

# Continuous model theory and the classification problem

Bradd Hart  
McMaster University

Joint work with Ilijas Farah

June 10, 2013

# Outline

- Basics of  $C^*$ -algebras
- Reminder about continuous model theory
- Basic model theory of operator algebras
- The Elliott classification problem
- More advanced  $C^*$ -algebra basics
- A model theory conjecture

# C\*-algebra basics

## Definition

A C\*-algebra is a \*-subalgebra  $A$  of the bounded linear operators  $B(H)$  on a complex Hilbert space  $H$  which is closed in the operator norm topology. Alternatively, a C\*-algebra is a Banach \*-algebra  $A$  which satisfies the C\*-identity  $\|a^* a\| = \|a\|^2$  for all  $a \in A$ .

# C\*-algebra basics

## Definition

A C\*-algebra is a \*-subalgebra  $A$  of the bounded linear operators  $B(H)$  on a complex Hilbert space  $H$  which is closed in the operator norm topology. Alternatively, a C\*-algebra is a Banach \*-algebra  $A$  which satisfies the C\*-identity  $\|a^* a\| = \|a\|^2$  for all  $a \in A$ .

# C\*-algebra basics

## Definition

A C\*-algebra is a \*-subalgebra  $A$  of the bounded linear operators  $B(H)$  on a complex Hilbert space  $H$  which is closed in the operator norm topology. Alternatively, a C\*-algebra is a Banach \*-algebra  $A$  which satisfies the C\*-identity  $\|a^*a\| = \|a\|^2$  for all  $a \in A$ .

The first sentence defines a concrete representation of a C\*-algebra and the second gives an abstract definition.

## Theorem (Gel'fand, Naimark, Sigal)

*Every abstract C\*-algebra has a concrete representation.*

# C\*-algebra basics

## Definition

A C\*-algebra is a \*-subalgebra  $A$  of the bounded linear operators  $B(H)$  on a complex Hilbert space  $H$  which is closed in the operator norm topology. Alternatively, a C\*-algebra is a Banach \*-algebra  $A$  which satisfies the C\*-identity  $\|a^*a\| = \|a\|^2$  for all  $a \in A$ .

The first sentence defines a concrete representation of a C\*-algebra and the second gives an abstract definition.

## Theorem (Gel'fand, Naimark, Sigal)

*Every abstract C\*-algebra has a concrete representation.*

# C\*-algebra basics

## Definition

A C\*-algebra is a \*-subalgebra  $A$  of the bounded linear operators  $B(H)$  on a complex Hilbert space  $H$  which is closed in the operator norm topology. Alternatively, a C\*-algebra is a Banach \*-algebra  $A$  which satisfies the C\*-identity  $\|a^*a\| = \|a\|^2$  for all  $a \in A$ .

The first sentence defines a concrete representation of a C\*-algebra and the second gives an abstract definition.

## Theorem (Gel'fand, Naimark, Sigal)

*Every abstract C\*-algebra has a concrete representation.*

## Examples:

- $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ ; in general,  $B(H)$ ;  $C_0(X)$  for any locally compact space  $X$   
- these form all the commutative C\*-algebras.

# C\*-algebra basics

## Definition

A C\*-algebra is a \*-subalgebra  $A$  of the bounded linear operators  $B(H)$  on a complex Hilbert space  $H$  which is closed in the operator norm topology. Alternatively, a C\*-algebra is a Banach \*-algebra  $A$  which satisfies the C\*-identity  $\|a^*a\| = \|a\|^2$  for all  $a \in A$ .

The first sentence defines a concrete representation of a C\*-algebra and the second gives an abstract definition.

## Theorem (Gel'fand, Naimark, Sigal)

*Every abstract C\*-algebra has a concrete representation.*

## Examples:

- $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ ; in general,  $B(H)$ ;  $C_0(X)$  for any locally compact space  $X$  - these form all the commutative C\*-algebras.
- C\*-algebras are closed under inductive limits where the relevant morphisms are \*-homomorphisms.



# C\*-algebra basics

## Definition

A C\*-algebra is a \*-subalgebra  $A$  of the bounded linear operators  $B(H)$  on a complex Hilbert space  $H$  which is closed in the operator norm topology. Alternatively, a C\*-algebra is a Banach \*-algebra  $A$  which satisfies the C\*-identity  $\|a^*a\| = \|a\|^2$  for all  $a \in A$ .

The first sentence defines a concrete representation of a C\*-algebra and the second gives an abstract definition.

## Theorem (Gel'fand, Naimark, Sigal)

*Every abstract C\*-algebra has a concrete representation.*

## Examples:

- $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ ; in general,  $B(H)$ ;  $C_0(X)$  for any locally compact space  $X$   
- these form all the commutative C\*-algebras.
- C\*-algebras are closed under inductive limits where the relevant morphisms are \*-homomorphisms.
- C\*-algebras are closed under tensor products but ...

# Spectral Theorem

## Definition

If  $A$  is a unital  $C^*$ -algebra and  $a \in A$  then  $sp(a)$ , the spectrum of  $a$ , is the set of  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $a - \lambda I$  is not invertible.

