}), *) \cong^2 (\text{End}(\mathcal{A}), \circ)$$

Proof

1. For $p \in S(\mathcal{A})$ let $l_p(q) = p * q$.

Then $l_p \in E(S(\mathcal{A}))$ and $p \mapsto l_p$ gives \cong^1 .

2. This is d .

Functional representation

Assume \mathcal{A} is d -closed.

- For $p \in S(\mathcal{A})$, $d_p \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A}) := \{G\text{-endomorphisms of } \mathcal{A}\}$.
- Let $d : S(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$ map p to d_p . Then d is a bijection.
- d induces $*$ on $S(\mathcal{A})$ so that

$$d : (S(\mathcal{A}), *) \xrightarrow{\cong} (\text{End}(\mathcal{A}), \circ)$$

Theorem 2

$$(E(S(\mathcal{A})), \circ) \cong^1 (S(\mathcal{A}), *) \cong^2 (\text{End}(\mathcal{A}), \circ)$$

Proof

1. For $p \in S(\mathcal{A})$ let $l_p(q) = p * q$.

Then $l_p \in E(S(\mathcal{A}))$ and $p \mapsto l_p$ gives \cong^1 .

2. This is d .

Functional representation

Assume \mathcal{A} is d -closed.

- For $p \in S(\mathcal{A})$, $d_p \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A}) := \{G\text{-endomorphisms of } \mathcal{A}\}$.
- Let $d : S(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$ map p to d_p . Then d is a bijection.
- d induces $*$ on $S(\mathcal{A})$ so that

$$d : (S(\mathcal{A}), *) \xrightarrow{\cong} (\text{End}(\mathcal{A}), \circ)$$

Theorem 2

$$(E(S(\mathcal{A})), \circ) \cong^1 (S(\mathcal{A}), *) \cong^2 (\text{End}(\mathcal{A}), \circ)$$

Proof

1. For $p \in S(\mathcal{A})$ let $l_p(q) = p * q$.

Then $l_p \in E(S(\mathcal{A}))$ and $p \mapsto l_p$ gives \cong^1 .

2. This is d .

Functional representation

Assume \mathcal{A} is d -closed.

- For $p \in S(\mathcal{A})$, $d_p \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A}) := \{G\text{-endomorphisms of } \mathcal{A}\}$.
- Let $d : S(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$ map p to d_p . Then d is a bijection.
- d induces $*$ on $S(\mathcal{A})$ so that

$$d : (S(\mathcal{A}), *) \xrightarrow{\cong} (\text{End}(\mathcal{A}), \circ)$$

Theorem 2

$$(E(S(\mathcal{A})), \circ) \cong^1 (S(\mathcal{A}), *) \cong^2 (\text{End}(\mathcal{A}), \circ)$$

Proof

1. For $p \in S(\mathcal{A})$ let $l_p(q) = p * q$.

Then $l_p \in E(S(\mathcal{A}))$ and $p \mapsto l_p$ gives \cong^1 .

2. This is d .

Example

If $\mathcal{A} = \text{Def}_G(M)$ then \mathcal{A} is d -closed and $*$ on $S_G(M) = S(\mathcal{A})$ from Theorem 2 is just the free multiplication of G -types.

Example

If $\mathcal{A} = \text{Def}_G(M)$ then \mathcal{A} is d -closed and $*$ on $S_G(M) = S(\mathcal{A})$ from Theorem 2 is just the free multiplication of G -types.

$(S_G(M), *)$ in the definable realm

Definition

1. $\Delta \subseteq L$ is **invariant** if the family of relatively Δ -definable subsets of G is closed under left and right translation in G .
2. Let $Inv = \{\Delta \subseteq_{fin} L : \Delta \text{ is invariant}\}$.

Fact

Inv is cofinal in $[L]^{<\omega}$.

Let $\Delta \in Inv$.

Notation

$$Def_{G,\Delta} = \{\text{relatively } \Delta\text{-definable subsets of } G\}$$

$$S_{G,\Delta} = S(Def_{G,\Delta}(M)),$$

the space of complete Δ -types of G over M .

$(S_G(M), *)$ in the definable realm

Definition

1. $\Delta \subseteq L$ is **invariant** if the family of relatively Δ -definable subsets of G is closed under left and right translation in G .
2. Let $Inv = \{\Delta \subseteq_{fin} L : \Delta \text{ is invariant}\}$.

Fact

Inv is cofinal in $[L]^{<\omega}$.

Let $\Delta \in Inv$.

Notation

$$Def_{G,\Delta} = \{\text{relatively } \Delta\text{-definable subsets of } G\}$$

$$S_{G,\Delta} = S(Def_{G,\Delta}(M)),$$

the space of complete Δ -types of G over M .

$(S_G(M), *)$ in the definable realm

Definition

1. $\Delta \subseteq L$ is **invariant** if the family of relatively Δ -definable subsets of G is closed under left and right translation in G .
2. Let $Inv = \{\Delta \subseteq_{fin} L : \Delta \text{ is invariant}\}$.

Fact

Inv is cofinal in $[L]^{<\omega}$.

Let $\Delta \in Inv$.

Notation

$$Def_{G,\Delta} = \{\text{relatively } \Delta\text{-definable subsets of } G\}$$

$$S_{G,\Delta} = S(Def_{G,\Delta}(M)),$$

the space of complete Δ -types of G over M .

$(S_G(M), *)$ in the definable realm

Definition

1. $\Delta \subseteq L$ is **invariant** if the family of relatively Δ -definable subsets of G is closed under left and right translation in G .
2. Let $Inv = \{\Delta \subseteq_{fin} L : \Delta \text{ is invariant}\}$.

Fact

Inv is cofinal in $[L]^{<\omega}$.

Let $\Delta \in Inv$.

Notation

$Def_{G,\Delta} = \{\text{relatively } \Delta\text{-definable subsets of } G\}$

$$S_{G,\Delta} = S(Def_{G,\Delta}(M)),$$

the space of complete Δ -types of G over M .

$(S_G(M), *)$ in the definable realm

Definition

1. $\Delta \subseteq L$ is **invariant** if the family of relatively Δ -definable subsets of G is closed under left and right translation in G .
2. Let $Inv = \{\Delta \subseteq_{fin} L : \Delta \text{ is invariant}\}$.

Fact

Inv is cofinal in $[L]^{<\omega}$.

Let $\Delta \in Inv$.

Notation

$Def_{G,\Delta} = \{\text{relatively } \Delta\text{-definable subsets of } G\}$

$$S_{G,\Delta} = S(Def_{G,\Delta}(M)),$$

the space of complete Δ -types of G over M .

$(S_G(M), *)$ in the definable realm

Definition

1. $\Delta \subseteq L$ is **invariant** if the family of relatively Δ -definable subsets of G is closed under left and right translation in G .
2. Let $Inv = \{\Delta \subseteq_{fin} L : \Delta \text{ is invariant}\}$.

Fact

Inv is cofinal in $[L]^{<\omega}$.

Let $\Delta \in Inv$.

Notation

$Def_{G,\Delta} = \{\text{relatively } \Delta\text{-definable subsets of } G\}$

$$S_{G,\Delta} = S(Def_{G,\Delta}(M)),$$

the space of complete Δ -types of G over M .

$(S_G(M), *)$ in the definable realm

Definition

1. $\Delta \subseteq L$ is **invariant** if the family of relatively Δ -definable subsets of G is closed under left and right translation in G .
2. Let $Inv = \{\Delta \subseteq_{fin} L : \Delta \text{ is invariant}\}$.

Fact

Inv is cofinal in $[L]^{<\omega}$.

Let $\Delta \in Inv$.

Notation

$$Def_{G,\Delta} = \{\text{relatively } \Delta\text{-definable subsets of } G\}$$
$$S_{G,\Delta} = S(Def_{G,\Delta}(M)),$$

the space of complete Δ -types of G over M .

$(S_G(M), *)$ in the definable realm

Definition

1. $\Delta \subseteq L$ is **invariant** if the family of relatively Δ -definable subsets of G is closed under left and right translation in G .
2. Let $Inv = \{\Delta \subseteq_{fin} L : \Delta \text{ is invariant}\}$.

Fact

Inv is cofinal in $[L]^{<\omega}$.

Let $\Delta \in Inv$.

Notation

$$Def_{G,\Delta} = \{\text{relatively } \Delta\text{-definable subsets of } G\}$$
$$S_{G,\Delta} = S(Def_{G,\Delta}(M)),$$

the space of complete Δ -types of G over M .

$(S_G(M), *)$ in the definable realm

Definition

1. $\Delta \subseteq L$ is **invariant** if the family of relatively Δ -definable subsets of G is closed under left and right translation in G .
2. Let $Inv = \{\Delta \subseteq_{fin} L : \Delta \text{ is invariant}\}$.

