

A non-compact version of Pillay's conjecture

Annalisa Conversano

Institute of Natural and Mathematical Sciences
Massey University, Auckland (New Zealand)



Ravello 2013

1. Pillay's conjecture and related work
2. The non-compact case
3. Valued groups and model theory

An easy example

Given $\mathcal{M} = \langle M, <, +, \cdot \rangle$ a \aleph_1 -saturated rcf, we can define a definably compact group $G = ([0, 1[, \oplus)$

$$x \oplus y = \begin{cases} x + y & \text{if } x + y < 1 \\ x + y - 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

the standard part map is a surjective homomorphism

$$st: [0, 1[^M \rightarrow [0, 1[^{\mathbb{R}}$$

$\ker st = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [0, \frac{1}{n}[\cup]1 - \frac{1}{n}, 1[$ is the subgroup of the **infinitesimals** of G .

$G / \ker st$ is isomorphic to the circle (1-dimensional torus).

Pillay's Conjecture (PC)

Pillay's conjecture

Let G be a group definable in a (sufficiently saturated) o-minimal structure \mathcal{M} . Then

1. \exists a smallest type-definable subgroup of bounded index G^{00} .
2. With the logic topology G/G^{00} is a compact real Lie group.
3. G is definably compact $\Rightarrow \dim_{\mathcal{M}} G = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} G/G^{00}$.
4. G is abelian $\Rightarrow G^{00}$ is divisible and torsion-free.

Logic topology

$X \subset G/G^{00}$ is closed $\Leftrightarrow \pi^{-1}(X) \subset G$ is type-definable, where $\pi: G \rightarrow G/G^{00}$ is the canonical projection.

The Positive Solution

Theorem (Pillay 2004)

PC holds when $\dim G = 1$ and when G is definably simple.

Theorem (Berarducci - Otero - Peterzil - Pillay 2005)

Every group definable in an o-minimal structure has the DCC on type-definable subgroups of bounded index.

Theorem (Hrushovski - Peterzil - Pillay 2008 (fields),
Eleftheriou 2008 (linear), Peterzil 2009 (groups),
Edmundo - Terzo 2008 (orientable))

G is definably compact $\Rightarrow \dim_{\mathcal{M}} G = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} G/G^{00}$.

Related work in the compact case

Theorem (Berarducci 07, Baro 09, Berarducci - Mamino 09)

The functor $G \mapsto G/G^{00}$ is exact and preserves the homotopy type.

Theorem (Hrushovski - Peterzil - Pillay, 2010)

There is an elementary embedding $\sigma: G/G^{00} \rightarrow G$ which is a section for the canonical projection $\pi: G \rightarrow G/G^{00}$, and therefore

$$\langle G, \cdot \rangle \equiv \langle G/G^{00}, \cdot \rangle$$

Theorem (Eleftheriou 2009, Hrushovski - Peterzil - Pillay 2010)

G is compactly dominated by G/G^{00} , i.e. the set

$$\{c \in G/G^{00} \mid \pi^{-1}(c) \cap X \neq \emptyset \wedge \pi^{-1}(c) \cap (G \setminus X) \neq \emptyset\}$$

has Haar measure equal to 0 for every definable $X \subset G$.

G^{00} in the non-compact case

Theorem (Peterzil - Steinhorn 1999)

If G is not definably compact then contains a definable 1-dimensional torsion-free subgroup H .

Theorem (Pillay 2004)

H as above $\Rightarrow H = H^{00}$.

Theorem (C-Pillay 2012)

H definable torsion-free $\Rightarrow H = H^{00}$.

Theorem (Pillay 2004)

G non-definably compact and definably simple $\Rightarrow G = G^{00}$.

Examples where $G = G^{00}$

Let \mathcal{M} be a sufficiently saturated o-minimal expansion of a rcf

1. $G =$ any connected linear group of triangular matrices $= G^{00}$.
2. $G = \mathrm{SL}_n(M) = \mathrm{SL}_n(M)^{00}$.
3. (C - Pillay 2012) $G = \mathrm{SO}_2(M) \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(M) = G^{00}$.

G/G^{00} when G is not definably compact

Theorem (C - Pillay 2012)

Let G be a definably connected group. G contains a maximal normal definable torsion-free subgroup $\mathcal{N}(G)$, and $G/\mathcal{N}(G) = K \cdot H$, where K is definably compact and H is torsion-free.

In general G/G^{00} is a proper quotient of K/K^{00} , and $G/G^{00} = K/K^{00} \iff G/\mathcal{N}(G)$ is definably compact.

Is there a non-compact version of Pillay's conjecture?

Namely, is there a canonical way to associate a real Lie group L_G to any definable group G , so that first-order, algebraic and geometric properties (such as dimension, torsion structure, homotopy type...) are preserved?

Valued groups

Let G be a group and $\Gamma < \infty$ a totally ordered set. A valuation on G is a map

$$v: G \longrightarrow \Gamma \cup \{\infty\}$$

such that

- ▶ $v(x) = \infty \Leftrightarrow x = e$.
- ▶ $v(xy^{-1}) \geq \min\{v(x), v(y)\}$.

Remark

If $v(x) \neq v(y)$ then $v(xy^{-1}) = \min\{v(x), v(y)\}$

The natural valuation of ordered abelian groups

Any ordered abelian group $(G, <, +)$ has a natural valuation

$$v: G \longrightarrow \Gamma \cup \{\infty\}$$

$$v(x) \leq v(y) \iff \text{there is } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } n|x| > |y|.$$

For every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ we set

$$G^\gamma := \{a \in G : v(a) \geq \gamma\}.$$

$$G_\gamma := \{a \in G : v(a) > \gamma\}.$$

And

$$G^\gamma / G_\gamma = B(\gamma)$$

is called *the Archimedean component* associated to γ .

Valued groups and model theory

Let \mathcal{M} be an o-minimal expansion of an ordered group, and v its natural valuation. Then \mathcal{M} is ω -saturated if and only if

1. $v(\mathcal{M})$ is a dense linear ordering.
2. all Archimedean components are isomorphic to \mathbb{R} .
3. every pc-sequence in a substructure of finite dimension has a pseudo-limit in \mathcal{M} .

pc-sequences and pseudo-limits

A well ordered set $\{a_\rho\}_{\rho < \lambda}$ is a *pc-sequence* if for every $\rho < \sigma < \tau$ we have $v(a_\sigma - a_\rho) < v(a_\tau - a_\sigma)$. We say that x is a *pseudo-limit* of $\{a_\rho\}_{\rho < \lambda}$ if $\{v(x - a_\sigma)\}$ is eventually strictly increasing.

A plan (work in progress)

Let G be a definably connected group.

1. Find the "intrinsic" notion of convex hull \mathcal{G}_x of $x \in G$.
2. Understand for which x there is \mathcal{G}_x^{00} .
3. $L_x := \mathcal{G}_x / \mathcal{G}_x^{00}$ with the logic topology is a real Lie group.
4. L_x does not depend on x (call it L_G).
5. $\dim G = \dim L_G$.
6. $G = \mathcal{G}_x$ if and only if G is definably compact.
7. ($\langle G, \cdot \rangle \equiv \langle L_G, \cdot \rangle$??)

Hopefully

- ▶ There is a valuation v on G such that

$$\mathcal{G}_x = \{g \in G : v(g) \geq v(x)\}$$

$$\mathcal{G}_x^{00} = \{g \in G : v(g) > v(x)\}$$

So a Lie group would be "the residue" of a "valuation subgroup" in a definable group.