# Spectral Theorem

## Definition

If  $A$  is a unital  $C^*$ -algebra and  $a \in A$  then  $sp(a)$ , the spectrum of  $a$ , is the set of  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $a - \lambda I$  is not invertible.

## Theorem (Spectral Theorem)

*Suppose  $A$  is a unital  $C^*$ -algebra and  $a \in A$  is self-adjoint ( $a^* = a$ ) then  $C^*(a)$ , the  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $A$  generated by  $a$  and  $I$  is isomorphic to  $C(sp(a))$  via the map which sends  $a$  to the identity and  $I$  to 1.*

# Spectral Theorem

## Definition

If  $A$  is a unital  $C^*$ -algebra and  $a \in A$  then  $sp(a)$ , the spectrum of  $a$ , is the set of  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $a - \lambda I$  is not invertible.

## Theorem (Spectral Theorem)

*Suppose  $A$  is a unital  $C^*$ -algebra and  $a \in A$  is self-adjoint ( $a^* = a$ ) then  $C^*(a)$ , the  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $A$  generated by  $a$  and  $I$  is isomorphic to  $C(sp(a))$  via the map which sends  $a$  to the identity and  $I$  to 1.*

# Spectral Theorem

## Definition

If  $A$  is a unital  $C^*$ -algebra and  $a \in A$  then  $sp(a)$ , the spectrum of  $a$ , is the set of  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $a - \lambda I$  is not invertible.

## Theorem (Spectral Theorem)

*Suppose  $A$  is a unital  $C^*$ -algebra and  $a \in A$  is self-adjoint ( $a^* = a$ ) then  $C^*(a)$ , the  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $A$  generated by  $a$  and  $I$  is isomorphic to  $C(sp(a))$  via the map which sends  $a$  to the identity and  $I$  to 1.*

**Example:** If  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra and  $p \in A$ , we call  $p$  a projection if  $p^2 = p$  ( $= p^*$ ).

**Claim:** For every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $a$  is self-adjoint and  $\|a^2 - a\| < \delta$  then there is a projection  $p$  such that  $\|p - a\| < \epsilon$ .

## Continuous model theory of $C^*$ -algebras

- A  $C^*$ -algebra can be thought of as a metric structure by introducing a sort for each ball of operator norm  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ .

## Continuous model theory of $C^*$ -algebras

- A  $C^*$ -algebra can be thought of as a metric structure by introducing a sort for each ball of operator norm  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- One has function symbols for the sorted operations of  $+$ ,  $\cdot$  and  $*$  as well as the unary operations of multiplication by  $\lambda$  for every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . It is sometimes useful to consider an expanded language in which one has a function symbol for every  $*$ -polynomial (again properly sorted).

## Continuous model theory of $C^*$ -algebras

- A  $C^*$ -algebra can be thought of as a metric structure by introducing a sort for each ball of operator norm  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- One has function symbols for the sorted operations of  $+$ ,  $\cdot$  and  $*$  as well as the unary operations of multiplication by  $\lambda$  for every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . It is sometimes useful to consider an expanded language in which one has a function symbol for every  $*$ -polynomial (again properly sorted).
- The only relation symbol is the operator norm  $\| \cdot \|$ .



## Continuous model theory of $C^*$ -algebras

- A  $C^*$ -algebra can be thought of as a metric structure by introducing a sort for each ball of operator norm  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- One has function symbols for the sorted operations of  $+$ ,  $\cdot$  and  $*$  as well as the unary operations of multiplication by  $\lambda$  for every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . It is sometimes useful to consider an expanded language in which one has a function symbol for every  $*$ -polynomial (again properly sorted).
- The only relation symbol is the operator norm  $\|\cdot\|$ .
- The basic formulas of continuous logic which are relevant here are  $\|p(\bar{x})\|$  where  $p(\bar{x})$  is a  $*$ -polynomial.

## Continuous model theory of $C^*$ -algebras

- A  $C^*$ -algebra can be thought of as a metric structure by introducing a sort for each ball of operator norm  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- One has function symbols for the sorted operations of  $+$ ,  $\cdot$  and  $*$  as well as the unary operations of multiplication by  $\lambda$  for every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . It is sometimes useful to consider an expanded language in which one has a function symbol for every  $*$ -polynomial (again properly sorted).
- The only relation symbol is the operator norm  $\|\cdot\|$ .
- The basic formulas of continuous logic which are relevant here are  $\|p(\bar{x})\|$  where  $p(\bar{x})$  is a  $*$ -polynomial.
- Formulas are closed under composition with continuous real-valued functions; moreover, if  $\varphi$  is a formula then so is  $\sup_{x \in B_N} \varphi$  or  $\inf_{x \in B_N} \varphi$ . The interpretation of these formulas in a  $C^*$ -algebra is standard.