Fact

Inv is cofinal in $[L]^{<\omega}$.

Let $\Delta \in Inv$.

Notation

$$Def_{G,\Delta} = \{\text{relatively } \Delta\text{-definable subsets of } G\}$$

$$S_{G,\Delta} = S(Def_{G,\Delta}(M)),$$

the space of complete Δ -types of G over M .

$(S_G(M), *)$ in the definable realm

- 1 $Def_{G,\Delta}(M)$ is a d -closed G -algebra of sets.
(this relies on the full definability lemma in local stability theory)
- 2 $(S_{G,\Delta}(M), *) \cong (E(S_{G,\Delta}(M)), \circ) \cong (End(Def_{G,\Delta}(M)), \circ)$
(this is by Theorem 2)

3

$$Def_G(M) = \bigcup_{\Delta \in Inv} Def_{G,\Delta}(M)$$

- 4 $\langle S_{G,\Delta}(M), \Delta \in Inv \rangle$ is an inverse system of G -flows and semi-groups
(the connecting functions are restrictions)
- 5 $S_G(M) = \text{invlim}_{\Delta \in Inv} S_{G,\Delta}(M)$
(as G -flows and semigroups)

$(S_G(M), *)$ in the definable realm

- 1 $Def_{G,\Delta}(M)$ is a d -closed G -algebra of sets.
(this relies on the full definability lemma in local stability theory)
- 2 $(S_{G,\Delta}(M), *) \cong (E(S_{G,\Delta}(M)), \circ) \cong (End(Def_{G,\Delta}(M)), \circ)$
(this is by Theorem 2)

3

$$Def_G(M) = \bigcup_{\Delta \in Inv} Def_{G,\Delta}(M)$$

- 4 $\langle S_{G,\Delta}(M), \Delta \in Inv \rangle$ is an inverse system of G -flows and semi-groups
(the connecting functions are restrictions)
- 5 $S_G(M) = \text{invlim}_{\Delta \in Inv} S_{G,\Delta}(M)$
(as G -flows and semigroups)

$(S_G(M), *)$ in the definable realm

- 1 $Def_{G,\Delta}(M)$ is a d -closed G -algebra of sets.
(this relies on the full definability lemma in local stability theory)
- 2 $(S_{G,\Delta}(M), *) \cong (E(S_{G,\Delta}(M)), \circ) \cong (End(Def_{G,\Delta}(M)), \circ)$
(this is by Theorem 2)

3

$$Def_G(M) = \bigcup_{\Delta \in Inv} Def_{G,\Delta}(M)$$

- 4 $\langle S_{G,\Delta}(M), \Delta \in Inv \rangle$ is an inverse system of G -flows and semi-groups
(the connecting functions are restrictions)
- 5 $S_G(M) = \text{invlim}_{\Delta \in Inv} S_{G,\Delta}(M)$
(as G -flows and semigroups)

$(S_G(M), *)$ in the definable realm

- 1 $Def_{G,\Delta}(M)$ is a d -closed G -algebra of sets.
(this relies on the full definability lemma in local stability theory)
- 2 $(S_{G,\Delta}(M), *) \cong (E(S_{G,\Delta}(M)), \circ) \cong (End(Def_{G,\Delta}(M)), \circ)$
(this is by Theorem 2)

3

$$Def_G(M) = \bigcup_{\Delta \in Inv} Def_{G,\Delta}(M)$$

- 4 $\langle S_{G,\Delta}(M), \Delta \in Inv \rangle$ is an inverse system of G -flows and semi-groups
(the connecting functions are restrictions)
- 5 $S_G(M) = \text{invlim}_{\Delta \in Inv} S_{G,\Delta}(M)$
(as G -flows and semigroups)

$(S_G(M), *)$ in the definable realm

- 1 $Def_{G,\Delta}(M)$ is a d -closed G -algebra of sets.
(this relies on the full definability lemma in local stability theory)
- 2 $(S_{G,\Delta}(M), *) \cong (E(S_{G,\Delta}(M)), \circ) \cong (End(Def_{G,\Delta}(M)), \circ)$
(this is by Theorem 2)

3

$$Def_G(M) = \bigcup_{\Delta \in Inv} Def_{G,\Delta}(M)$$

- 4 $\langle S_{G,\Delta}(M), \Delta \in Inv \rangle$ is an inverse system of G -flows and semi-groups
(the connecting functions are restrictions)
- 5 $S_G(M) = \text{invlim}_{\Delta \in Inv} S_{G,\Delta}(M)$
(as G -flows and semigroups)

$(S_G(M), *)$ in the definable realm

- 1 $Def_{G,\Delta}(M)$ is a d -closed G -algebra of sets.
(this relies on the full definability lemma in local stability theory)
- 2 $(S_{G,\Delta}(M), *) \cong (E(S_{G,\Delta}(M)), \circ) \cong (End(Def_{G,\Delta}(M)), \circ)$
(this is by Theorem 2)

3

$$Def_G(M) = \bigcup_{\Delta \in Inv} Def_{G,\Delta}(M)$$

- 4 $\langle S_{G,\Delta}(M), \Delta \in Inv \rangle$ is an inverse system of G -flows and semi-groups
(the connecting functions are restrictions)
- 5 $S_G(M) = \text{invlim}_{\Delta \in Inv} S_{G,\Delta}(M)$
(as G -flows and semigroups)

$(S_G(M), *)$ in the definable realm

- 1 $Def_{G,\Delta}(M)$ is a d -closed G -algebra of sets.
(this relies on the full definability lemma in local stability theory)
- 2 $(S_{G,\Delta}(M), *) \cong (E(S_{G,\Delta}(M)), \circ) \cong (End(Def_{G,\Delta}(M)), \circ)$
(this is by Theorem 2)

3

$$Def_G(M) = \bigcup_{\Delta \in Inv} Def_{G,\Delta}(M)$$

- 4 $\langle S_{G,\Delta}(M), \Delta \in Inv \rangle$ is an inverse system of G -flows and semi-groups
(the connecting functions are restrictions)
- 5 $S_G(M) = \text{invlim}_{\Delta \in Inv} S_{G,\Delta}(M)$
(as G -flows and semigroups)

$(S_G(M), *)$ in the definable realm

- 1 $Def_{G,\Delta}(M)$ is a d -closed G -algebra of sets.
(this relies on the full definability lemma in local stability theory)
- 2 $(S_{G,\Delta}(M), *) \cong (E(S_{G,\Delta}(M)), \circ) \cong (End(Def_{G,\Delta}(M)), \circ)$
(this is by Theorem 2)

3

$$Def_G(M) = \bigcup_{\Delta \in Inv} Def_{G,\Delta}(M)$$

- 4 $\langle S_{G,\Delta}(M), \Delta \in Inv \rangle$ is an inverse system of G -flows and semi-groups
(the connecting functions are restrictions)
- 5 $S_G(M) = \text{invlim}_{\Delta \in Inv} S_{G,\Delta}(M)$
(as G -flows and semigroups)

Commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (S_G(M), *) & \xrightarrow{r} & (S_{G,\Delta}(M), *) \\ d \downarrow \cong & & d \downarrow \cong \\ \text{End}(\text{Def}_G(M), \circ) & \xrightarrow{r} & \text{End}(\text{Def}_{G,\Delta}(M), \circ) \end{array}$$

The horizontal arrows are restrictions.

All arrows are semigroup homomorphisms.

Commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (S_G(M), *) & \xrightarrow{r} & (S_{G,\Delta}(M), *) \\ d \downarrow \cong & & d \downarrow \cong \\ \text{End}(\text{Def}_G(M), \circ) & \xrightarrow{r} & \text{End}(\text{Def}_{G,\Delta}(M), \circ) \end{array}$$

The horizontal arrows are restrictions.

All arrows are semigroup homomorphisms.

Commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (S_G(M), *) & \xrightarrow{r} & (S_{G,\Delta}(M), *) \\ d \downarrow \cong & & d \downarrow \cong \\ \text{End}(\text{Def}_G(M), \circ) & \xrightarrow{r} & \text{End}(\text{Def}_{G,\Delta}(M), \circ) \end{array}$$

The horizontal arrows are restrictions.

All arrows are semigroup homomorphisms.

$(S_G(M), *)$ in the definable realm

Proposition

$(S_{G,\Delta}(M), *)$ is a type-definable semigroup (in M^{eq}).

Proof.

- $S_{G,\Delta}(M)$ is a type-definable set in M^{eq}
(identify $p \in S_{G,\Delta}(M)$ with the tuple of canonical φ -definitions of p , $\varphi \in \Delta$)
- $*$ is relatively definable on $S_{G,\Delta}(M)$.
(Use $d : S_{G,\Delta}(M) \cong \text{End}(\text{Def}_{G,\Delta}(M))$, the full definability lemma and compactness.
 $\text{Def}_{G,\Delta}(M)$ is ind-definable in M^{eq} .)

$(S_G(M), *)$ in the definable realm

Proposition

$(S_{G,\Delta}(M), *)$ is a type-definable semigroup (in M^{eq}).

Proof.

- $S_{G,\Delta}(M)$ is a type-definable set in M^{eq}
(identify $p \in S_{G,\Delta}(M)$ with the tuple of canonical φ -definitions of p , $\varphi \in \Delta$)
- $*$ is relatively definable on $S_{G,\Delta}(M)$.
(Use $d : S_{G,\Delta}(M) \cong \text{End}(\text{Def}_{G,\Delta}(M))$, the full definability lemma and compactness.
 $\text{Def}_{G,\Delta}(M)$ is ind-definable in M^{eq} .)

$(S_G(M), *)$ in the definable realm

Proposition

$(S_{G,\Delta}(M), *)$ is a type-definable semigroup (in M^{eq}).

Proof.

- $S_{G,\Delta}(M)$ is a type-definable set in M^{eq}
(identify $p \in S_{G,\Delta}(M)$ with the tuple of canonical φ -definitions of p , $\varphi \in \Delta$)
- $*$ is relatively definable on $S_{G,\Delta}(M)$.
(Use $d : S_{G,\Delta}(M) \cong \text{End}(\text{Def}_{G,\Delta}(M))$, the full definability lemma and compactness.
 $\text{Def}_{G,\Delta}(M)$ is ind-definable in M^{eq} .)

$(S_G(M), *)$ in the definable realm

Proposition

$(S_{G,\Delta}(M), *)$ is a type-definable semigroup (in M^{eq}).

Proof.

- $S_{G,\Delta}(M)$ is a type-definable set in M^{eq}
(identify $p \in S_{G,\Delta}(M)$ with the tuple of canonical φ -definitions of p , $\varphi \in \Delta$)
- $*$ is relatively definable on $S_{G,\Delta}(M)$.
(Use $d : S_{G,\Delta}(M) \cong \text{End}(\text{Def}_{G,\Delta}(M))$, the full definability lemma and compactness.
Def_{G,Δ}(M) is ind-definable in M^{eq} .)

$(S_G(M), *)$ in the definable realm

Proposition

$(S_{G,\Delta}(M), *)$ is a type-definable semigroup (in M^{eq}).

Proof.

- $S_{G,\Delta}(M)$ is a type-definable set in M^{eq}
(identify $p \in S_{G,\Delta}(M)$ with the tuple of canonical φ -definitions of p , $\varphi \in \Delta$)
- $*$ is relatively definable on $S_{G,\Delta}(M)$.
(Use $d : S_{G,\Delta}(M) \cong \text{End}(\text{Def}_{G,\Delta}(M))$, the full definability lemma and compactness.
Def_{G,Δ}(M) is ind-definable in M^{eq} .)

$(S_G(M), *)$ in the definable realm

Proposition

$(S_{G,\Delta}(M), *)$ is a type-definable semigroup (in M^{eq}).

Proof.

- $S_{G,\Delta}(M)$ is a type-definable set in M^{eq}
(identify $p \in S_{G,\Delta}(M)$ with the tuple of canonical φ -definitions of p , $\varphi \in \Delta$)
- $*$ is relatively definable on $S_{G,\Delta}(M)$.
(Use $d : S_{G,\Delta}(M) \cong \text{End}(\text{Def}_{G,\Delta}(M))$, the full definability lemma and compactness.
 $\text{Def}_{G,\Delta}(M)$ is ind-definable in M^{eq} .)

Proposition

$(S_{G,\Delta}(M), *)$ is a type-definable semigroup (in M^{eq}).

Proof.

- $S_{G,\Delta}(M)$ is a type-definable set in M^{eq}
(identify $p \in S_{G,\Delta}(M)$ with the tuple of canonical φ -definitions of p , $\varphi \in \Delta$)
- $*$ is relatively definable on $S_{G,\Delta}(M)$.
(Use $d : S_{G,\Delta}(M) \cong \text{End}(\text{Def}_{G,\Delta}(M))$, the full definability lemma and compactness.
 $\text{Def}_{G,\Delta}(M)$ is ind-definable in M^{eq} .)

Subgroups of $E(S(\mathcal{A})) \cong S(\mathcal{A}) \cong \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$

Assume $f \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$.

$$\text{Ker}(f) = \{U \in \mathcal{A} : f(U) = \emptyset\}$$

$$\text{Im}(f) = \{f(U) : U \in \mathcal{A}\}$$

- $\text{Ker}(f)$ is a G -ideal in \mathcal{A} .
- $\text{Im}(f)$ is a G -subalgebra of \mathcal{A} .

Crucial point

Assume $f, g \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$. Then

$$\text{Ker}(f \circ g) \supseteq \text{Ker}(g) \text{ and } \text{Im}(f \circ g) \subseteq \text{Im}(f)$$

Subgroups of $E(S(\mathcal{A})) \cong S(\mathcal{A}) \cong \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$

Assume $f \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$.

$$\text{Ker}(f) = \{U \in \mathcal{A} : f(U) = \emptyset\}$$

$$\text{Im}(f) = \{f(U) : U \in \mathcal{A}\}$$

- $\text{Ker}(f)$ is a G -ideal in \mathcal{A} .
- $\text{Im}(f)$ is a G -subalgebra of \mathcal{A} .

Crucial point

Assume $f, g \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$. Then

$$\text{Ker}(f \circ g) \supseteq \text{Ker}(g) \text{ and } \text{Im}(f \circ g) \subseteq \text{Im}(f)$$

Subgroups of $E(S(\mathcal{A})) \cong S(\mathcal{A}) \cong \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$

Assume $f \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$.

$$\text{Ker}(f) = \{U \in \mathcal{A} : f(U) = \emptyset\}$$

$$\text{Im}(f) = \{f(U) : U \in \mathcal{A}\}$$

- $\text{Ker}(f)$ is a G -ideal in \mathcal{A} .
- $\text{Im}(f)$ is a G -subalgebra of \mathcal{A} .

Crucial point

Assume $f, g \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$. Then

$$\text{Ker}(f \circ g) \supseteq \text{Ker}(g) \text{ and } \text{Im}(f \circ g) \subseteq \text{Im}(f)$$

Subgroups of $E(S(\mathcal{A})) \cong S(\mathcal{A}) \cong \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$

Assume $f \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$.

$$\text{Ker}(f) = \{U \in \mathcal{A} : f(U) = \emptyset\}$$

$$\text{Im}(f) = \{f(U) : U \in \mathcal{A}\}$$

- $\text{Ker}(f)$ is a G -ideal in \mathcal{A} .
- $\text{Im}(f)$ is a G -subalgebra of \mathcal{A} .

Crucial point

Assume $f, g \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$. Then

$$\text{Ker}(f \circ g) \supseteq \text{Ker}(g) \text{ and } \text{Im}(f \circ g) \subseteq \text{Im}(f)$$

Subgroups of $E(S(\mathcal{A})) \cong S(\mathcal{A}) \cong \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$

Assume $f \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$.

$$\text{Ker}(f) = \{U \in \mathcal{A} : f(U) = \emptyset\}$$

$$\text{Im}(f) = \{f(U) : U \in \mathcal{A}\}$$

- $\text{Ker}(f)$ is a G -ideal in \mathcal{A} .
- $\text{Im}(f)$ is a G -subalgebra of \mathcal{A} .

Crucial point

Assume $f, g \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$. Then

$$\text{Ker}(f \circ g) \supseteq \text{Ker}(g) \text{ and } \text{Im}(f \circ g) \subseteq \text{Im}(f)$$

Subgroups of $E(S(\mathcal{A})) \cong S(\mathcal{A}) \cong \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$

Assume $f \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$.

$$\text{Ker}(f) = \{U \in \mathcal{A} : f(U) = \emptyset\}$$

$$\text{Im}(f) = \{f(U) : U \in \mathcal{A}\}$$

- $\text{Ker}(f)$ is a G -ideal in \mathcal{A} .
- $\text{Im}(f)$ is a G -subalgebra of \mathcal{A} .

Crucial point

Assume $f, g \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$. Then

$$\text{Ker}(f \circ g) \supseteq \text{Ker}(g) \text{ and } \text{Im}(f \circ g) \subseteq \text{Im}(f)$$

Subgroups of $E(S(\mathcal{A})) \cong S(\mathcal{A}) \cong \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$

Assume $f \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$.

$$\text{Ker}(f) = \{U \in \mathcal{A} : f(U) = \emptyset\}$$

$$\text{Im}(f) = \{f(U) : U \in \mathcal{A}\}$$

- $\text{Ker}(f)$ is a G -ideal in \mathcal{A} .
- $\text{Im}(f)$ is a G -subalgebra of \mathcal{A} .