## The theory of $C^*$ -algebras

- Notice that if  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra,  $\bar{a} \in A$  and  $\varphi$  is a formula then  $\varphi^A(\bar{a})$  is a number. In particular, if  $\varphi$  is a sentence then  $\varphi^A \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- $Th(A)$ , the theory of an algebra, is the function which to every sentence  $\varphi$  assigns  $\varphi^A$ . A theory is determined by its zero set.
- We say that a class of structures  $K$  is elementary if there is a set of sentences  $T$  such that  $A \in K$  iff  $\varphi^A = 0$  for all  $\varphi \in T$ .

# The theory of $C^*$ -algebras

- Notice that if  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra,  $\bar{a} \in A$  and  $\varphi$  is a formula then  $\varphi^A(\bar{a})$  is a number. In particular, if  $\varphi$  is a sentence then  $\varphi^A \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- $Th(A)$ , the theory of an algebra, is the function which to every sentence  $\varphi$  assigns  $\varphi^A$ . A theory is determined by its zero set.
- We say that a class of structures  $K$  is elementary if there is a set of sentences  $T$  such that  $A \in K$  iff  $\varphi^A = 0$  for all  $\varphi \in T$ .

## Theorem

*The class of  $C^*$ -algebras is an elementary class. In fact, in the appropriate language it is a universal class.*

# Ultraproducts

- If  $A_i$  for  $i \in I$  are  $C^*$ -algebras and  $U$  is an ultrafilter on  $I$ , one forms the norm ultraproduct as follows:
- Let

$$\ell^\infty\left(\prod_{i \in I} A_i\right) = \{\bar{a} \in \prod_{i \in I} A_i : \text{for some } M, \|a_i\| \leq M \text{ for all } i \in I\}$$

and

$$c_U = \{\bar{a} \in \ell^\infty\left(\prod_{i \in I} A_i\right) : \lim_{i \rightarrow U} \|a_i\| = 0\}$$

- The ultraproduct is then  $\prod_{i \in I} A_i / U := \ell^\infty\left(\prod_{i \in I} A_i\right) / c_U$ .

# Definable zero sets

## Definition

Suppose that  $M$  is a metric structure and  $\varphi(\bar{x})$  is a formula. We say that  $\varphi$  has a definable zero set if the distance function to the zero set of  $\varphi$ ,  $\{\bar{a} \in M : \varphi^M(\bar{a}) = 0\}$ , is given by a definable predicate in  $M$  i.e. a uniform limit of formulas.

# Definable zero sets

## Definition

Suppose that  $M$  is a metric structure and  $\varphi(\bar{x})$  is a formula. We say that  $\varphi$  has a definable zero set if the distance function to the zero set of  $\varphi$ ,  $\{\bar{a} \in M : \varphi^M(\bar{a}) = 0\}$ , is given by a definable predicate in  $M$  i.e. a uniform limit of formulas.

## Theorem

*For a metric structure  $M$  and a formula  $\varphi$ , the following are equivalent:*

- *$\varphi$  has a definable zero set.*
- *The zero set of  $\varphi$  can be quantified over.*

# Stable relations

## Definition

In the language of  $C^*$ -algebras, a formula  $\varphi(\bar{x})$ , or its zero set, is called a stable relation if for every  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  and for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $\bar{a} \in A$  and  $|\varphi(\bar{a})| < \delta$  then there is  $\bar{b} \in A$  such that  $\varphi(\bar{b}) = 0$  and  $\|\bar{a} - \bar{b}\| < \epsilon$ .



# Stable relations

## Definition

In the language of  $C^*$ -algebras, a formula  $\varphi(\bar{x})$ , or its zero set, is called a stable relation if for every  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  and for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $\bar{a} \in A$  and  $|\varphi(\bar{a})| < \delta$  then there is  $\bar{b} \in A$  such that  $\varphi(\bar{b}) = 0$  and  $\|\bar{a} - \bar{b}\| < \epsilon$ .

## Lemma

*Among  $C^*$ -algebras, the notions of stable relation and definable zero set are the same.*

# Stable relations

## Definition

In the language of  $C^*$ -algebras, a formula  $\varphi(\bar{x})$ , or its zero set, is called a stable relation if for every  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  and for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $\bar{a} \in A$  and  $|\varphi(\bar{a})| < \delta$  then there is  $\bar{b} \in A$  such that  $\varphi(\bar{b}) = 0$  and  $\|\bar{a} - \bar{b}\| < \epsilon$ .

## Lemma

*Among  $C^*$ -algebras, the notions of stable relation and definable zero set are the same.*

# Stable relations

## Definition

In the language of  $C^*$ -algebras, a formula  $\varphi(\bar{x})$ , or its zero set, is called a stable relation if for every  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  and for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $\bar{a} \in A$  and  $|\varphi(\bar{a})| < \delta$  then there is  $\bar{b} \in A$  such that  $\varphi(\bar{b}) = 0$  and  $\|\bar{a} - \bar{b}\| < \epsilon$ .

## Lemma

*Among  $C^*$ -algebras, the notions of stable relation and definable zero set are the same.*

Examples of stable relations:

- the set of projections.

# Stable relations

## Definition

In the language of  $C^*$ -algebras, a formula  $\varphi(\bar{x})$ , or its zero set, is called a stable relation if for every  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  and for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $\bar{a} \in A$  and  $|\varphi(\bar{a})| < \delta$  then there is  $\bar{b} \in A$  such that  $\varphi(\bar{b}) = 0$  and  $\|\bar{a} - \bar{b}\| < \epsilon$ .