Crucial point

Assume $f, g \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$. Then

$$\text{Ker}(f \circ g) \supseteq \text{Ker}(g) \text{ and } \text{Im}(f \circ g) \subseteq \text{Im}(f)$$

Subgroups of $E(S(\mathcal{A})) \cong S(\mathcal{A}) \cong \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$

Assume $f \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$.

$$\text{Ker}(f) = \{U \in \mathcal{A} : f(U) = \emptyset\}$$

$$\text{Im}(f) = \{f(U) : U \in \mathcal{A}\}$$

- $\text{Ker}(f)$ is a G -ideal in \mathcal{A} .
- $\text{Im}(f)$ is a G -subalgebra of \mathcal{A} .

Crucial point

Assume $f, g \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$. Then

$$\text{Ker}(f \circ g) \supseteq \text{Ker}(g) \text{ and } \text{Im}(f \circ g) \subseteq \text{Im}(f)$$

Subgroups of $E(S(\mathcal{A})) \cong S(\mathcal{A}) \cong \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$

Proposition

Assume that S is a subgroup of $\text{End}(\mathcal{A})$. Then all $f \in S$ have a common kernel $K = K_S$ and common image $R = R_S$. Let $S_{K,R} = \{f \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A}) : \text{Ker}(f) = K, \text{Im}(f) = R \text{ and } f|_R \text{ permutes } R\}$. Then $S_{K,R}$ is a maximal subgroup of $\text{End}(\mathcal{A})$ containing S .

Let $\mathcal{I} = \{\text{ideal subgroups of } S(\mathcal{A})\}$

$\mathcal{K} = \{\text{kernels of ideal subgroups of } S(\mathcal{A}) \cong \text{End}(\mathcal{A})\}$

$\mathcal{R} = \{\text{images of ideal subgroups of } S(\mathcal{A}) \cong \text{End}(\mathcal{A})\}$

Fact

The mapping $\mathcal{I} \ni S \mapsto \langle K_S, R_S \rangle \in \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{R}$ is a bijection $\mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{R}$.

The fibers of the surjective mapping

$A\text{Per}(S(\mathcal{A})) \ni p \mapsto \text{Ker}(d_p) \in \mathcal{K}$ are precisely the minimal subflows of $S(\mathcal{A})$.

Subgroups of $E(S(\mathcal{A})) \cong S(\mathcal{A}) \cong \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$

Proposition

Assume that S is a subgroup of $\text{End}(\mathcal{A})$. Then all $f \in S$ have a common kernel $K = K_S$ and common image $R = R_S$. Let $S_{K,R} = \{f \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A}) : \text{Ker}(f) = K, \text{Im}(f) = R \text{ and } f|_R \text{ permutes } R\}$. Then $S_{K,R}$ is a maximal subgroup of $\text{End}(\mathcal{A})$ containing S .

Let $\mathcal{I} = \{\text{ideal subgroups of } S(\mathcal{A})\}$

$\mathcal{K} = \{\text{kernels of ideal subgroups of } S(\mathcal{A}) \cong \text{End}(\mathcal{A})\}$

$\mathcal{R} = \{\text{images of ideal subgroups of } S(\mathcal{A}) \cong \text{End}(\mathcal{A})\}$

Fact

The mapping $\mathcal{I} \ni S \mapsto \langle K_S, R_S \rangle \in \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{R}$ is a bijection $\mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{R}$.

The fibers of the surjective mapping

$A\text{Per}(S(\mathcal{A})) \ni p \mapsto \text{Ker}(d_p) \in \mathcal{K}$ are precisely the minimal subflows of $S(\mathcal{A})$.

Subgroups of $E(S(\mathcal{A})) \cong S(\mathcal{A}) \cong \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$

Proposition

Assume that S is a subgroup of $\text{End}(\mathcal{A})$. Then all $f \in S$ have a common kernel $K = K_S$ and common image $R = R_S$. Let $S_{K,R} = \{f \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A}) : \text{Ker}(f) = K, \text{Im}(f) = R \text{ and } f|_R \text{ permutes } R\}$. Then $S_{K,R}$ is a maximal subgroup of $\text{End}(\mathcal{A})$ containing S .

Let $\mathcal{I} = \{\text{ideal subgroups of } S(\mathcal{A})\}$

$\mathcal{K} = \{\text{kernels of ideal subgroups of } S(\mathcal{A}) \cong \text{End}(\mathcal{A})\}$

$\mathcal{R} = \{\text{images of ideal subgroups of } S(\mathcal{A}) \cong \text{End}(\mathcal{A})\}$

Fact

The mapping $\mathcal{I} \ni S \mapsto \langle K_S, R_S \rangle \in \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{R}$ is a bijection $\mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{R}$.

The fibers of the surjective mapping

$A\text{Per}(S(\mathcal{A})) \ni p \mapsto \text{Ker}(d_p) \in \mathcal{K}$ are precisely the minimal subflows of $S(\mathcal{A})$.

Subgroups of $E(S(\mathcal{A})) \cong S(\mathcal{A}) \cong \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$

Proposition

Assume that S is a subgroup of $\text{End}(\mathcal{A})$. Then all $f \in S$ have a common kernel $K = K_S$ and common image $R = R_S$. Let $S_{K,R} = \{f \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A}) : \text{Ker}(f) = K, \text{Im}(f) = R \text{ and } f|_R \text{ permutes } R\}$. Then $S_{K,R}$ is a maximal subgroup of $\text{End}(\mathcal{A})$ containing S .

Let $\mathcal{I} = \{\text{ideal subgroups of } S(\mathcal{A})\}$

$\mathcal{K} = \{\text{kernels of ideal subgroups of } S(\mathcal{A}) \cong \text{End}(\mathcal{A})\}$

$\mathcal{R} = \{\text{images of ideal subgroups of } S(\mathcal{A}) \cong \text{End}(\mathcal{A})\}$

Fact

The mapping $\mathcal{I} \ni S \mapsto \langle K_S, R_S \rangle \in \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{R}$ is a bijection $\mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{R}$.

The fibers of the surjective mapping

$A\text{Per}(S(\mathcal{A})) \ni p \mapsto \text{Ker}(d_p) \in \mathcal{K}$ are precisely the minimal subflows of $S(\mathcal{A})$.

Subgroups of $E(S(\mathcal{A})) \cong S(\mathcal{A}) \cong \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$

Proposition

Assume that S is a subgroup of $\text{End}(\mathcal{A})$. Then all $f \in S$ have a common kernel $K = K_S$ and common image $R = R_S$. Let $S_{K,R} = \{f \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A}) : \text{Ker}(f) = K, \text{Im}(f) = R \text{ and } f|_R \text{ permutes } R\}$. Then $S_{K,R}$ is a maximal subgroup of $\text{End}(\mathcal{A})$ containing S .

Let $\mathcal{I} = \{\text{ideal subgroups of } S(\mathcal{A})\}$

$\mathcal{K} = \{\text{kernels of ideal subgroups of } S(\mathcal{A}) \cong \text{End}(\mathcal{A})\}$

$\mathcal{R} = \{\text{images of ideal subgroups of } S(\mathcal{A}) \cong \text{End}(\mathcal{A})\}$

Fact

The mapping $\mathcal{I} \ni S \mapsto \langle K_S, R_S \rangle \in \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{R}$ is a bijection $\mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{R}$.

The fibers of the surjective mapping

$A\text{Per}(S(\mathcal{A})) \ni p \mapsto \text{Ker}(d_p) \in \mathcal{K}$ are precisely the minimal subflows of $S(\mathcal{A})$.

Subgroups of $E(S(\mathcal{A})) \cong S(\mathcal{A}) \cong \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$

Proposition

Assume that S is a subgroup of $\text{End}(\mathcal{A})$. Then all $f \in S$ have a common kernel $K = K_S$ and common image $R = R_S$. Let $S_{K,R} = \{f \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A}) : \text{Ker}(f) = K, \text{Im}(f) = R \text{ and } f|_R \text{ permutes } R\}$. Then $S_{K,R}$ is a maximal subgroup of $\text{End}(\mathcal{A})$ containing S .

Let $\mathcal{I} = \{\text{ideal subgroups of } S(\mathcal{A})\}$

$\mathcal{K} = \{\text{kernels of ideal subgroups of } S(\mathcal{A}) \cong \text{End}(\mathcal{A})\}$

$\mathcal{R} = \{\text{images of ideal subgroups of } S(\mathcal{A}) \cong \text{End}(\mathcal{A})\}$

Fact

The mapping $\mathcal{I} \ni S \mapsto \langle K_S, R_S \rangle \in \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{R}$ is a bijection $\mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{R}$.

The fibers of the surjective mapping

$A\text{Per}(S(\mathcal{A})) \ni p \mapsto \text{Ker}(d_p) \in \mathcal{K}$ are precisely the minimal subflows of $S(\mathcal{A})$.