## Lemma

*Among  $C^*$ -algebras, the notions of stable relation and definable zero set are the same.*

## Examples of stable relations:

- the set of projections.
- the sets of self-adjoint elements, unitary elements ( $u^*u = uu^* = 1$ ), positive elements ( $a^*a$ ); in general, the range of any term.

# Stable relations

## Definition

In the language of  $C^*$ -algebras, a formula  $\varphi(\bar{x})$ , or its zero set, is called a stable relation if for every  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  and for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $\bar{a} \in A$  and  $|\varphi(\bar{a})| < \delta$  then there is  $\bar{b} \in A$  such that  $\varphi(\bar{b}) = 0$  and  $\|\bar{a} - \bar{b}\| < \epsilon$ .

## Lemma

*Among  $C^*$ -algebras, the notions of stable relation and definable zero set are the same.*

## Examples of stable relations:

- the set of projections.
- the sets of self-adjoint elements, unitary elements ( $u^*u = uu^* = 1$ ), positive elements ( $a^*a$ ); in general, the range of any term.
- the sets of generators for subalgebras isomorphic to  $M_n(C)$ , for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  or, in general, any finite-dimensional algebra.

# The classification programme for nuclear $C^*$ -algebras

## The Elliott conjecture

The isomorphism type of a simple, separable, infinite-dimensional, unital nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra is determined by its K-theory.

# The classification programme for nuclear $C^*$ -algebras

## The Elliott conjecture

The isomorphism type of a simple, separable, infinite-dimensional, unital nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra is determined by its K-theory.

- For a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , there is an invariant called the Elliott invariant which for the record is defined as:

$$Ell(A) = ((K_0(A), K_0^+(A), [1_A]), K_1(A), Tr(A), \rho_A)$$

# The classification programme for nuclear $C^*$ -algebras

## The Elliott conjecture

The isomorphism type of a simple, separable, infinite-dimensional, unital nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra is determined by its K-theory.

- For a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , there is an invariant called the Elliott invariant which for the record is defined as:

$$Ell(A) = ((K_0(A), K_0^+(A), [1_A]), K_1(A), Tr(A), \rho_A)$$

- There are other invariants which come up like KK-theory and the Cuntz semi-group but I won't focus on them.



# Nuclear algebras

## Definition

A  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is called nuclear if for all  $C^*$ -algebras  $B$ ,  $A \bar{\otimes} B$  is uniquely defined.

Examples:

# Nuclear algebras

## Definition

A  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is called nuclear if for all  $C^*$ -algebras  $B$ ,  $A \bar{\otimes} B$  is uniquely defined.

## Examples:

- All abelian  $C^*$ -algebras are nuclear.

# Nuclear algebras

## Definition

A  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is called nuclear if for all  $C^*$ -algebras  $B$ ,  $A \bar{\otimes} B$  is uniquely defined.

## Examples:

- All abelian  $C^*$ -algebras are nuclear.
- $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  is nuclear but  $B(H)$  for an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space  $H$  is not nuclear.

# Nuclear algebras

## Definition

A  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is called nuclear if for all  $C^*$ -algebras  $B$ ,  $A \bar{\otimes} B$  is uniquely defined.

## Examples:

- All abelian  $C^*$ -algebras are nuclear.
- $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  is nuclear but  $B(H)$  for an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space  $H$  is not nuclear.
- The class of nuclear algebras is closed under tensor products hence  $M_n(C(X))$  is nuclear for any compact space  $X$ .

# Nuclear algebras

## Definition

A  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is called nuclear if for all  $C^*$ -algebras  $B$ ,  $A \bar{\otimes} B$  is uniquely defined.

## Examples:

- All abelian  $C^*$ -algebras are nuclear.
- $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  is nuclear but  $B(H)$  for an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space  $H$  is not nuclear.
- The class of nuclear algebras is closed under tensor products hence  $M_n(C(X))$  is nuclear for any compact space  $X$ .
- The class of nuclear algebras is closed under inductive limits; UHF (uniformly hyperfinite) algebras are limits of matrix algebras; AF (approximately finite dimensional) algebras are limits of finite-dimensional algebras.

# Nuclear algebras

## Definition

A  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is called nuclear if for all  $C^*$ -algebras  $B$ ,  $A \bar{\otimes} B$  is uniquely defined.

## Examples:

- All abelian  $C^*$ -algebras are nuclear.
- $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  is nuclear but  $B(H)$  for an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space  $H$  is not nuclear.
- The class of nuclear algebras is closed under tensor products hence  $M_n(C(X))$  is nuclear for any compact space  $X$ .
- The class of nuclear algebras is closed under inductive limits; UHF (uniformly hyperfinite) algebras are limits of matrix algebras; AF (approximately finite dimensional) algebras are limits of finite-dimensional algebras.
- The class of nuclear algebras is not closed under ultraproducts or even ultrapowers.

## Nuclear algebras, cont'd

### Definition

- A element of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is said to be positive if it is of the form  $a^*a$  for some  $a \in A$ .
- A linear map  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is positive if whenever  $a \in A$  is positive then so is  $f(a)$ .
- A linear map  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is completely positive if the induced map from  $M_n(A)$  to  $M_n(B)$  is positive for all  $n$ .
- A map  $f$  is contractive if  $\|f\| \leq 1$ .

## Nuclear algebras, cont'd

### Definition

- A element of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is said to be positive if it is of the form  $a^*a$  for some  $a \in A$ .
- A linear map  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is positive if whenever  $a \in A$  is positive then so is  $f(a)$ .
- A linear map  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is completely positive if the induced map from  $M_n(A)$  to  $M_n(B)$  is positive for all  $n$ .
- A map  $f$  is contractive if  $\|f\| \leq 1$ .

### Theorem (Stinespring)

*For any completely positive map  $f : A \rightarrow B(H)$  there is a Hilbert space  $K$ ,  $*$ -homomorphism  $\pi : A \rightarrow B(K)$  and  $V \in B(K, H)$  such that  $f(a) = V\pi(a)V^*$ .*



# Nuclear algebras: good news and bad news

## Definition

A  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  has the contractive positive approximation property (CPAP) if for every  $\bar{a} \in A$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  there is an  $n$  and cpc maps  $\sigma : A \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$  and  $\tau : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow A$  such that  $\|\bar{a} - \tau(\sigma(\bar{a}))\| < \epsilon$ .

# Nuclear algebras: good news and bad news

## Definition

A  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  has the contractive positive approximation property (CPAP) if for every  $\bar{a} \in A$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  there is an  $n$  and cpc maps  $\sigma : A \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$  and  $\tau : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow A$  such that  $\|\bar{a} - \tau(\sigma(\bar{a}))\| < \epsilon$ .

## Theorem (Choi-Effros, Kirchberg)

*A  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is nuclear iff it satisfies the CPAP.*

# Nuclear algebras: good news and bad news

## Definition

A  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  has the contractive positive approximation property (CPAP) if for every  $\bar{a} \in A$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  there is an  $n$  and cpc maps  $\sigma : A \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$  and  $\tau : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow A$  such that  $\|\bar{a} - \tau(\sigma(\bar{a}))\| < \epsilon$ .

## Theorem (Choi-Effros, Kirchberg)

*A  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is nuclear iff it satisfies the CPAP.*

## Theorem

*There are countably many partial types such that a  $C^*$ -algebra is nuclear iff it omits all of these types.*

## The definition of $K_0$

### Definition

For any  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , consider the equivalence relation  $\sim$  on projections in  $A$  given by  $p \sim q$  iff there is some  $v \in A$ ,  $vpv^* = q$  and  $v^*qv = p$ .

Consider the (non-unital)  $*$ -homomorphism  $\Phi_n : M_n(A) \rightarrow M_{n+1}(A)$  defined by

$$a \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and let  $M_\infty = \lim_n M_n(A)$ . We should really complete this ...

Let  $V(A) = \text{Proj}(M_\infty(A))/\sim$ .

$V(A)$  has an additive structure defined as follows: if  $p, q \in V(A)$  then  $p \oplus q$  is

$$\begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & q \end{pmatrix}$$

## The definition of $K_0$ , cont'd

### Definition

$K_0(A)$  is the Grothendieck group generated from  $(V(A), \oplus)$  and  $K_0^+(A)$  is the image of  $V(A)$  in  $K_0(A)$ ; if  $A$  is unital then the constant  $[1_A]$  corresponds to the identity in  $A$ .

Examples:

## The definition of $K_0$ , cont'd

### Definition

$K_0(A)$  is the Grothendieck group generated from  $(V(A), \oplus)$  and  $K_0^+(A)$  is the image of  $V(A)$  in  $K_0(A)$ ; if  $A$  is unital then the constant  $[1_A]$  corresponds to the identity in  $A$ .

### Examples:

- $K_0(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$  is  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{N}, n)$ .

## The definition of $K_0$ , cont'd

### Definition

$K_0(A)$  is the Grothendieck group generated from  $(V(A), \oplus)$  and  $K_0^+(A)$  is the image of  $V(A)$  in  $K_0(A)$ ; if  $A$  is unital then the constant  $[1_A]$  corresponds to the identity in  $A$ .

### Examples:

- $K_0(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$  is  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{N}, n)$ .
- If  $H$  is infinite-dimensional then  $K_0(B(H))$  is 0.

## The definition of $K_0$ , cont'd

### Definition

$K_0(A)$  is the Grothendieck group generated from  $(V(A), \oplus)$  and  $K_0^+(A)$  is the image of  $V(A)$  in  $K_0(A)$ ; if  $A$  is unital then the constant  $[1_A]$  corresponds to the identity in  $A$ .

### Examples:

- $K_0(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$  is  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{N}, n)$ .
- If  $H$  is infinite-dimensional then  $K_0(B(H))$  is 0.
- Consider  $A = \lim_n M_{2^n}(\mathbb{C})$  where the given morphisms are  $M_{2^n}(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow M_{2^{n+1}}(\mathbb{C})$  such that

$$a \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$$

Then  $K_0(A)$  is the dyadic rationals with the unit associated to 1.