Subgroups of $E(S(\mathcal{A})) \cong S(\mathcal{A}) \cong \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$

Proposition

Assume that S is a subgroup of $\text{End}(\mathcal{A})$. Then all $f \in S$ have a common kernel $K = K_S$ and common image $R = R_S$. Let $S_{K,R} = \{f \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A}) : \text{Ker}(f) = K, \text{Im}(f) = R \text{ and } f|_R \text{ permutes } R\}$. Then $S_{K,R}$ is a maximal subgroup of $\text{End}(\mathcal{A})$ containing S .

Let $\mathcal{I} = \{\text{ideal subgroups of } S(\mathcal{A})\}$

$\mathcal{K} = \{\text{kernels of ideal subgroups of } S(\mathcal{A}) \cong \text{End}(\mathcal{A})\}$

$\mathcal{R} = \{\text{images of ideal subgroups of } S(\mathcal{A}) \cong \text{End}(\mathcal{A})\}$

Fact

The mapping $\mathcal{I} \ni S \mapsto \langle K_S, R_S \rangle \in \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{R}$ is a bijection $\mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{R}$.

The fibers of the surjective mapping

$A\text{Per}(S(\mathcal{A})) \ni p \mapsto \text{Ker}(d_p) \in \mathcal{K}$ are precisely the minimal subflows of $S(\mathcal{A})$.

Subgroups of $E(S(\mathcal{A})) \cong S(\mathcal{A}) \cong \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$

Proposition

Assume that S is a subgroup of $\text{End}(\mathcal{A})$. Then all $f \in S$ have a common kernel $K = K_S$ and common image $R = R_S$. Let $S_{K,R} = \{f \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A}) : \text{Ker}(f) = K, \text{Im}(f) = R \text{ and } f|_R \text{ permutes } R\}$. Then $S_{K,R}$ is a maximal subgroup of $\text{End}(\mathcal{A})$ containing S .

Let $\mathcal{I} = \{\text{ideal subgroups of } S(\mathcal{A})\}$

$\mathcal{K} = \{\text{kernels of ideal subgroups of } S(\mathcal{A}) \cong \text{End}(\mathcal{A})\}$

$\mathcal{R} = \{\text{images of ideal subgroups of } S(\mathcal{A}) \cong \text{End}(\mathcal{A})\}$

Fact

The mapping $\mathcal{I} \ni S \mapsto \langle K_S, R_S \rangle \in \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{R}$ is a bijection $\mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{R}$.

The fibers of the surjective mapping

$A\text{Per}(S(\mathcal{A})) \ni p \mapsto \text{Ker}(d_p) \in \mathcal{K}$ are precisely the minimal subflows of $S(\mathcal{A})$.

Subgroups of $E(S(\mathcal{A})) \cong S(\mathcal{A}) \cong \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$

Proposition

Assume that S is a subgroup of $\text{End}(\mathcal{A})$. Then all $f \in S$ have a common kernel $K = K_S$ and common image $R = R_S$. Let $S_{K,R} = \{f \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A}) : \text{Ker}(f) = K, \text{Im}(f) = R \text{ and } f|_R \text{ permutes } R\}$. Then $S_{K,R}$ is a maximal subgroup of $\text{End}(\mathcal{A})$ containing S .

Let $\mathcal{I} = \{\text{ideal subgroups of } S(\mathcal{A})\}$

$\mathcal{K} = \{\text{kernels of ideal subgroups of } S(\mathcal{A}) \cong \text{End}(\mathcal{A})\}$

$\mathcal{R} = \{\text{images of ideal subgroups of } S(\mathcal{A}) \cong \text{End}(\mathcal{A})\}$

Fact

The mapping $\mathcal{I} \ni S \mapsto \langle K_S, R_S \rangle \in \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{R}$ is a bijection $\mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{R}$.

The fibers of the surjective mapping

$A\text{Per}(S(\mathcal{A})) \ni p \mapsto \text{Ker}(d_p) \in \mathcal{K}$ are precisely the minimal subflows of $S(\mathcal{A})$.

Subgroups of $E(S(\mathcal{A})) \cong S(\mathcal{A}) \cong \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$

Proposition

Assume that S is a subgroup of $\text{End}(\mathcal{A})$. Then all $f \in S$ have a common kernel $K = K_S$ and common image $R = R_S$. Let $S_{K,R} = \{f \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A}) : \text{Ker}(f) = K, \text{Im}(f) = R \text{ and } f|_R \text{ permutes } R\}$. Then $S_{K,R}$ is a maximal subgroup of $\text{End}(\mathcal{A})$ containing S .

Let $\mathcal{I} = \{\text{ideal subgroups of } S(\mathcal{A})\}$

$\mathcal{K} = \{\text{kernels of ideal subgroups of } S(\mathcal{A}) \cong \text{End}(\mathcal{A})\}$

$\mathcal{R} = \{\text{images of ideal subgroups of } S(\mathcal{A}) \cong \text{End}(\mathcal{A})\}$

Fact

The mapping $\mathcal{I} \ni S \mapsto \langle K_S, R_S \rangle \in \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{R}$ is a bijection $\mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{R}$.

The fibers of the surjective mapping

$A\text{Per}(S(\mathcal{A})) \ni p \mapsto \text{Ker}(d_p) \in \mathcal{K}$ are precisely the minimal subflows of $S(\mathcal{A})$.

Subgroups of $E(S(\mathcal{A})) \cong S(\mathcal{A}) \cong \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$

Proposition

Assume that S is a subgroup of $\text{End}(\mathcal{A})$. Then all $f \in S$ have a common kernel $K = K_S$ and common image $R = R_S$. Let $S_{K,R} = \{f \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A}) : \text{Ker}(f) = K, \text{Im}(f) = R \text{ and } f|_R \text{ permutes } R\}$. Then $S_{K,R}$ is a maximal subgroup of $\text{End}(\mathcal{A})$ containing S .

Let $\mathcal{I} = \{\text{ideal subgroups of } S(\mathcal{A})\}$

$\mathcal{K} = \{\text{kernels of ideal subgroups of } S(\mathcal{A}) \cong \text{End}(\mathcal{A})\}$

$\mathcal{R} = \{\text{images of ideal subgroups of } S(\mathcal{A}) \cong \text{End}(\mathcal{A})\}$

Fact

The mapping $\mathcal{I} \ni S \mapsto \langle K_S, R_S \rangle \in \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{R}$ is a bijection $\mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{R}$.

The fibers of the surjective mapping

$A\text{Per}(S(\mathcal{A})) \ni p \mapsto \text{Ker}(d_p) \in \mathcal{K}$ are precisely the minimal subflows of $S(\mathcal{A})$.

Maximal subgroups of $S_G(M)$ and $S_{G,\Delta}(M)$

Example

Let $H < G$ be Δ -definable, Δ -connected (i.e. $Mlt_\Delta(H) = 1$)
(So: $\exists! p_H \in S_{G,\Delta}(M)$ generic of H .)

Let $N = N_G(H) < G$ and $S_{p_H} = \{n \cdot p_H : n \in N\} \subseteq S_{G,\Delta}(M)$.

Then S_{p_H} is a maximal subgroup of $S_{G,\Delta}(M)$ and $S_{p_H} \cong_{def} N/H$.

Theorem 3

1. All maximal subgroups of $S_{G,\Delta}(M)$ are of this form.
2. If $S \subseteq S_G(M)$ is a maximal subgroup, then $S = \text{invlim}_{\Delta \in \text{Inv}} S_\Delta$ for some maximal subgroups $S_\Delta \subseteq S_{G,\Delta}(M)$.

Maximal subgroups of $S_G(M)$ and $S_{G,\Delta}(M)$

Example

Let $H < G$ be Δ -definable, Δ -connected (i.e. $Mlt_\Delta(H) = 1$)

(So: $\exists! p_H \in S_{G,\Delta}(M)$ generic of H .)

Let $N = N_G(H) < G$ and $S_{p_H} = \{n \cdot p_H : n \in N\} \subseteq S_{G,\Delta}(M)$.

Then S_{p_H} is a maximal subgroup of $S_{G,\Delta}(M)$ and $S_{p_H} \cong_{\text{def}} N/H$.

Theorem 3

1. All maximal subgroups of $S_{G,\Delta}(M)$ are of this form.
2. If $S \subseteq S_G(M)$ is a maximal subgroup, then $S = \text{invlim}_{\Delta \in \text{Inv}} S_\Delta$ for some maximal subgroups $S_\Delta \subseteq S_{G,\Delta}(M)$.

Maximal subgroups of $S_G(M)$ and $S_{G,\Delta}(M)$

Example

Let $H < G$ be Δ -definable, Δ -connected (i.e. $Mlt_\Delta(H) = 1$)

(So: $\exists! p_H \in S_{G,\Delta}(M)$ generic of H .)

Let $N = N_G(H) < G$ and $S_{p_H} = \{n \cdot p_H : n \in N\} \subseteq S_{G,\Delta}(M)$.

Then S_{p_H} is a maximal subgroup of $S_{G,\Delta}(M)$ and $S_{p_H} \cong_{\text{def}} N/H$.

Theorem 3

1. All maximal subgroups of $S_{G,\Delta}(M)$ are of this form.
2. If $S \subseteq S_G(M)$ is a maximal subgroup, then $S = \text{invlim}_{\Delta \in \text{Inv}} S_\Delta$ for some maximal subgroups $S_\Delta \subseteq S_{G,\Delta}(M)$.

Maximal subgroups of $S_G(M)$ and $S_{G,\Delta}(M)$

Example

Let $H < G$ be Δ -definable, Δ -connected (i.e. $Mlt_\Delta(H) = 1$)
(So: $\exists! p_H \in S_{G,\Delta}(M)$ generic of H .)

Let $N = N_G(H) < G$ and $S_{p_H} = \{n \cdot p_H : n \in N\} \subseteq S_{G,\Delta}(M)$.

Then S_{p_H} is a maximal subgroup of $S_{G,\Delta}(M)$ and $S_{p_H} \cong_{\text{def}} N/H$.

Theorem 3

1. All maximal subgroups of $S_{G,\Delta}(M)$ are of this form.
2. If $S \subseteq S_G(M)$ is a maximal subgroup, then $S = \text{invlim}_{\Delta \in \text{Inv}} S_\Delta$ for some maximal subgroups $S_\Delta \subseteq S_{G,\Delta}(M)$.

Maximal subgroups of $S_G(M)$ and $S_{G,\Delta}(M)$

Example

Let $H < G$ be Δ -definable, Δ -connected (i.e. $Mlt_\Delta(H) = 1$)
(So: $\exists! p_H \in S_{G,\Delta}(M)$ generic of H .)

Let $N = N_G(H) < G$ and $S_{p_H} = \{n \cdot p_H : n \in N\} \subseteq S_{G,\Delta}(M)$.

Then S_{p_H} is a maximal subgroup of $S_{G,\Delta}(M)$ and $S_{p_H} \cong_{\text{def}} N/H$.

Theorem 3

1. All maximal subgroups of $S_{G,\Delta}(M)$ are of this form.
2. If $S \subseteq S_G(M)$ is a maximal subgroup, then $S = \text{invlim}_{\Delta \in \text{Inv}} S_\Delta$ for some maximal subgroups $S_\Delta \subseteq S_{G,\Delta}(M)$.

Maximal subgroups of $S_G(M)$ and $S_{G,\Delta}(M)$

Example

Let $H < G$ be Δ -definable, Δ -connected (i.e. $Mlt_\Delta(H) = 1$)
(So: $\exists! p_H \in S_{G,\Delta}(M)$ generic of H .)

Let $N = N_G(H) < G$ and $S_{p_H} = \{n \cdot p_H : n \in N\} \subseteq S_{G,\Delta}(M)$.

Then S_{p_H} is a maximal subgroup of $S_{G,\Delta}(M)$ and $S_{p_H} \cong_{\text{def}} N/H$.

Theorem 3

1. All maximal subgroups of $S_{G,\Delta}(M)$ are of this form.
2. If $S \subseteq S_G(M)$ is a maximal subgroup, then $S = \text{invlim}_{\Delta \in \text{Inv}} S_\Delta$ for some maximal subgroups $S_\Delta \subseteq S_{G,\Delta}(M)$.

Maximal subgroups of $S_G(M)$ and $S_{G,\Delta}(M)$

Example

Let $H < G$ be Δ -definable, Δ -connected (i.e. $Mlt_\Delta(H) = 1$)
(So: $\exists! p_H \in S_{G,\Delta}(M)$ generic of H .)

Let $N = N_G(H) < G$ and $S_{p_H} = \{n \cdot p_H : n \in N\} \subseteq S_{G,\Delta}(M)$.

Then S_{p_H} is a maximal subgroup of $S_{G,\Delta}(M)$ and $S_{p_H} \cong_{\text{def}} N/H$.

Theorem 3

1. All maximal subgroups of $S_{G,\Delta}(M)$ are of this form.
2. If $S \subseteq S_G(M)$ is a maximal subgroup, then $S = \text{invlim}_{\Delta \in \text{Inv}} S_\Delta$ for some maximal subgroups $S_\Delta \subseteq S_{G,\Delta}(M)$.

Maximal subgroups of $S_G(M)$ and $S_{G,\Delta}(M)$

Example

Let $H < G$ be Δ -definable, Δ -connected (i.e. $Mlt_\Delta(H) = 1$)
(So: $\exists! p_H \in S_{G,\Delta}(M)$ generic of H .)

Let $N = N_G(H) < G$ and $S_{p_H} = \{n \cdot p_H : n \in N\} \subseteq S_{G,\Delta}(M)$.

Then S_{p_H} is a maximal subgroup of $S_{G,\Delta}(M)$ and $S_{p_H} \cong_{def} N/H$.

Theorem 3

1. All maximal subgroups of $S_{G,\Delta}(M)$ are of this form.
2. If $S \subseteq S_G(M)$ is a maximal subgroup, then $S = \text{invlim}_{\Delta \in \text{Inv}} S_\Delta$ for some maximal subgroups $S_\Delta \subseteq S_{G,\Delta}(M)$.

Maximal subgroups of $S_G(M)$ and $S_{G,\Delta}(M)$

Example

Let $H < G$ be Δ -definable, Δ -connected (i.e. $Mlt_\Delta(H) = 1$)
(So: $\exists! p_H \in S_{G,\Delta}(M)$ generic of H .)

Let $N = N_G(H) < G$ and $S_{p_H} = \{n \cdot p_H : n \in N\} \subseteq S_{G,\Delta}(M)$.

Then S_{p_H} is a maximal subgroup of $S_{G,\Delta}(M)$ and $S_{p_H} \cong_{def} N/H$.

Theorem 3

1. All maximal subgroups of $S_{G,\Delta}(M)$ are of this form.
2. If $S \subseteq S_G(M)$ is a maximal subgroup, then $S = \text{invlim}_{\Delta \in \text{Inv}} S_\Delta$ for some maximal subgroups $S_\Delta \subseteq S_{G,\Delta}(M)$.

Maximal subgroups of $S_G(M)$ and $S_{G,\Delta}(M)$

Example

Let $H < G$ be Δ -definable, Δ -connected (i.e. $Mlt_\Delta(H) = 1$)

(So: $\exists! p_H \in S_{G,\Delta}(M)$ generic of H .)

Let $N = N_G(H) < G$ and $S_{p_H} = \{n \cdot p_H : n \in N\} \subseteq S_{G,\Delta}(M)$.

Then S_{p_H} is a maximal subgroup of $S_{G,\Delta}(M)$ and $S_{p_H} \cong_{def} N/H$.

Theorem 3

1. All maximal subgroups of $S_{G,\Delta}(M)$ are of this form.
2. If $S \subseteq S_G(M)$ is a maximal subgroup, then $S = \text{invlim}_{\Delta \in \text{Inv}} S_\Delta$ for some maximal subgroups $S_\Delta \subseteq S_{G,\Delta}(M)$.

Types as functions

$$S_G(M) \ni p \rightsquigarrow d_p : \text{Def}_G(M) \rightarrow \text{Def}_G(M)$$

$$S_{G,\Delta}(M) \ni p \rightsquigarrow d_p : \text{Def}_{G,\Delta}(M) \rightarrow \text{Def}_{G,\Delta}(M)$$

$$d_p \rightsquigarrow \text{Ker}(d_p), \text{Im}(d_p)$$

$$\text{Ker}(d_p) = \{U \in \text{Def}_{G,\Delta}(M) : [U] \cap \text{cl}(Gp) = \emptyset\}$$

Idea

- The larger the type $p \in S_G(M)$, $p \in S_{G,\Delta}(M)$
- The smaller the flow $\text{cl}(Gp)$.
- The larger the kernel $\text{Ker}(d_p)$.
- The smaller the image $\text{Im}(d_p)$.
- The larger the (local) Morley rank of p .