## Examples of $K_0$ , cont'd

- In general, if  $A = \lim_k M_{n(k)}$  where  $n(k) | n(k+1)$  for all  $k$  and the morphisms are given by diagonal maps

$$a \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a & & & 0 \\ & a & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & a \end{pmatrix}$$

then  $K_0(A) = \{m/n : m \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } n | n(k) \text{ for some } k\}$ .

## The main actors in K-theory for nuclear $C^*$ -algebras

- We have already introduced  $(K_0(A), K_0^+(A), [1_A])$ .
- $K_1(A) = K_0(C_0((0, 1), A))$ .
- $Tr(A)$  is the set of traces on  $A$  i.e. all positive linear functionals  $\tau$  on  $A$  such that  $\tau(1) = 1$ ,  $\tau(x^*) = \overline{\tau(x)}$  and  $\tau(xy) = \tau(yx)$ .
- $\rho_A$  is the natural pairing of  $Tr(A)$  and  $K_0(A)$ .

## The main actors in K-theory for nuclear $C^*$ -algebras

- We have already introduced  $(K_0(A), K_0^+(A), [1_A])$ .
- $K_1(A) = K_0(C_0((0, 1), A))$ .
- $Tr(A)$  is the set of traces on  $A$  i.e. all positive linear functionals  $\tau$  on  $A$  such that  $\tau(1) = 1$ ,  $\tau(x^*) = \overline{\tau(x)}$  and  $\tau(xy) = \tau(yx)$ .
- $\rho_A$  is the natural pairing of  $Tr(A)$  and  $K_0(A)$ .
- The form of the Elliott conjecture which states that the Elliott invariant classifies all simple, separable, infinite-dimensional, unital nuclear algebras is false - there are counter-examples of different types with the first ones due to Toms and separately Rørdam.

# The main actors in K-theory for nuclear $C^*$ -algebras

- We have already introduced  $(K_0(A), K_0^+(A), [1_A])$ .
- $K_1(A) = K_0(C_0((0, 1), A))$ .
- $Tr(A)$  is the set of traces on  $A$  i.e. all positive linear functionals  $\tau$  on  $A$  such that  $\tau(1) = 1$ ,  $\tau(x^*) = \overline{\tau(x)}$  and  $\tau(xy) = \tau(yx)$ .
- $\rho_A$  is the natural pairing of  $Tr(A)$  and  $K_0(A)$ .
- The form of the Elliott conjecture which states that the Elliott invariant classifies all simple, separable, infinite-dimensional, unital nuclear algebras is false - there are counter-examples of different types with the first ones due to Toms and separately Rørdam.
- A search is on for a new invariant which might classify nuclear algebras.

# Prototypical example of classification

## Theorem (Elliott)

*The class of AF algebras can be classified by  $K_0$ .*

# Prototypical example of classification

## Theorem (Elliott)

*The class of AF algebras can be classified by  $K_0$ .*

# Prototypical example of classification

## Theorem (Elliott)

*The class of AF algebras can be classified by  $K_0$ .*

Let's do a special case of this result due to Glimm.

## Definition

For a UHF algebra  $A = \lim_k M_{n(k)}$ , let the  $GI(A)$ , the generalized integer of  $A$  be the function which assigns to every prime  $p$  the supremum of all  $n$  such that  $p^n$  divides  $n(k)$  for some  $k$ ; this can be infinite.

# Prototypical example of classification

## Theorem (Elliott)

*The class of AF algebras can be classified by  $K_0$ .*

Let's do a special case of this result due to Glimm.

## Definition

For a UHF algebra  $A = \lim_k M_{n(k)}$ , let the  $Gl(A)$ , the generalized integer of  $A$  be the function which assigns to every prime  $p$  the supremum of all  $n$  such that  $p^n$  divides  $n(k)$  for some  $k$ ; this can be infinite.

## Theorem (Glimm)

*If  $A$  and  $B$  are separable, unital UHF algebras then  $A \cong B$  iff  $Gl(A) = Gl(B)$ .*



## A proof of Glimm's theorem

**Sketch of proof:** One checks that UHF algebras have a unique trace and the values of this trace on a UHF algebra  $A$  are of the form  $\{k/n : k|GI(A)\}$ .

## A proof of Glimm's theorem

**Sketch of proof:** One checks that UHF algebras have a unique trace and the values of this trace on a UHF algebra  $A$  are of the form  $\{k/n : k|GI(A)\}$ .

Now if  $GI(A) = GI(B)$  then we can arrange in a back and forth fashion that  $A = \lim_k M_{n(k)}$  and  $B = \lim_k M_{m(k)}$  such that for all  $k$ ,  $n(k)|m(k)|n(k+1)$ . It is possible then to create a sequence of maps  $\varphi_k : M_{n(k)} \rightarrow M_{m(k)}$  and  $\psi_k : M_{m(k)} \rightarrow M_{n(k+1)}$  which additionally have the necessary commutation to make  $A$  and  $B$  isomorphic.

## A proof of Glimm's theorem

**Sketch of proof:** One checks that UHF algebras have a unique trace and the values of this trace on a UHF algebra  $A$  are of the form  $\{k/n : k|GI(A)\}$ .

Now if  $GI(A) = GI(B)$  then we can arrange in a back and forth fashion that  $A = \lim_k M_{n(k)}$  and  $B = \lim_k M_{m(k)}$  such that for all  $k$ ,  $n(k)|m(k)|n(k+1)$ . It is possible then to create a sequence of maps  $\varphi_k : M_{n(k)} \rightarrow M_{m(k)}$  and  $\psi_k : M_{m(k)} \rightarrow M_{n(k+1)}$  which additionally have the necessary commutation to make  $A$  and  $B$  isomorphic.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} M_{n(1)}(\mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & M_{n(2)}(\mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & M_{n(3)}(\mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & \dots & A \\ \phi_1 \downarrow & & \nearrow \psi_1 & & \phi_2 \downarrow & & \nearrow \psi_2 & \\ M_{m(1)}(\mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & M_{m(2)}(\mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & M_{m(3)}(\mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & \dots & B \end{array}$$

## Model theoretic version of the Elliott conjecture

*Simple, separable, infinite-dimensional, unital nuclear algebras are classified by their Elliott invariant and their first order continuous theory.*

## $K_0(A)$ vs. $Th(A)$ , round 1

- In the case of a separable, unital UHF algebra  $A$ ,  $K_0(A)$  is a rank 1, torsion-free abelian group where we have specified a constant. This is determined by  $Gl(A)$  by Glimm's theorem.

## $K_0(A)$ vs. $Th(A)$ , round 1

- In the case of a separable, unital UHF algebra  $A$ ,  $K_0(A)$  is a rank 1, torsion-free abelian group where we have specified a constant. This is determined by  $Gl(A)$  by Glimm's theorem.
- Equivalently, the theory knows the generalized integer for a separable, unital UHF algebra  $A = \lim_k M_{m(k)}$ . In fact,  $M_n$  embeds into  $A$  iff  $n$  divides  $n(k)$  for some  $k$ .

## $K_0(A)$ vs. $Th(A)$ , round 1

- In the case of a separable, unital UHF algebra  $A$ ,  $K_0(A)$  is a rank 1, torsion-free abelian group where we have specified a constant. This is determined by  $Gl(A)$  by Glimm's theorem.
- Equivalently, the theory knows the generalized integer for a separable, unital UHF algebra  $A = \lim_k M_{m(k)}$ . In fact,  $M_n$  embeds into  $A$  iff  $n$  divides  $n(k)$  for some  $k$ .
- Round 1 - a draw.

## $K_0(A)$ vs. $Th(A)$ , round 2

- A classical result of Dixmier which generalizes Glimm's theorem shows that non-unital separable UHF algebras are classified by  $K_0$ .



## $K_0(A)$ vs. $Th(A)$ , round 2

- A classical result of Dixmier which generalizes Glimm's theorem shows that non-unital separable UHF algebras are classified by  $K_0$ .
- In this case,  $K_0$  is an arbitrary rank 1, torsion-free abelian group.

## $K_0(A)$ vs. $Th(A)$ , round 2

- A classical result of Dixmier which generalizes Glimm's theorem shows that non-unital separable UHF algebras are classified by  $K_0$ .
- In this case,  $K_0$  is an arbitrary rank 1, torsion-free abelian group.
- The isomorphism relation for such groups is known not to be smooth in the sense of Borel equivalence relations.

## $K_0(A)$ vs. $Th(A)$ , round 2

- A classical result of Dixmier which generalizes Glimm's theorem shows that non-unital separable UHF algebras are classified by  $K_0$ .
- In this case,  $K_0$  is an arbitrary rank 1, torsion-free abelian group.
- The isomorphism relation for such groups is known not to be smooth in the sense of Borel equivalence relations.
- The theory of a  $C^*$ -algebra is a smooth invariant and so Dixmier's result shows that  $K_0$  and not the theory captures isomorphism at least for non-unital separable UHF algebras.

## $K_0(A)$ vs. $Th(A)$ , round 2

- A classical result of Dixmier which generalizes Glimm's theorem shows that non-unital separable UHF algebras are classified by  $K_0$ .
- In this case,  $K_0$  is an arbitrary rank 1, torsion-free abelian group.
- The isomorphism relation for such groups is known not to be smooth in the sense of Borel equivalence relations.
- The theory of a  $C^*$ -algebra is a smooth invariant and so Dixmier's result shows that  $K_0$  and not the theory captures isomorphism at least for non-unital separable UHF algebras.
- Advantage  $K_0$  (and descriptive set theory).

## K-theory vs. $Th(A)$ , round 3

- The most general counter-examples to the form of the Elliott conjecture which says that  $Ell(A)$  is a sufficient invariant are due to Toms, Annals of Math, 2008.