Types as functions

$$S_G(M) \ni p \rightsquigarrow d_p : \text{Def}_G(M) \rightarrow \text{Def}_G(M)$$

$$S_{G,\Delta}(M) \ni p \rightsquigarrow d_p : \text{Def}_{G,\Delta}(M) \rightarrow \text{Def}_{G,\Delta}(M)$$

$$d_p \rightsquigarrow \text{Ker}(d_p), \text{Im}(d_p)$$

$$\text{Ker}(d_p) = \{U \in \text{Def}_{G,\Delta}(M) : [U] \cap \text{cl}(Gp) = \emptyset\}$$

Idea

- The larger the type $p \in S_G(M)$, $p \in S_{G,\Delta}(M)$
- The smaller the flow $\text{cl}(Gp)$.
- The larger the kernel $\text{Ker}(d_p)$.
- The smaller the image $\text{Im}(d_p)$.
- The larger the (local) Morley rank of p .

$$S_G(M) \ni p \rightsquigarrow d_p : \text{Def}_G(M) \rightarrow \text{Def}_G(M)$$

$$S_{G,\Delta}(M) \ni p \rightsquigarrow d_p : \text{Def}_{G,\Delta}(M) \rightarrow \text{Def}_{G,\Delta}(M)$$

$$d_p \rightsquigarrow \text{Ker}(d_p), \text{Im}(d_p)$$

$$\text{Ker}(d_p) = \{U \in \text{Def}_{G,\Delta}(M) : [U] \cap \text{cl}(Gp) = \emptyset\}$$

Idea

- The larger the type $p \in S_G(M)$, $p \in S_{G,\Delta}(M)$
- The smaller the flow $\text{cl}(Gp)$.
- The larger the kernel $\text{Ker}(d_p)$.
- The smaller the image $\text{Im}(d_p)$.
- The larger the (local) Morley rank of p .

$$S_G(M) \ni p \rightsquigarrow d_p : \text{Def}_G(M) \rightarrow \text{Def}_G(M)$$

$$S_{G,\Delta}(M) \ni p \rightsquigarrow d_p : \text{Def}_{G,\Delta}(M) \rightarrow \text{Def}_{G,\Delta}(M)$$

$$d_p \rightsquigarrow \text{Ker}(d_p), \text{Im}(d_p)$$

$$\text{Ker}(d_p) = \{U \in \text{Def}_{G,\Delta}(M) : [U] \cap \text{cl}(Gp) = \emptyset\}$$

Idea

- The larger the type $p \in S_G(M)$, $p \in S_{G,\Delta}(M)$
- The smaller the flow $\text{cl}(Gp)$.
- The larger the kernel $\text{Ker}(d_p)$.
- The smaller the image $\text{Im}(d_p)$.
- The larger the (local) Morley rank of p .

$$S_G(M) \ni p \rightsquigarrow d_p : \text{Def}_G(M) \rightarrow \text{Def}_G(M)$$

$$S_{G,\Delta}(M) \ni p \rightsquigarrow d_p : \text{Def}_{G,\Delta}(M) \rightarrow \text{Def}_{G,\Delta}(M)$$

$$d_p \rightsquigarrow \text{Ker}(d_p), \text{Im}(d_p)$$

$$\text{Ker}(d_p) = \{U \in \text{Def}_{G,\Delta}(M) : [U] \cap \text{cl}(Gp) = \emptyset\}$$

Idea

- The larger the type $p \in S_G(M)$, $p \in S_{G,\Delta}(M)$
- The smaller the flow $\text{cl}(Gp)$.
- The larger the kernel $\text{Ker}(d_p)$.
- The smaller the image $\text{Im}(d_p)$.
- The larger the (local) Morley rank of p .

Types as functions

$$S_G(M) \ni p \rightsquigarrow d_p : \text{Def}_G(M) \rightarrow \text{Def}_G(M)$$

$$S_{G,\Delta}(M) \ni p \rightsquigarrow d_p : \text{Def}_{G,\Delta}(M) \rightarrow \text{Def}_{G,\Delta}(M)$$

$$d_p \rightsquigarrow \text{Ker}(d_p), \text{Im}(d_p)$$

$$\text{Ker}(d_p) = \{U \in \text{Def}_{G,\Delta}(M) : [U] \cap \text{cl}(Gp) = \emptyset\}$$

Idea

- The larger the type $p \in S_G(M)$, $p \in S_{G,\Delta}(M)$
- The smaller the flow $\text{cl}(Gp)$.
- The larger the kernel $\text{Ker}(d_p)$.
- The smaller the image $\text{Im}(d_p)$.
- The larger the (local) Morley rank of p .

$$S_G(M) \ni p \rightsquigarrow d_p : \text{Def}_G(M) \rightarrow \text{Def}_G(M)$$

$$S_{G,\Delta}(M) \ni p \rightsquigarrow d_p : \text{Def}_{G,\Delta}(M) \rightarrow \text{Def}_{G,\Delta}(M)$$

$$d_p \rightsquigarrow \text{Ker}(d_p), \text{Im}(d_p)$$

$$\text{Ker}(d_p) = \{U \in \text{Def}_{G,\Delta}(M) : [U] \cap \text{cl}(Gp) = \emptyset\}$$

Idea

- The larger the type $p \in S_G(M)$, $p \in S_{G,\Delta}(M)$
- The smaller the flow $\text{cl}(Gp)$.
- The larger the kernel $\text{Ker}(d_p)$.
- The smaller the image $\text{Im}(d_p)$.
- The larger the (local) Morley rank of p .

$$S_G(M) \ni p \rightsquigarrow d_p : \text{Def}_G(M) \rightarrow \text{Def}_G(M)$$

$$S_{G,\Delta}(M) \ni p \rightsquigarrow d_p : \text{Def}_{G,\Delta}(M) \rightarrow \text{Def}_{G,\Delta}(M)$$

$$d_p \rightsquigarrow \text{Ker}(d_p), \text{Im}(d_p)$$

$$\text{Ker}(d_p) = \{U \in \text{Def}_{G,\Delta}(M) : [U] \cap \text{cl}(Gp) = \emptyset\}$$

Idea

- The larger the type $p \in S_G(M)$, $p \in S_{G,\Delta}(M)$
- The smaller the flow $\text{cl}(Gp)$.
- The larger the kernel $\text{Ker}(d_p)$.
- The smaller the image $\text{Im}(d_p)$.
- The larger the (local) Morley rank of p .

Types as functions

$\text{Ker}(d_p), \text{Im}(d_p)$: measures of the size of p .

Let $p \in S_G(M)$ (or $p \in S_{G,\Delta}(M)$...)

Let $p^{*n} = \underbrace{p * \cdots * p}_n$. So $d_{p^{*n}} = \underbrace{d_p \circ \cdots \circ d_p}_n$.

Let $R(p) = \langle RM_\Delta(p) : \Delta \in \text{Inv} \rangle$.

Lemma

1. $R(p^{*n})$ grow (coordinatewise), $\text{Ker}(d_{p^{*n}})$ grow and $\text{Im}(d_{p^{*n}})$ shrink with $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$
2. The growth/shrinking of these three sequences is strictly correlated.

Theorem 4

Let $p \in S_G(M)$. Then p is "profinutely many steps away" from a translate of a generic type of a connected type-definable subgroup of G .

Types as functions

$\text{Ker}(d_p), \text{Im}(d_p)$: measures of the size of p .

Let $p \in S_G(M)$ (or $p \in S_{G,\Delta}(M)$...)

Let $p^{*n} = \underbrace{p * \dots * p}_n$. So $d_{p^{*n}} = \underbrace{d_p \circ \dots \circ d_p}_n$.

Let $R(p) = \langle RM_\Delta(p) : \Delta \in \text{Inv} \rangle$.

Lemma

1. $R(p^{*n})$ grow (coordinatewise), $\text{Ker}(d_{p^{*n}})$ grow and $\text{Im}(d_{p^{*n}})$ shrink with $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$
2. The growth/shrinking of these three sequences is strictly correlated.

Theorem 4

Let $p \in S_G(M)$. Then p is "profinutely many steps away" from a translate of a generic type of a connected type-definable subgroup of G .

Types as functions

$\text{Ker}(d_p), \text{Im}(d_p)$: measures of the size of p .

Let $p \in S_G(M)$ (or $p \in S_{G,\Delta}(M)$...)

Let $p^{*n} = \underbrace{p * \cdots * p}_n$. So $d_{p^{*n}} = \underbrace{d_p \circ \cdots \circ d_p}_n$.

Let $R(p) = \langle RM_\Delta(p) : \Delta \in \text{Inv} \rangle$.

Lemma

1. $R(p^{*n})$ grow (coordinatewise), $\text{Ker}(d_{p^{*n}})$ grow and $\text{Im}(d_{p^{*n}})$ shrink with $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$
2. The growth/shrinking of these three sequences is strictly correlated.

Theorem 4

Let $p \in S_G(M)$. Then p is "profinutely many steps away" from a translate of a generic type of a connected type-definable subgroup of G .

Types as functions

$\text{Ker}(d_p), \text{Im}(d_p)$: measures of the size of p .

Let $p \in S_G(M)$ (or $p \in S_{G,\Delta}(M)$...)

Let $p^{*n} = \underbrace{p * \dots * p}_n$. So $d_{p^{*n}} = \underbrace{d_p \circ \dots \circ d_p}_n$.