## K-theory vs. $Th(A)$ , round 3

- The most general counter-examples to the form of the Elliott conjecture which says that  $Ell(A)$  is a sufficient invariant are due to Toms, *Annals of Math*, 2008.
- He gave continuum many simple separable nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras with identical Elliott invariant that were not isomorphic.

## K-theory vs. $Th(A)$ , round 3

- The most general counter-examples to the form of the Elliott conjecture which says that  $Ell(A)$  is a sufficient invariant are due to Toms, Annals of Math, 2008.
- He gave continuum many simple separable nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras with identical Elliott invariant that were not isomorphic.
- He used something called the Cuntz semigroup to show they were not isomorphic and in particular computed a number called the radius of comparison - it was this value that differentiated the algebras.

## K-theory vs. $Th(A)$ , round 3

- The most general counter-examples to the form of the Elliott conjecture which says that  $Ell(A)$  is a sufficient invariant are due to Toms, Annals of Math, 2008.
- He gave continuum many simple separable nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras with identical Elliott invariant that were not isomorphic.
- He used something called the Cuntz semigroup to show they were not isomorphic and in particular computed a number called the radius of comparison - it was this value that differentiated the algebras.
- In joint work with Leonel Robert, we showed that the radius of comparison is known to the theory of an algebra - it is preserved under ultraproducts and elementary submodels.



## K-theory vs. $Th(A)$ , round 3

- The most general counter-examples to the form of the Elliott conjecture which says that  $Ell(A)$  is a sufficient invariant are due to Toms, Annals of Math, 2008.
- He gave continuum many simple separable nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras with identical Elliott invariant that were not isomorphic.
- He used something called the Cuntz semigroup to show they were not isomorphic and in particular computed a number called the radius of comparison - it was this value that differentiated the algebras.
- In joint work with Leonel Robert, we showed that the radius of comparison is known to the theory of an algebra - it is preserved under ultraproducts and elementary submodels.
- Advantage  $Th(A)$ .

## Traces matter

- Nuclear algebras do not form an elementary class but it is interesting to consider the theory of nuclear algebras.

## Traces matter

- Nuclear algebras do not form an elementary class but it is interesting to consider the theory of nuclear algebras.
- Question: is every  $C^*$ -algebra elementarily equivalent to a nuclear algebra?

## Traces matter

- Nuclear algebras do not form an elementary class but it is interesting to consider the theory of nuclear algebras.
- Question: is every  $C^*$ -algebra elementarily equivalent to a nuclear algebra?
- No. Let  $A = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M_n(\mathbb{C}) / U$  where  $U$  is a non-principal ultrafilter on  $\mathbb{N}$ .

## Traces matter

- Nuclear algebras do not form an elementary class but it is interesting to consider the theory of nuclear algebras.
- Question: is every  $C^*$ -algebra elementarily equivalent to a nuclear algebra?
- No. Let  $A = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M_n(\mathbb{C})/U$  where  $U$  is a non-principal ultrafilter on  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- We need some facts about  $A$ :  $A$  has a trace and it is definable say by a formula  $\varphi$ .

## Traces matter

- Nuclear algebras do not form an elementary class but it is interesting to consider the theory of nuclear algebras.
- Question: is every  $C^*$ -algebra elementarily equivalent to a nuclear algebra?
- No. Let  $A = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M_n(\mathbb{C})/U$  where  $U$  is a non-principal ultrafilter on  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- We need some facts about  $A$ :  $A$  has a trace and it is definable say by a formula  $\varphi$ .
- Now suppose that  $A \equiv B$  where  $B$  is some simple, separable, nuclear algebra.

## Traces matter, cont'd

- But then  $\varphi$  would define a trace on  $B$  which would mean that the associated von Neumann algebra is the hyperfinite  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $\mathcal{R}$ .

## Traces matter, cont'd

- But then  $\varphi$  would define a trace on  $B$  which would mean that the associated von Neumann algebra is the hyperfinite  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $\mathcal{R}$ .
- In earlier work with Farah and Sherman we showed that a property identified by von Neumann called property  $\Gamma$  for tracial von Neumann algebras was elementary.



## Traces matter, cont'd

- But then  $\varphi$  would define a trace on  $B$  which would mean that the associated von Neumann algebra is the hyperfinite  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $\mathcal{R}$ .
- In earlier work with Farah and Sherman we showed that a property identified by von Neumann called property  $\Gamma$  for tracial von Neumann algebras was elementary.
- It is known that  $\mathcal{R}$  satisfies property  $\Gamma$  and that  $A$  modulo its trace does not so  $A \not\cong B$ .

## Traces matter, cont'd

- But then  $\varphi$  would define a trace on  $B$  which would mean that the associated von Neumann algebra is the hyperfinite  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $\mathcal{R}$ .
- In earlier work with Farah and Sherman we showed that a property identified by von Neumann called property  $\Gamma$  for tracial von Neumann algebras was elementary.
- It is known that  $\mathcal{R}$  satisfies property  $\Gamma$  and that  $A$  modulo its trace does not so  $A \not\cong B$ .
- Question: what is the theory of the class of nuclear algebras? Is it the theory of  $C^*$ -algebras?