Let $R(p) = \langle RM_\Delta(p) : \Delta \in \text{Inv} \rangle$.

Lemma

1. $R(p^{*n})$ grow (coordinatewise), $\text{Ker}(d_{p^{*n}})$ grow and $\text{Im}(d_{p^{*n}})$ shrink with $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$
2. The growth/shrinking of these three sequences is strictly correlated.

Theorem 4

Let $p \in S_G(M)$. Then p is "profinutely many steps away" from a translate of a generic type of a connected type-definable subgroup of G .

Types as functions

$\text{Ker}(d_p), \text{Im}(d_p)$: measures of the size of p .

Let $p \in S_G(M)$ (or $p \in S_{G,\Delta}(M)$...)

Let $p^{*n} = \underbrace{p * \dots * p}_n$. So $d_{p^{*n}} = \underbrace{d_p \circ \dots \circ d_p}_n$.

Let $R(p) = \langle RM_\Delta(p) : \Delta \in \text{Inv} \rangle$.

Lemma

1. $R(p^{*n})$ grow (coordinatewise), $\text{Ker}(d_{p^{*n}})$ grow and $\text{Im}(d_{p^{*n}})$ shrink with $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$
2. The growth/shrinking of these three sequences is strictly correlated.

Theorem 4

Let $p \in S_G(M)$. Then p is "profinutely many steps away" from a translate of a generic type of a connected type-definable subgroup of G .

Types as functions

$Ker(d_p), Im(d_p)$: measures of the size of p .

Let $p \in S_G(M)$ (or $p \in S_{G,\Delta}(M)$...)

Let $p^{*n} = \underbrace{p * \dots * p}_n$. So $d_{p^{*n}} = \underbrace{d_p \circ \dots \circ d_p}_n$.

Let $R(p) = \langle RM_\Delta(p) : \Delta \in Inv \rangle$.

Lemma

1. $R(p^{*n})$ grow (coordinatewise), $Ker(d_{p^{*n}})$ grow and $Im(d_{p^{*n}})$ shrink with $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$
2. The growth/shrinking of these three sequences is strictly correlated.

Theorem 4

Let $p \in S_G(M)$. Then p is "profinutely many steps away" from a translate of a generic type of a connected type-definable subgroup of G .

Types as functions

$\text{Ker}(d_p), \text{Im}(d_p)$: measures of the size of p .

Let $p \in S_G(M)$ (or $p \in S_{G,\Delta}(M)$...)

Let $p^{*n} = \underbrace{p * \dots * p}_n$. So $d_{p^{*n}} = \underbrace{d_p \circ \dots \circ d_p}_n$.

Let $R(p) = \langle RM_\Delta(p) : \Delta \in \text{Inv} \rangle$.

Lemma

1. $R(p^{*n})$ grow (coordinatewise), $\text{Ker}(d_{p^{*n}})$ grow and $\text{Im}(d_{p^{*n}})$ shrink with $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$
2. The growth/shrinking of these three sequences is strictly correlated.

Theorem 4

Let $p \in S_G(M)$. Then p is "profinutely many steps away" from a translate of a generic type of a connected type-definable subgroup of G .

Types as functions

$\text{Ker}(d_p), \text{Im}(d_p)$: measures of the size of p .

Let $p \in S_G(M)$ (or $p \in S_{G,\Delta}(M)$...)

Let $p^{*n} = \underbrace{p * \cdots * p}_n$. So $d_{p^{*n}} = \underbrace{d_p \circ \cdots \circ d_p}_n$.

Let $R(p) = \langle RM_\Delta(p) : \Delta \in \text{Inv} \rangle$.

Lemma

1. $R(p^{*n})$ grow (coordinatewise), $\text{Ker}(d_{p^{*n}})$ grow and $\text{Im}(d_{p^{*n}})$ shrink with $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$
2. The growth/shrinking of these three sequences is strictly correlated.

Theorem 4

Let $p \in S_G(M)$. Then p is "profinutely many steps away" from a translate of a generic type of a connected type-definable subgroup of G .

Types as functions

$Ker(d_p), Im(d_p)$: measures of the size of p .

Let $p \in S_G(M)$ (or $p \in S_{G,\Delta}(M)$...)

Let $p^{*n} = \underbrace{p * \dots * p}_n$. So $d_{p^{*n}} = \underbrace{d_p \circ \dots \circ d_p}_n$.

Let $R(p) = \langle RM_\Delta(p) : \Delta \in Inv \rangle$.

Lemma

1. $R(p^{*n})$ grow (coordinatewise), $Ker(d_{p^{*n}})$ grow and $Im(d_{p^{*n}})$ shrink with $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$
2. The growth/shrinking of these three sequences is strictly correlated.

Theorem 4

Let $p \in S_G(M)$. Then p is "profinutely many steps away" from a translate of a generic type of a connected type-definable subgroup of G .

Proof of Theorem 4

Let $\Delta \in \text{Inv}$, $n_\Delta = RM_\Delta(G)$, $p_\Delta = p|_\Delta \in S_{G,\Delta}(M)$. Then:

- 1 $p_\Delta^{*n_\Delta} \in$ a maximal subgroup S of $S_{G,\Delta}(M)$.
- 2 $p_\Delta^{*n_\Delta}$ is a translate of a generic type of a Δ -definable Δ -connected group $H < G$
- 3 For every $l \geq n_\Delta$, items 1. and 2. hold for p_Δ^{*l} in place of $p_\Delta^{*n_\Delta}$, with the same S and H .

Corollary

$$S_{G,\Delta}(M)^{n_\Delta} = \bigcup \{\text{subgroups of } S_{G,\Delta}(M)\}.$$

Proof of Theorem 4

Let $\Delta \in \text{Inv}$, $n_\Delta = RM_\Delta(G)$, $p_\Delta = p|_\Delta \in S_{G,\Delta}(M)$. Then:

- 1 $p_\Delta^{*n_\Delta} \in$ a maximal subgroup S of $S_{G,\Delta}(M)$.
- 2 $p_\Delta^{*n_\Delta}$ is a translate of a generic type of a Δ -definable Δ -connected group $H < G$
- 3 For every $l \geq n_\Delta$, items 1. and 2. hold for p_Δ^{*l} in place of $p_\Delta^{*n_\Delta}$, with the same S and H .

Corollary

$$S_{G,\Delta}(M)^{n_\Delta} = \bigcup \{\text{subgroups of } S_{G,\Delta}(M)\}.$$

Proof of Theorem 4

Let $\Delta \in \text{Inv}$, $n_\Delta = RM_\Delta(G)$, $p_\Delta = p|_\Delta \in S_{G,\Delta}(M)$. Then:

- 1 $p_\Delta^{*n_\Delta} \in$ a maximal subgroup S of $S_{G,\Delta}(M)$.
- 2 $p_\Delta^{*n_\Delta}$ is a translate of a generic type of a Δ -definable Δ -connected group $H < G$
- 3 For every $l \geq n_\Delta$, items 1. and 2. hold for p_Δ^{*l} in place of $p_\Delta^{*n_\Delta}$, with the same S and H .

Corollary

$$S_{G,\Delta}(M)^{n_\Delta} = \bigcup \{\text{subgroups of } S_{G,\Delta}(M)\}.$$

Let $\Delta \in \text{Inv}$, $n_\Delta = \text{RM}_\Delta(G)$, $p_\Delta = p|_\Delta \in S_{G,\Delta}(M)$. Then:

- 1 $p_\Delta^{*n_\Delta} \in$ a maximal subgroup S of $S_{G,\Delta}(M)$.
- 2 $p_\Delta^{*n_\Delta}$ is a translate of a generic type of a Δ -definable Δ -connected group $H < G$
- 3 For every $l \geq n_\Delta$, items 1. and 2. hold for p_Δ^{*l} in place of $p_\Delta^{*n_\Delta}$, with the same S and H .

Corollary

$$S_{G,\Delta}(M)^{n_\Delta} = \bigcup \{\text{subgroups of } S_{G,\Delta}(M)\}.$$

Let $\Delta \in \text{Inv}$, $n_\Delta = RM_\Delta(G)$, $p_\Delta = p|_\Delta \in S_{G,\Delta}(M)$. Then:

- 1 $p_\Delta^{*n_\Delta} \in$ a maximal subgroup S of $S_{G,\Delta}(M)$.
- 2 $p_\Delta^{*n_\Delta}$ is a translate of a generic type of a Δ -definable Δ -connected group $H < G$
- 3 For every $l \geq n_\Delta$, items 1. and 2. hold for p_Δ^{*l} in place of $p_\Delta^{*n_\Delta}$, with the same S and H .

Corollary

$$S_{G,\Delta}(M)^{n_\Delta} = \bigcup \{\text{subgroups of } S_{G,\Delta}(M)\}.$$